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#### Abstract

The main result of this paper is a four-dimensional stable version of Kneser's conjecture on the splitting of three-manifolds as connected sums. Namely, let $M$ be a topological respectively smooth compact connected four-manifold (with orientation or Spin-structure). Suppose that $\pi_{1}(M)$ splits as $*_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ such that the image of $\pi_{1}(C)$ in $\pi_{1}(M)$ is subconjugated to some $\Gamma_{i}$ for each component $C$ of $\partial M$. Then $M$ is stably homeomorphic respectively diffeomorphic (preserving the orientation or Spin-structure) to a connected sum $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$ with $\Gamma_{i}=\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right)$. Stably means that one allows additional connected sums with some copies of $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ on both sides. We also prove a uniqueness statement. As a consequence we obtain the existence and uniqueness of the stable prime decomposition of compact connected four-manifolds (with orientation or Spin-structure). The main technical ingredients are the bordism approach to the stable classification of manifolds due to the first author and the Kurosh Subgroup Theorem.
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## Introduction

A compact connected orientable smooth three-manifold $M$ has a so called prime decomposition. Namely, $M$ is oriented diffeomorphic to a connected sum $\not \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$ of oriented manifolds $M_{i}$ which are prime, i.e. if $M_{i}$ is diffeomorphic to $M_{i}^{\prime} \sharp M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, then $M_{i}^{\prime}$ or $M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is oriented diffeomorphic to $S^{3}$. The manifolds $M_{i}$ are unique up to order and oriented diffeomorphism.

The corresponding result cannot hold for four-manifolds. For example $\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right) \sharp \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \sharp \overline{\mathbb{C} P^{2}} \sharp \mathbb{C} P^{2}$. Or, for a simply connected four-dimensional Spinmanifold $M$ with non-trivial signature $M \sharp M^{-}$is homeomorphic and often diffeomorphic to a connected sum of $\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ 's. The problem here is, that the value of the signature for different pieces is not determined by the large manifold. A natural way to overcome these difficulties is to allow connected sum with an arbitrary simply connected closed four-manifold. Up to connected sum with simply connected closed manifolds, we prove the corresponding result in dimension four.

A stable oriented diffeomorphism from a four-manifold $M$ to $N$ is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism from $M \sharp k\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ to $N \sharp \bar{k}\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ for some non-negative integers $k$ and $\bar{k}$. If the manifolds are equipped with a $S p i n$-structure, we can in addition require that these structures are preserved. We call a connected compact orientable smooth four-manifold $M$ stably prime if $M_{i}$ stably oriented diffeomorphic to $M_{i}^{\prime} \sharp M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ implies that $M_{i}^{\prime}$ or $M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is simply connected and closed.

Theorem 0.1 (Stable Prime Decomposition) Let $M$ be a connected compact oriented smooth four-manifold. Then:

1. There are stably prime oriented four-manifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n}$ and a stable oriented diffeomorphism

$$
f: M \longrightarrow \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} .
$$

2. Let $f^{\prime}: M \longrightarrow \sharp_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} M_{i}^{\prime}$ be another stable oriented diffeomorphism for stably prime oriented four-manifolds $M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, M_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Suppose that none of the $M_{i}$ 's and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ 's is simply connected and closed. Then $n=n^{\prime}$ and $M_{i} \sharp S_{i}$ and $M_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime} \sharp S_{i}^{\prime}$ are stably oriented diffeomorphic for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, appropriate simply connected closed oriented four-manifolds $S_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}$ and a permutation $\sigma$.

Closely related to prime decompositions is Kneser's conjecture. Let $M$ be a compact connected three-manifold with incompressible boundary whose fundamental group admits a splitting $\alpha: \pi_{1}(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{1} * \Gamma_{2}$. Kneser's conjecture whose proof can be found in $[6$, chapter 7] says that there are manifolds $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ with $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ as fundamental groups and a homeomorphism $M \longrightarrow M_{1} \sharp M_{2}$ inducing $\alpha$ on the fundamental groups. Kneser's conjecture fails even in the closed case in dimensions $\geq 5$ by results of Cappell [2],[3]. Counterexamples of closed orientable four-manifolds which even do not split up to homotopy and examples of closed orientable four-manifolds which split topologically but not smoothly are constructed by the authors of this article in [9]. But again it holds stably. We restrict ourselves to oriented manifolds. For simplicity we state in the introduction only an easy to formulate special case of our more general results whose precise statements are given in section 1. A group $\pi$ is indecomposable if $\pi$ is non-trivial and $\pi \cong \Gamma_{1} * \Gamma_{2}$ implies that $\Gamma_{1}$ or $\Gamma_{2}$ is trivial.

Theorem 0.2 (Stable Kneser Decomposition) If $M$ is a closed connected smooth oriented four-manifold with non-trivial fundamental group, then there are oriented smooth fourmanifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n}$ with indecomposable $\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, such that $M$ and $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$ are stably oriented diffeomorphic.

If we have two splittings $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$ and $\sharp_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} M_{i}^{\prime}$ of $M$ as above, then $n=n^{\prime}$ and $M_{i} \sharp S_{i}$ and $M_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime} \sharp S_{i}^{\prime}$ are oriented diffeomorphic for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, appropriate simply connected closed smooth manifolds $S_{i}, S_{i}^{\prime}$ and a permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_{n}$. If $M$ is a Spin-manifold and we equip $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ with the Spin-structures induced from a stable diffeomorphism as in Theorem 0.1, we can take $S_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}$ as Spin-manifolds and the diffeomorphisms Spin-structure preserving.

Since the stable diffeomorphism type of a simply connected closed smooth four-manifold is determined by the type of the intersection form $(\mathrm{I}=$ odd $=$ non-Spin or $\mathrm{II}=$ even $=$ Spin $)$ and the signature, we can take for the manifolds $S$ either $r\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right) \sharp p\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ in the case I or $r K \sharp p\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ in the case II, where $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ is the complex projective space of complex dimension two and $K$ is the Kummer surface (note that by Rohlin's theorem the signature is divisible by $16=-\operatorname{sign}(K)$ ).

Both results have topological versions. All manifolds are topological, "diffeomorphic" must be substituted by "homeomorphic" and in the last paragraph $r\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right) \sharp p\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ respectively $r K \sharp p\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ must be substituted by $r\left(\mathbb{C} P^{2}\right) \sharp s\left(E_{8}\right) \sharp p\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ respectively $r\left(E_{8}\right) \sharp p\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}\right)$ where $E_{8}$ is the simply connected closed topological four-manifold with $E_{8}$ as intersection form whose existence is proved by Freedman [5, Theorem 1.7].

We mention that this article is motivated by a paper of Hillman [7] which shows the existence of a stable splitting for a closed connected four-manifold $M$ with fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)=\Gamma_{1} * \Gamma_{2}$. (Actually Hillman only proves that after adding $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{C}} P^{2}$ one gets a splitting but his argument can be modified to give the original statement).

