

# The role of lower and middle K-theory in topology (Lecture I)

Wolfgang Lück  
Münster  
Germany

email [lueck@math.uni-muenster.de](mailto:lueck@math.uni-muenster.de)  
<http://www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/lueck/>

Hangzhou, July 2007

- Introduce the **projective class group**  $K_0(R)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **finiteness obstruction**).
- Introduce  $K_1(R)$  and the **Whitehead group**  $Wh(G)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **s-cobordism theorem**).
- Introduce **negative K-theory** and the **Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition**.

- Introduce the **projective class group**  $K_0(R)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **finiteness obstruction**).
- Introduce  $K_1(R)$  and the **Whitehead group**  $Wh(G)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **s-cobordism theorem**).
- Introduce **negative K-theory** and the **Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition**.

- Introduce the **projective class group**  $K_0(R)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **finiteness obstruction**).
- Introduce  $K_1(R)$  and the **Whitehead group**  $\text{Wh}(G)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **s-cobordism theorem**).
- Introduce **negative K-theory** and the **Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition**.

- Introduce the **projective class group**  $K_0(R)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **finiteness obstruction**).
- Introduce  $K_1(R)$  and the **Whitehead group**  $\text{Wh}(G)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g.,  **$s$ -cobordism theorem**).
- Introduce **negative  $K$ -theory** and the **Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition**.

- Introduce the **projective class group**  $K_0(R)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **finiteness obstruction**).
- Introduce  $K_1(R)$  and the **Whitehead group**  $Wh(G)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **s-cobordism theorem**).
- Introduce **negative K-theory** and the **Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition**.

- Introduce the **projective class group**  $K_0(R)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **finiteness obstruction**).
- Introduce  $K_1(R)$  and the **Whitehead group**  $Wh(G)$ .
- Discuss its algebraic and topological significance (e.g., **s-cobordism theorem**).
- Introduce **negative K-theory** and the **Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition**.

# The projective class