The paper is organized as follows :
0 . Introduction

1. Kneser Splittings for Manifolds with Boundary
2. Stable Classification and Bordism Theory
3. Proof of the Existence of a Stable Kneser Splitting
4. Proof of the Uniqueness Result
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The precise statements of our results, also for compact connected four-manifolds with boundary are given in section 1 and from this we deduce Theorems 0.1 and 0.2 using Kurosh's Subgroup Theorem. Section 2 summarizes the bordism approach to the stable classification due to the first author in a setup which is adequate for the purposes of this article and contains some preliminary results. One may skip section 2 and turn directly to the proofs of the main theorems in the following sections and get back to section 2 when necessary.

## 1. Kneser Splittings for Manifolds with Boundary

All manifolds are assumed to be compact. We will formulate and prove our results for smooth manifolds. With the same modifications as explained in the introduction the analogous results hold for topological manifolds. The proofs are also identically the same replacing everywhere the smooth objects by the corresponding topological ones.

We will use the following convention on fundamental groups. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a map of path-connected spaces. If we write $\pi_{1}(X)$, we mean $\pi_{1}(X, x)$ after some choice of base point $x \in X$. The homomorphism $\pi_{1}(f): \pi_{1}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(Y)$ is the composition of
$\pi_{1}(f, x): \pi_{1}(X, x) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(Y, f(x))$ and the isomorphism $c(w): \pi_{1}(Y, f(x)) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(Y, y)$ given by conjugation with a path $w$ joining $f(x)$ and $y$. Notice that $\pi_{1}(f)$ is only well-defined up to inner automorphisms of $\pi_{1}(Y)$. Given a connected sum $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$ we will use the following composition of isomorphisms as identification

$$
*_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{j^{-1}} *_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{1}\left(M_{i}-\operatorname{int}\left(D^{4}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{k} \pi_{1}\left(\not \#_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}\right)
$$

where $j$ and $k$ are induced by the inclusions in the obvious way. Notice again that this identification is only well-defined up to inner automorphisms. We call a component $C$ of $\partial M \pi_{1}$-null if the inclusion induces the trivial map $\pi_{1}(C) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$.

Theorem 1.3 (Existence of Stable Splitting) Let $M$ be an oriented connected fourmanifold with non-trivial fundamental group. Let

$$
\alpha: \pi_{1}(M) \longrightarrow *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}
$$

be a group isomorphism such that each $\Gamma_{i}$ is non-trivial. Suppose for any component $C$ of $\partial M$ that the image of the composition $\alpha \circ \pi_{1}(j): \pi_{1}(C) \longrightarrow *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ is subconjugated to one of the $\Gamma_{i}$ 's for $j: C \longrightarrow M$ the inclusion.

Then there are oriented connected four-manifolds $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n}$ with identifications $\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}$ and oriented simply connected four-manifolds $N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{p}$ and a stable oriented diffeomorphism

$$
f: M \longrightarrow \not \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} \sharp \not \sharp_{j=1}^{p} N_{j}
$$

such that the composition

$$
\pi_{1}(M) \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}(f)} \pi_{1}\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} \sharp \sharp_{j=1}^{p} N_{j}\right) \longrightarrow *_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right) \longrightarrow *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}
$$

agrees with $\alpha$ up to inner automorphisms, no boundary component of the $M_{i}$ 's is $\pi_{1}$-null and each $N_{i}$ has a connected non-empty boundary.

Theorem 1.4 (Uniqueness of Stable Splitting) Let $M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n}$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots$, $M_{n}^{\prime}$ be oriented connected four-manifolds with non-trivial fundamental groups $\Gamma_{i}=\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ such that no boundary component of them is $\pi_{1}$-null. Let $N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{p}$ and $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, N_{q}^{\prime}$ be oriented simply connected four-manifolds whose boundaries are connected and non-empty. Let

$$
f: \not \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} \sharp \sharp_{j=1}^{p} N_{j} \longrightarrow \not \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\prime} \sharp \sharp_{j=1}^{q} N_{j}^{\prime}
$$

be a stable diffeomorphism, which is either oriented or Spin-structure preserving, if the underlying manifolds are Spin. Denote the homomorphism induced by $f$ on the fundamental groups by

$$
f_{*}: *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i} \longrightarrow *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} .
$$

Suppose for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ that $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime} \circ f_{*} \circ j_{i}$ is an isomorphism where $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime}: *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ is the canonical projection and $j_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \longrightarrow *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ is the canonical inclusion. Then:

For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ we have $f\left(\partial M_{i}\right)=\partial M_{i}^{\prime}$ and there are simply connected oriented closed four-manifolds $S_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}$ and oriented diffeomorphisms

$$
f_{i}: M_{i} \sharp S_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i}^{\prime} \sharp S_{i}^{\prime}
$$

which extend $\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}: \partial M_{i} \longrightarrow \partial M_{i}^{\prime}$ and induce up to inner automorphism $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime} \circ f_{*} \circ j_{i}$ on the fundamental groups. Moreover, we have $p=q$ and there is an appropriate permutation $\sigma$ such that $f\left(\partial N_{j}\right)=\partial N_{\sigma(j)}^{\prime}$ and there are oriented simply connected closed four-manifolds $T_{j}$ and $T_{j}^{\prime}$ and oriented diffeomorphisms

$$
g_{j}: N_{j} \sharp T_{j} \longrightarrow N_{\sigma(j)}^{\prime} \sharp T_{j}^{\prime}
$$

which extend $\left.f\right|_{\partial N_{j}}: \partial N_{j} \longrightarrow \partial N_{j}^{\prime}$. If the manifolds are Spin-manifolds we can choose the manifolds $S_{i}, S_{i}^{\prime}, T_{j}$ and $T_{j}^{\prime}$ as Spin-manifolds and $f_{i}$ and $g_{i}$ Spin-structure preserving.

We finish this section by deriving Theorems 0.2 and 0.1 from these results and the following version of Kurosh's Subgroup Theorem (see [4, Theorem 8,chapter 7 on page 175]).

Theorem 1.5 (Kurosh Subgroup Theorem) Let $H$ be a subgroup of the free product $G=*_{i \in I} G_{i}$. There is a suitable chosen set of representatives $g \in \bar{g}$ for the double cosets $\bar{g} \in H \backslash G / G_{i}$ for each $i \in I$ and a free subgroup $F \subset G$ satisfying

$$
H=F * *_{i \in I}\left(*_{\bar{g} \in H \backslash G / G_{i}} g G_{i} g^{-1} \cap H\right) .
$$

Let $\pi$ be a non-trivial finitely generated group. A Kurosh splitting is an isomorphism

$$
\alpha: \pi \longrightarrow *_{i=0}^{n} \Gamma_{i}
$$

such that $\Gamma_{0}$ is free and $\Gamma_{j}$ is indecomposabel and not infinite cyclic for $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Recall that $\Gamma_{i}$ is called indecomposable if $\Gamma_{i}$ is non-trivial and $\Gamma_{i} \cong \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} * \Gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ implies that $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ or $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is trivial. The existence and the following uniqueness statement for a second Kurosh splitting $\alpha^{\prime}: \pi \longrightarrow *_{i=0}^{n^{\prime}} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ follow from Kurosh Subgroup Theorem 1.5. If $j_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \longrightarrow *_{i=0}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ and $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime}: *_{i=0}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ are the inclusion and projection, then $n=n^{\prime}$ and there is a permutation $\sigma$ such that $\operatorname{pr}_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime} \circ \alpha^{\prime} \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ j_{i}$ is an isomorphism for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. Theorem 0.2 now follows from the above Theorems.

Before we prove Theorem 0.1, we characterize the property "stably prime" in terms of the fundamental group data.

Lemma 1.6 A connected compact orientable four-manifold $M$ is stably prime if and only if it satisfies the following conditions.

1. There is no isomorphism $\alpha: \pi_{1}(M) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{1} * \Gamma_{2}$ for non-trivial groups $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ such that for each component $C$ of the boundary the composition of $\alpha$ and the map induced by the inclusion $\pi_{1}(C) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ has an image which is subconjugated to $\Gamma_{1}$ or $\Gamma_{2}$.
2. If $M$ has a $\pi_{1}$-null boundary component, then $M$ is simply connected and $\partial M$ is nonempty and connected.

Proof : If one of the conditions above is violated, Theorem 1.3 gives a splitting of $M$ into $M_{1} \sharp M_{2}$ such that neither $M_{1}$ nor $M_{2}$ is simply connected and closed. Conversely, given such a splitting, one sees immediately, that at least one of the conditions above is not fullfilled.

In particular a connected closed orientable four-manifold is stably prime if and only if $\pi_{1}(M)$ is trivial or indecomposable. Now, we prove Theorem 0.1.

Proof : 1.) The existence of $f$ follows from the following inductive process. If $M$ is stably prime, the process stops. If $M$ is not stably prime, choose a stable oriented diffeomorphism $M \longrightarrow M_{1} \sharp M_{2}$ such that neither $M_{1}$ nor $M_{2}$ is simply connected and closed. Now apply this process to both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. It remains to show that this process stops after a finite number of steps. This follows from Lemma 1.6, the Grushko-Neumann Theorem [10, Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.9 on page 178] which implies that the rank of a group, i.e. the minimal number of generators, is additive under free products and the simple fact that $M$ has only finitely many $\pi_{1}$-null boundary components.
2.) Consider a stable oriented diffeomorphism

$$
f:\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{l} L_{i}\right) \sharp\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}\right) \sharp\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{p} N_{i}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{l^{\prime}} L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \sharp\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{n^{\prime}} M_{i}^{\prime}\right) \sharp\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{p^{\prime}} N_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

such that each $L_{i}, L_{i}^{\prime}, M_{i}, M_{i}^{\prime}, N_{i}$ and $N_{i}^{\prime}$ is stably prime, each $L_{i}$ is closed and has infinite cyclic fundamental group, none of the $M_{i}^{\prime}$ 's and $M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ 's is simply connected or has both infinite cyclic fundamental group and empty boundary, and each $N_{i}$ and $N_{i}^{\prime}$ is simply connected and has a non-empty boundary. Notice that any finite connected sum of stably prime connected four-manifolds can be written in this way if none of the summands is simply connected and closed. We conclude from Lemma 1.6 that none of the $M_{i}^{\prime}$ 's and $M_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ 's has a $\pi_{1}$-null boundary component and that the boundary of each $N_{i}$ and $N_{i}^{\prime}$ is non-empty and connected. We abbreviate in the sequel $\Gamma_{i}=\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and introduce the finitely generated free groups $\Gamma_{0}=*_{i=1}^{l} \pi_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}=*_{i=1}^{l^{\prime}} \pi_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)$. The map induced on the fundamental groups by $f$ is denoted by

$$
f_{*}: *_{i=0}^{n} \Gamma_{i} \longrightarrow *_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} .
$$

Fix an index $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We apply Kurosh Subgroup Theorem 1.5 to $f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \subset *_{j=0}^{n} \Gamma_{j}^{\prime}$ and obtain

$$
f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=F * *_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}}\left(*_{\bar{g} \in f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \backslash *_{j=0}^{n_{j}^{\prime}} \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} / \Gamma_{j}^{\prime}} g \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} g^{-1} \cap f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $C$ be a boundary component of $M_{i}$. There is an index $j \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{\prime}\right\}$ such that $f(C) \subset M_{j}^{\prime}$. If $j_{*}: \pi_{1}(C) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}$ is the map induced by the inclusion, then $f_{*}\left(j_{*}\left(\pi_{1}(C)\right)\right)$ is
subconjugated to $\Gamma_{j}^{\prime}$. Hence there is $g_{0} \in *_{i=0}^{n^{\prime}} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ such that $f_{*}\left(j_{*}\left(\pi_{1}(C)\right)\right) \subset g_{0} \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} g_{0}^{-1} \cap f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ holds. We conclude from Kurosh Subgroup Theorem 1.5 that $f_{*}\left(j_{*}\left(\pi_{1}(C)\right)\right)$ is subconjugated to $g \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} g^{-1} \cap f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$ for appropriate $\bar{g} \in f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \backslash *_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}} \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} / \Gamma_{j}^{\prime}$. Recall that $M_{i}$ is stably prime, has no $\pi_{1}$-null boundary component and it is not true that $M_{i}$ has both infinite cyclic fundamental group and empty boundary. We derive from Lemma 1.6 applied to the isomorphism induced by $f_{*}$

$$
\pi_{1}\left(M_{i}\right)=\Gamma_{i} \longrightarrow f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=F * *_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}}\left(*_{\left.\bar{g} \in f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \backslash *_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}} \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} / \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} g \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} g^{-1} \cap f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)\right) . . . . ~ . ~}^{\text {. }}\right.
$$

that there is a unique index $\sigma(i) \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\bar{g} \in f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \backslash *_{j=0}^{n^{\prime}} \Gamma_{j}^{\prime} / \Gamma_{j}^{\prime}$ satisfying

$$
f_{*}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)=g \Gamma_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime} g^{-1}
$$

We get a map $\sigma:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \longrightarrow\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{\prime}\right\}$. Completely analogously one defines a map $\sigma^{\prime}:\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{\prime}\right\} \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that for each $j \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, n^{\prime}\right\}$ there is $g \in *_{i=0}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ satisfying

$$
f_{*}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime}\right)=g \Gamma_{\sigma^{\prime}(j)} g^{-1} .
$$

Let $\mathrm{pr}_{j}^{\prime}: *_{j=0}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{j}^{\prime}$ be the canonical projection and $j_{i}: \Gamma_{i} \longrightarrow *_{i=0}^{n} \Gamma_{i}$ be the canonical inclusion. We conclude for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $j \in\left\{0,2, \ldots, n^{\prime}\right\}$ that the composition $\operatorname{pr}_{j}^{\prime} \circ f_{*} \circ j_{i}$ is an isomorphism if $j=\sigma(i)$ and trivial otherwise. Hence $\sigma^{\prime} \circ \sigma=\mathrm{id}$ and following diagram commutes for appropriate $\overline{f_{*}}$


The same argument applied to $f_{*}^{-1}$ shows that $\sigma \circ \sigma^{\prime}=\operatorname{id}$ and that $\overline{f_{*}}$ has an inverse. Hence $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are inverse to one another, $n=n^{\prime}$ and the composition $\operatorname{pr}_{\sigma(i)}^{\prime} \circ f_{*} \circ j_{i}$ is an isomorphism for $i \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n\}$ if we put $\sigma(0)=0$.

From Theorem 1.4 we conclude that $M_{i} \sharp S_{i}$ and $M_{\sigma(i)} \sharp S_{i}^{\prime}$ are stably oriented diffeomorphic for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, appropriate simply connected closed oriented four-manifolds $S_{i}$ and $S_{i}^{\prime}$ and that $p=p^{\prime}$ and $N_{i} \sharp T_{i}$ and $N_{\tau(i)}^{\prime} \sharp T_{i}^{\prime}$ are stably oriented diffeomorphic for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$, appropriate simply connected closed oriented four-manifolds $T_{i}$ and $T_{i}^{\prime}$ and permutation $\tau$. Since $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic, we get $l=l^{\prime}$. Each $L_{i}$ and $L_{i}^{\prime}$ is stably isomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{3}$ after adding simply connected closed oriented four-manifolds for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, l\}$ by Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 since $L_{i}$ and $L_{i}^{\prime}$ are closed and have infinite cyclic fundamental groups. This finishes the proof of Theorem 0.1.

## 2. Stable Classification and Bordism Theory

In this section we explain the necessary details of the bordism approach to the stable classification of manifolds due to the first author and prove some preliminary lemmas. Recall that all manifolds are assumed to be compact and we restrict ourselves to smooth manifolds.

We begin with organizing the bookkeeping of the fundamental group data. We consider pairs $\left(\pi, w_{2}\right)$ which consist of a finitely presented group $\pi$ and an element $w_{2}$ in $H^{2}(\pi ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \coprod\{\infty\}$. We call two such pairs $\left(\pi, w_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\pi^{\prime}, w_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ equivalent if there is an isomorphism $f: \pi \longrightarrow \pi^{\prime}$ with the properties that either $w_{2}=\infty$ and $w_{2}^{\prime}=\infty$ or $w_{2} \in H^{2}(\pi ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$, $w_{2}^{\prime} \in H^{2}\left(\pi^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2\right)$ and $f^{*}\left(w_{2}^{\prime}\right)=w_{2}$ holds. A type $T$ is an equivalence class $\left[\pi, w_{2}\right]$ of such pairs.

An oriented manifold determines a type $T(M)$, called the normal 1-type, for which a representative is given as follows. Put $\pi=\pi_{1}(M)$. Let $g: M \longrightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ be a classifying map of the universal covering and denote by $w_{k}(M) \in H^{k}(M ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)$ the $k$-th Stiefel-Whitney class of the normal bundle of $M$. If $w_{2}(\widetilde{M}) \neq 0$ holds for the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$, then put $w_{2}=\infty$. Otherwise let $w_{2}$ be the unique element satisfying $g^{*}\left(w_{2}\right)=w_{2}(M)$. The unique existence follows from the exact sequence coming from the Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $\widetilde{M} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow K(\pi, 1)$

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{2}(K(\pi, 1) ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \xrightarrow{g^{*}} H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{Z} / 2) \longrightarrow H^{2}(\widetilde{M} ; \mathbb{Z} / 2)
$$

Two homotopy equivalent manifolds have the same normal 1-type.
Before we introduce the relevant bordism groups, we recall how to convert a continous map $u: X \longrightarrow K$ into a fibration $u^{\prime}: P(u) \longrightarrow K$. Define

$$
P(u)=\{(x, w) \mid w(0)=u(x)\} \subset X \times \operatorname{map}(I, K)
$$

and $u^{\prime}(x, w)=w(1)$. Define the map $u^{\prime \prime}: P(u) \longrightarrow X$ by sending $(x, w)$ to $x$ and define the homotopy $\psi: u \circ u^{\prime \prime} \simeq u^{\prime}$ by sending $((x, w), t)$ to $w(t)$. The triple $\left(P(u), u^{\prime \prime}, \psi\right)$ has the universal property that for any space $Z$ together with maps $f^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow K$ and $f^{\prime \prime}: Z \longrightarrow X$ and homotopy $\phi: u \circ f^{\prime \prime} \simeq f^{\prime}$ there is precisely one map $g: Z \longrightarrow P(u)$ such that

$$
f^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime} \circ g, \quad f^{\prime}=u^{\prime} \circ g \quad \text { and } \quad \phi=\psi \circ(g \times \mathrm{id}) .
$$

Namely, define $g(z)=\left(f^{\prime \prime}(z), \psi_{z}\right)$ for $\psi_{z}$ the path sending $t$ to $\psi(z, t)$. There is a map $i: X \longrightarrow P(u)$ sending $x$ to $\left(x, c_{u(x)}\right)$ where $c_{u(x)}$ is the constant path in $K$ at $u(x)$. It is a homotopy inverse of $u^{\prime \prime}$ and its composition with $u^{\prime}$ is $u$.

A type $T$ determines a fibration $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ over $B S O$ or over $B S$ pin, if $w_{2}=0$, as follows. Let $\left[\pi, w_{2}\right]$ be a representative of $T$. If $w_{2}=\infty$ define it as the trivial fibration

$$
\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})=\mathcal{B S O} \times \mathcal{K}(\pi, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B S O}
$$

over $B S O$. If $w_{2}=0$ we define it as the trivial fibration

$$
\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})=\mathcal{B S}_{\sqrt{ }} \backslash \backslash \times \mathcal{K}(\pi, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{B S}_{\sqrt{ }} \backslash \backslash
$$

over BSpin. If $w_{2} \neq 0, \infty$ represent $w_{2}$ by a map $u: K(\pi, 1) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2)$ with corresponding fibration $P(u)$ over $K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2)$. Represent the universal Stiefel Whitney class by a map $q: B S O \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2)$. Then define our fibration by the pullback

$$
\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})=\amalg^{*}(\mathcal{P}(\sqcap)) \rightarrow \mathcal{B S O}
$$

These fibrations are up to fibre homotopy equivalence uniquely determined by $T$. In all three cases there are projection maps to $K(\pi, 1)$ denoted by $p_{K(\pi, 1)}$.

Suppose that $M$ has normal 1-type $T(M)$ and let $g: M \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ be a map satisfying $g^{*} w_{2}=w_{2}(\nu(M))$ if $w_{2}(M)=w_{2}(\nu(M)) \neq \infty$. Then the normal Gauss map $\nu: M \rightarrow B S O$ or $\nu: M \rightarrow B$ Spin, if $M$ is has a Spin-structure, admits a lift $\rho$ over $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ as follows. If $w_{2}=\infty$ it is given by the normal Gauss map together with $g$. If $w_{2} \neq \infty$ it is given by the normal Gauss map together with $g$ and with a homotopy between the composition of the two maps to $K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2)$. We call such a lift $\rho$ a normal structure of $M$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}))$ compatible with $g$ and the orientation resp. Spin-structure. If a normal structure $\rho$ is a 2 -equivalence, it is called a normal 1-smoothing. Notice that a normal structure $\rho$ is a normal 1-smoothing if and only if the underlying map $g$ induces an isomorphism on $\pi_{1}$.

Given a fibration $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B S O}$ or $\left.\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B S}{ }_{\checkmark}\right\rangle \backslash$ we denote the bordism group of ndimensional closed oriented or Spin-manifolds together with a lift of the normal Gauss map over $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$
\Omega_{n}(\mathcal{B})
$$

If $\rho$ is a normal 1-smoothing of $M$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})$ ), then the pair $(M, \rho)$ determines an element in $\Omega_{4}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M})))$.

Now we can formulate the main result of the bordism approach to the stable classification of connected four-manifolds due to the first author [8].

## Theorem 2.1 (Stable Classification of Four-Manifolds by Bordism Theory)

Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be connected four-manifolds with orientation respectively Spin-structure and $\partial f: \partial M_{1} \longrightarrow \partial M_{2}$ be a diffeomorphism which preserves the induced orientation respectively Spin-structure. Suppose that the normal 1-type of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ is equal to $T$ and denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ any representation of the associated fibration. Let $g_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow K(\pi, 1)$ be classifying maps of the universal covering respecting $w_{2}$ such that $\left.g_{2}\right|_{\partial M_{2}} \circ \partial f=\left.g_{1}\right|_{\partial M_{1}}$.

1. There exists a stable oriented (Spin-structure preserving, if $M_{i}$ are Spin) diffeomorphism

$$
f: M_{1} \longrightarrow M_{2}
$$

extending $\partial f$ such that the maps $g_{2} \circ f$ and $g_{1}$ to $K(\pi, 1)$ are homotopic if and only if there are normal 1-smoothings $\rho_{i}$ of $M_{i}$ compatible with $g_{i}$ and the orientations resp. Spin-structures, such that $\left.\rho_{2}\right|_{\partial M_{2}} \circ \partial f$ and $\left.\rho_{1}\right|_{\partial M_{1}}$ agree and

$$
\left[M_{1}^{-} \cup_{\partial f} M_{2}, \rho_{1}^{-} \cup_{\partial f} \rho_{2}\right]=0 \quad \in \Omega_{4}(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}))
$$

2. Given a manifold with boundary together with a lift of the normal Gauss-map to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$, it is bordant relative boundary to a normal 1-smoothing.

The strategy for proving the main Theorems is to analyse how the bordism group decomposes if the fundamental group splits as a free product. For this the following categorial considerations are useful.

Denote $K=K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2)$ or $K=*$. Define a category $\mathcal{C}$ as follows. An object $(X, u)$ is a map $u: X \longrightarrow K$ and a morphism $(f, \phi):(X, u) \longrightarrow(Y, v)$ consists of a map $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ together with a homotopy $\phi: v \circ f \simeq u$. The composition $(g, \psi) \circ(f, \phi)$ is defined by $(g \circ f,(\psi \circ(f \times \mathrm{id})) * \phi)$ where $*$ denotes the composition of homotopies. If the homotopy $\psi$ is the constant homotopy, we abbreviate $(f, \psi)$ by $f$. Two morphisms $\left(f_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)$ from $(X, u)$ to $(Y, v)$ are called homotopic if they can be connected by a continuous one parameter family of morphisms $\left(f_{t}, \phi_{t}\right)$. The following elementary facts will frequently be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2 Let $(f, \phi):(X, u) \longrightarrow(Y, v)$ be a morphism. If $g: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a map homotopic to $f$, then there is a homotopy $\psi: v \circ g \simeq u$ such that the morphisms $(f, \phi)$ and $(g, \psi)$ are homotopic. If $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, then there is a morphism $(g, \psi):(Y, v) \longrightarrow(X, u)$ such that both compositions of $(f, \phi)$ and $(g, \psi)$ are homotopic to the identity morphism.

Let $q: B \rightarrow K$ be a fixed map. Given an object ( $X, u$ ), we have the pullback


For a manifold $M$ and appropriate choices of $q$ and $u$, the fibration $\mathcal{B}(\sqcap)$ over $B$ corresponds to a normal 1-type as described above. More precisely, if $w_{2}=\infty$, let $B=B S O$, $K=*$ and $X=K\left(\pi_{1}(M), 1\right)$. For $w_{2}=0$ choose $B=B S$ pin instead of $B S O$. For $w_{2} \neq 0$, $\infty$ choose $B=B S O, K=K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2), X=K\left(\pi_{1}(M), 1\right)$ and $q$ and $u$ maps representing the second Stiefel Whitney classes. Then in all three cases $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}))=\mathcal{B}(\sqcap)$.

For the special purpose of this paper the formulation of $\mathcal{B}(\sqcap)$ has the advantage that it separates the categorial input, namely the fundamental group data encoded in $K(\pi, 1)$ from the other data like orientation and Spin-structure.

Define

$$
\Omega_{n}(X, u)=\Omega_{n}(\mathcal{B}(\sqcap))
$$

A morphism $(f, \phi):(X, u) \longrightarrow(Y, v)$ defines by the universal property of the construction $P(-)$ a fiber map $P(f, \phi): P(u) \longrightarrow P(v)$ where fiber map means $P(f, \phi) \circ v^{\prime}=u^{\prime}$. If we apply $\Omega_{n}$ to it, we obtain a homomorphism denoted by

$$
\Omega_{n}(f, \phi): \Omega_{n}(X, u) \longrightarrow \Omega_{n}(Y, v)
$$

Clearly this is a functor on $\mathcal{C}$. Moreover, it is a generalized homology theory in the sense that it has the following properties. It is homotopy invariant, i.e., homotopic morphisms induce the same homomorphism. There is a Mayer-Vietoris sequence in the following sense. Consider the following pushout

with $i_{1}$ a cofibration. Put $j_{0}=j_{2} \circ i_{2}=j_{1} \circ i_{1}$. Let $(X, u)$ be an object. We obtain objects $\left(X_{k}, u_{k}\right)$ by $u_{k}=u \circ j_{k}$ and morphisms $j_{k}:\left(X_{k}, u_{k}\right) \longrightarrow(X, u)$ for $k=0,1,2$. Recall that we omit constant homotopies in our notation for morphisms. Now there is a long exact Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ldots \quad \stackrel{\delta}{\rightarrow} \Omega_{n}\left(X_{0}, u_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\Omega_{n}\left(i_{1}\right), \Omega_{n}\left(i_{2}\right)\right)} \Omega_{n}\left(X_{1}, u_{1}\right) \oplus \Omega_{n}\left(X_{2}, u_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\Omega_{n}\left(j_{1}\right)-\Omega_{n}\left(j_{2}\right)} \Omega_{n}(X, u) \\
\stackrel{\delta}{\rightarrow} \Omega_{n-1}\left(X_{0}, u_{0}\right) \rightarrow \quad \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Namely, we obtain a pushout with a cofibration as horizontal upper arrow

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{P\left(u_{0}\right)} \stackrel{\overline{P\left(i_{1}\right)}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{P\left(u_{1}\right)} \\
\overline{P\left(i_{2}\right)} \downarrow \\
\overline{P\left(u_{2}\right)} \underset{\overrightarrow{P\left(j_{2}\right)}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{P\left(u_{0}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Notice that the bordism group $\Omega_{n}(\mathcal{B})$ can be identified with the bordism group of the stable vector bundle over $\mathcal{B}$ which is the pullback of the universal bundle over $B S O$ respectively $B S$ pin and thus the existence of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence follows by standard arguments, namely the Pontrjagin-Thom construction and the fact that stable homotopy is a generalized homology theory [1, Kapitel II].

Let $(X, u)$ be an object with path-connected $X$. Denote by $*$ the space consisting of one point. Consider an object $(*, v)$ and a morphism $(j, \mu):(*, v) \longrightarrow(X, u)$. Define

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{n}(X, u):=\operatorname{cok}\left(\Omega_{n}(j, \mu): \Omega_{n}(*, v) \longrightarrow \Omega_{n}(X, u)\right)
$$

We want to show that the definition of $\widetilde{\Omega}_{n}(X, u)$ is independent of the choice of $v$ and $(j, \mu)$ and that a morphism $(f, \phi):(X, u) \longrightarrow(Y, v)$ induces a homomorphism making the following diagram commute for pr the canonical projection.


This follows from the following fact and Lemma 2.2. If $\left(j^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right):\left(*, v^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow(Y, v)$ is a morphism and $(j, \mu)$ and $(f, \phi)$ are as above, then there is a morphism (id, $\psi):(*, v) \longrightarrow\left(*, v^{\prime}\right)$ such that $(f, \phi) \circ(j, \mu)$ and $\left(j^{\prime}, \mu^{\prime}\right) \circ(\mathrm{id}, \psi)$ are homotopic morphisms.

The reduced group $\widetilde{\Omega}_{n}(X, u)$ is relevant for our Uniqueness Theorem 1.4 since there we classify stably up to connected sum with a simply connected oriented resp. Spin-manifold and by Theorem 2.1 this is decided in the reduced bordism group.

Next we make some computations for this generalized homology theory. Recall that sign denotes the signature. The group $\Omega_{n}(*, v)$ is either equal to $\Omega_{n}^{S O}$ if $B=B S O$, or to $\Omega_{n}^{S p i n}$ if $B=B$ Spin.

Lemma 2.3 1. The following table gives generators and explicite isomorphisms for the various bordism groups:

$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\Omega_{3}^{S O}=0 & \\
\Omega_{3}^{\text {Spin }}=0 & \\
\text { sign : } \Omega_{4}^{\text {SO }} \xlongequal{\cong} & \mathbb{Z} \\
\text { sign : } \Omega_{4}^{\text {Spin }} \xrightarrow{\cong} 16 \cdot \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{C} P^{2}
\end{array}
$$

2. $\left.\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(K(F, 1), u)\right)=0$ for $F$ a finitely generated free group and both cases $B=B S O$ or $B=B$ Spin.

Proof : 1.) is standard. 2.) follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence applied to a wedge of $S^{1}$ s and to the pushout which decribes $S^{1}$ as the identification of the two end points of $[0,1]$ to one point.

## 3. Proof of the Existence of a Stable Kneser Splitting

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. We recall that the normal 1-type of a manifold $M$ determines a fibration $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}))$. With the notation of the last section, if $M$ has $w_{2}=\infty$, let $B=B S O, K=*$ and $X=K\left(\pi_{1}(M), 1\right)$. For $w_{2}=0$ choose $B=B S p i n$ instead of BSO. For $w_{2} \neq 0, \infty$ choose $B=B S O, K=K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2), X=K\left(\pi_{1}(M), 1\right)$ and $q$ and $u$ maps representing the second Stiefel Whitney classes. Then in all three cases $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{M}))=\mathcal{B}(\sqcap)$.

Firstly we show that we can assume without loss of generality that no boundary component $C$ of $M$ is $\pi_{1}$-null, i.e. the inclusion induces the trivial map $\pi_{1}(C) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}$ be the $\pi_{1}$-null boundary components of $M$. Since $\Omega_{3}(*, v)$ is trivial by Lemma 2.3, there is a nullbordism $N_{i}$ for each $C_{i}$ with respect to $(*, v)$. By 0- and 1-dimensional surgery on the interior of $N_{i}$ we can achieve that $N_{i}$ is simply connected. Define

$$
\widehat{M}=M \cup_{C_{1}} N_{1}^{-} \cup_{C_{2}} \ldots \cup_{C_{m}} N_{m}^{-}
$$

By Theorem 2.1 there is a stable oriented diffeomorphism $f: M \longrightarrow \widehat{M} \sharp N_{1} \sharp \ldots \sharp N_{m}$ which induces on the fundamental groups the isomorphism induced by the inclusion of $M$ in $\widehat{M}$. No boundary component of $\widehat{M}$ is $\pi_{1}$-null. Obviously it suffices to prove the claim for $\widehat{M}$.

If $C$ is a component of $\partial M$, there is by assumption an index $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that the image of $\alpha \circ \pi_{1}(j)$ for $j: C \longrightarrow M$ the inclusion is subconjugated to $\Gamma_{i}$. Since we also assume that this image is non-trivial, this index is unique. For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ let $\partial_{i} M$ be the union of those components $C$ of $\partial M$ for which this index is $i$. Since the inclusion $\partial M \longrightarrow M$ is a cofibration, we can construct maps $g: M \longrightarrow \vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right)$ and $\partial_{i} g: \partial_{i} M \longrightarrow K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ such that the restriction of $g$ to $\partial_{i} M$ is the composition of $g_{i}$ with the canonical inclusion $j_{i}: K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right) \longrightarrow \vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right)$ and $g$ induces $\alpha$ on the fundamental groups. Choose pointed maps $u_{i}: K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right) \longrightarrow K$ such that the composition $u=\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right) \circ g: M \longrightarrow K$ corresponds to the Stiefel-Whitney classes of $M$ in the case where $K$ is not a point, but $K(\mathbb{Z} / 2,2)$. Let $\rho$ be normal 1 -smoothings of $M$ in $\mathcal{B}(\sqcap)$ compatible with $g$ and the orientation resp. Spin-structure. Denote the restriction of $\rho$ to $\partial_{i} M$ by $\partial_{i} \rho$. By construction the homomorphism

$$
\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \Omega_{3}\left(j_{i}\right): \oplus_{i=1}^{n} \Omega_{3}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right), u_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega_{3}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right), \vee_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right)
$$

sends $\left(\left[\partial_{i} M, \partial_{i} \rho\right] \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right)$ to the element $\left[\partial M,\left.\rho\right|_{\partial M}\right]$ which is zero since $(M, \rho)$ is a nullbordism for its representative. This homomorphism is injective by a Mayer-Vietoris argument and Lemma 2.3. Hence we can find nullbordisms ( $V_{i}, \sigma_{i}$ ) for $\left(\partial_{i} M, \partial_{i} \rho\right)$ with respect to $\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right), u_{i}\right)$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. By the same argument as above the homomorphism

$$
\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \Omega_{4}\left(j_{i}\right): \oplus_{i=1}^{n} \Omega_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right), u_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \Omega_{4}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right), \vee_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right)
$$

is surjective. Let $\left(\left[W_{i}, \tau_{i}\right] \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right)$ be a preimage of $-\left[M^{-} \cup_{\partial M} \coprod_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}, \rho^{-} \cup \coprod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}\right]$ and then we get

$$
\left[M^{-} \cup_{\partial M} \coprod_{i=1}^{n}\left(V_{i} \coprod W_{i}\right), \rho^{-} \cup \coprod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sigma_{i} \coprod \tau_{i}\right)\right]=0 \quad \in \Omega_{4}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right), \vee_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right)
$$

By Theorem $2.1\left(V_{i} \amalg W_{i}\right),\left(\sigma_{i} \amalg \tau_{i}\right)$ is bordant relative boundary to a normal 1-smoothing $\left(M_{i}, \rho_{i}\right)$. We have

$$
\left[M \cup_{\partial M} \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}, \rho \cup \sharp_{i=1}^{n}\left(j_{i} \circ \rho_{i}\right)\right]=0 \quad \in \Omega_{4}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right), \vee_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}\right) .
$$

By Theorem 2.1 there is a stable oriented diffeomorphism

$$
f: M \longrightarrow \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}
$$

such that the composition $\sharp_{i=1}^{n}\left(j_{i} \circ g_{i}\right) \circ f$ is homotopic to $g: M \longrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right)$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## 4. Proof of the Uniqueness Result

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4. We firstly show that we can assume without loss of generality that none of the manifolds $N_{j}$ respectively $N_{j}^{\prime}$ are present. By counting the $\pi_{1}$-null components we conclude $p=q$. After possibly renumbering the $N_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ 's, we can assume that $f$ maps $\partial N_{j}$ to $\partial N_{j}^{\prime}$ for all $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, q\}$. Since each $N_{j}$ and $N_{j}^{\prime}$ is simply connected, the desired oriented diffeomorphism $g_{j}: N_{j} \sharp T_{j} \longrightarrow N_{j}^{\prime} \sharp T_{j}^{\prime}$ exists by Theorem 2.1. Again by Theorem 2.1 there is a stable orientation respectively $S p i n$-structure preserving diffeomorphism

$$
\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} \longrightarrow \not \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i} \sharp \sharp_{j=1}^{p} N_{j} \cup_{\partial N_{j}} N_{j}^{-}
$$

inducing on the fundamental group the obvious isomorphism and the claim follows.
Suppose for a moment that $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$ and $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\prime}$ are Spin. We want to show that $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ are diffeomorphic modulo connected sum with appropriate simply connected four-manifolds with Spin-structure. Notice that for all $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ the normal 1-type has $w_{2}=0$. Thus we have to show that $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ have same normal 1-type and admit normal 1-smoothings in $\mathcal{B}\rangle$ which induce the right map on $\pi_{1}$ as stated in Theorem 1.4 and are compatible with $\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}$ such that $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ are bordant rel. boundary (identified via $\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}$ ) in the reduced bordism group corresponding to the normal 1-type, which here is $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}^{\text {Spin }}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)$. If $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}$ and $\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\prime}$ are just oriented manifolds we are allowed to modify $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ by connected sum with any oriented simply connected four-manifold and after adding copies of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ we can assume that the normal 1-type for all $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ has $w_{2}=\infty$. Then we have to show that $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ have same normal 1-type and admit normal 1smoothings in $\mathcal{B}_{\rangle}$which induce the right map on $\pi_{1}$ as stated in Theorem 1.4 and are compatible with $\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}$ such that $M_{i}$ and $M_{i}^{\prime}$ are bordant relative boundary (identified via $\left.\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}\right)$ in the reduced bordism group corresponding to the normal 1-type, which here is $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)=\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}^{S O}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)$. In the following proof the argument is identically the same in the Spin-case and in the oriented case and thus we restrict ourselves for simplicity to the oriented case.

We first show $f\left(\partial M_{i}\right)=f\left(\partial M_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $C$ be a component of $\partial M_{i}$ for $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. Since $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime} \circ f_{*} \circ j_{i}$ is an isomorphism and $C$ is not $\pi_{1}$-null in $M_{i}$, the image of the composition of $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime}$ and the homomorphism $\pi_{1}(f(C)) \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\prime}\right)=*_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ induced by the inclusion is non-trivial. This implies $f(C) \subset M_{i}^{\prime}$.

Let $W^{\prime}$ be obtained from $\coprod_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\prime} \times[0,1]$ by attaching 1-handles to $\coprod_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\prime} \times\{1\}$ such that

$$
\partial W^{\prime}=\coprod_{i=1}^{n}\left(M_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-} \cup_{\amalg_{i=1}^{n} \partial M_{i}^{\prime} \not \sharp_{i=1}^{n} M_{i}^{\prime}}
$$

where we identify $M_{i}^{\prime}$ with $M_{i}^{\prime} \cup_{\amalg_{i=1}^{n} \partial M_{i}^{\prime} \times\{0\}} \coprod_{i=1}^{n} \partial M_{i}^{\prime} \times[0,1]$. Define analogously $W$ for the $M_{i}$ 's. Let $V=W^{-} \cup_{f} W^{\prime}$ be obtained by glueing $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ together along $f$. Choose a map $h_{i}^{\prime}: M_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)$ inducing the identity on the fundamental groups and mapping the embedded disk where the 1-handles are attached to the base point. Let $h: W^{\prime} \longrightarrow \vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)$ be the map which is on $M_{i} \times[0,1]$ the composition of the projection $M_{i} \times[0,1] \longrightarrow M_{i}, h_{i}$ and the canonical inclusion of $K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)$ into $\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right)$ and on the one-handles the constant map. Since the inclusion of $W$ into $V$ is 3 -connected we can extend this map to a map
$h: V \longrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}, 1\right)$. Notice for the sequel that the restriction of this map to $M_{i}^{\prime}$ composed with the projection $\mathrm{pr}_{k}^{\prime}: \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right) \longrightarrow K\left(\Gamma_{k}, 1\right)$ is the constant map for $k \neq i$. Restricting a normal structure of $V$ compatible with $h$ to $M_{i} \cup_{\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}} M_{i}^{\prime}$ yields a normal structure $\rho_{i}$ for $M_{i} \cup_{\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}} M_{i}^{\prime}$ with respect to $\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)$. In the sequel we abbreviate $M_{i}^{-} \cup_{\left.f\right|_{\partial M_{i}}} M_{i}^{\prime}$ by $M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime}$. We conclude

Lemma 4.1 We have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime}, \rho_{i}\right]=0 \quad \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

The projection $\operatorname{pr}_{j}: \vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right) \longrightarrow K\left(\Gamma_{j}, 1\right)$ induces a homomorphisms

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{j}\right): \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{j}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

Notice that

$$
\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(j_{i}\right): \oplus_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{i}\right): \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right) \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

are isomorphisms, inverse to one another, by a Mayer-Vietoris argument and Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 4.2 For $i, k \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ with $i \neq k$ we get

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left[M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime}, \rho_{i}\right]=0 \quad \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

Notice that Theorem 1.4 follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 because they imply together with the pair of inverse isomorphisms above

$$
\left[M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime}, \operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime} \circ \rho_{i}\right]=0 \quad \in \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and then one can apply Theorem 2.1. So it remains to prove Lemma 4.2.

Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}$ be the components of $\partial M_{i}$. Let $k_{j}: \pi_{1}\left(C_{j}\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}$ be the homomorphism induced by the inclusion. Similarly, define $k_{j}^{\prime}: \pi_{1}\left(f\left(C_{j}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$. If $g: G \longrightarrow H$ is a group homomorphism, denote by $H / / g$ the pushout of groups of $* \longleftarrow G \xrightarrow{g} H$. This is the same as the quotient of $H$ by the normal subgroup generated by the image of $g$.

Lemma 4.3 Suppose that $\partial M_{i}$ is non-empty. Then there is an isomorphism

$$
\alpha:\left(\Gamma_{i} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}\right) * F \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(M_{i} / \partial M_{i}\right)
$$

for $F$ a finitely generated free group of rank $m-1$ and a map

$$
\beta: \Gamma_{i} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j} \longrightarrow\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}\right) * *_{1 \leq l \leq n, l \neq i} \Gamma_{l}^{\prime}
$$

such that the composition of $\beta$ with the projection

$$
\overline{\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime}}:\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}\right) * *_{1 \leq l \leq n, l \neq i} \Gamma_{l}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}
$$

is an isomorphism and the following diagram commutes up to inner automorphisms of $\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}$ for $j: \Gamma_{i} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j} * F$ the canonical inclusion and $\overline{g_{i}}$ induced by $\operatorname{pr}_{k}^{\prime} \circ g_{i}$


Before we prove Lemma 4.3, we explain how Lemma 4.2 and hence Theorem 1.4 follow from it. We only treat the more difficult case where $\partial M_{i}$ is non-empty, the other case is similiar and does not use Lemma 4.3.

The underlying map of the normal structure $\rho_{i}$ is $g_{i} \cup g_{i}^{\prime}: M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} K\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}, 1\right)$. The composition $\mathrm{pr}_{k}^{\prime} \circ g_{i}^{\prime}$ is the constant map to the base point. Therefore, we obtain a factorization of $\operatorname{pr}_{k}^{\prime} \circ\left(g_{i} \cup g_{i}^{\prime}\right): M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow K\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}, 1\right)$ as the composition of the projection $q: M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow M_{i} / \partial M_{i}$ and the map $\overline{g_{i}}: M_{i} / \partial M_{i} \longrightarrow K\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}, 1\right)$ induced by $\mathrm{pr}_{k}^{\prime} \circ g_{i}$. The element $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left[M_{i} \cup M_{i}^{\prime}, \rho_{i}\right]$ in $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)$ lies in the image of the composition $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\overline{g_{i}}\right) \circ \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(q)$. The map $\overline{g_{i}}$ induces a map $K\left(\overline{g_{i}}, 1\right): K\left(\pi_{1}\left(M_{i} / \partial M_{i}\right), 1\right) \longrightarrow K\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}, 1\right)$ which induces a homomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\overline{g_{i}}, 1\right)\right): \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\pi_{1}\left(M_{i} / \partial M_{i}\right), 1\right)\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

As $\overline{g_{i}}$ factorizes over $K\left(\overline{g_{i}}, 1\right)$, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\overline{g_{i}}, 1\right)\right)$ is trivial. If $j: G \longrightarrow G * F$ is the inclusion for $F$ a finitely generated free group, then the homomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(K(j, 1)): \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(K(G, 1)) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(K(G * F, 1))
$$

is an isomorphism by a Mayer-Vietoris argument and Lemma 2.3. Hence it suffices to show because of Lemma 4.3 that the homomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\operatorname{pr}_{k}^{\prime} \circ \beta, 1\right)\right): \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{i} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}, 1\right)\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}, 1\right)\right)
$$

is trivial. From the Kurosh Subgroup Theorem 1.5 there are subgroups $A_{p}$ and a free subgroup $F^{\prime}$ of $\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}\right) * *_{1 \leq l \leq n, l \neq i} \Gamma_{l}^{\prime}$ such that each $A_{p}$ is subconjugated to $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}$ or some of the $\Gamma_{l}$ 's and the image of $\beta$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{im}(\beta)=F^{\prime} * *_{p=1}^{q} A_{p} .
$$

Since the composition of $\beta$ with $\overline{\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime}}:\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}\right) * *_{1 \leq l \leq n, l \neq i} \Gamma_{l}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}$ is injective by Lemma 4.3 each $A_{p}$ is subconjugated to $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}$. The inclusion $\iota: *_{p=1}^{q} A_{p} \longrightarrow \operatorname{im}(\beta)$ induces by the argument above an isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(K(\iota, 1)): \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(\vee_{p=1}^{q} K\left(A_{p}, 1\right)\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(\operatorname{im}(\beta))
$$

Since $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}\left(K\left(\operatorname{pr}_{k}^{\prime} \circ \beta, 1\right)\right)$ factorizes through $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(\operatorname{im}(\beta))$ and the composition of $\iota$ with the projection $\operatorname{pr}_{k}^{\prime}:\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}\right) * *_{1 \leq l \leq n, l \neq i} \Gamma_{l}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{k}^{\prime}$ is trivial, $\widetilde{\Omega}_{4}(K(\operatorname{pro\beta }, 1))$ is trivial. This finishes the proof that Lemma 4.3 implies Lemma 4.2 and hence Theorem 1.4.

It remains to prove Lemma 4.3. The map $\alpha:\left(\Gamma_{i} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}\right) * F \longrightarrow \pi_{1}\left(M_{i} / \partial M_{i}\right)$ is given on $\Gamma_{i} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}$ by the map which is induced by the projection $M_{i} \longrightarrow M_{i} / \partial M_{i}$. The free group $F$ has $m-1$ generators and $\alpha$ sends the $i$-th generator to the class in $\pi_{1}\left(M_{i} / \partial M_{i}\right)$ represented by some path in $M_{i}$ joining $C_{1}$ and $C_{j+1}$. Notice that $M_{i} / \partial M_{i}$ is up to homotopy the same as attaching to each boundary component $C_{j}$ the cone over $C_{j}$ and then attaching $m-1$ one-cells such that the $j$-th one-cell joins the top of the cone of $C_{1}$ and $C_{j+1}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. One easily checks using Seifert-van Kampen Theorem that $\alpha$ is an isomorphism.

For appropriate choices of elements $w_{j}$ in $*_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
*_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{1}\left(C_{j}\right) \xrightarrow{*_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{1}\left(f \mid C_{j}\right)} & *_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{1}\left(f\left(C_{j}\right)\right) \\
*_{j=1}^{m} k_{j} \downarrow & \\
\Gamma_{i} \xrightarrow[f_{*} \circ j_{i}]{ } & *_{i=1}^{n} \Gamma_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where $c\left(w_{j}\right)$ denotes conjugation with $w_{j}$. The map $\beta$ is the homomorphism making the following diagram commute


Since $*_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{1}\left(\left.f\right|_{C_{j}}\right)$ is an isomorphism and $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime} \circ f_{*} \circ j_{i}$ is an isomorphism by assumption, the map $\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime} \circ f_{*} \circ j_{i}$ induces an isomorphism $\beta_{1}$ making the following diagram commute


The identity on $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\beta_{2}: \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} c\left(\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime}\left(w_{j}\right)\right) \circ k_{j}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}
$$

The composition of $\beta$ with the projection $\overline{\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{\prime}}:\left(\Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}\right) * *_{1 \leq l \leq n, l \neq i} \Gamma_{l}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{i}^{\prime} / / *_{j=1}^{m} k_{j}^{\prime}$ is the composition of the isomorphisms $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ and hence bijective. The diagram commutes up to inner automorphisms of $\Gamma_{k}^{\prime}$ by construction. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.3 and hence of Theorem 1.4.
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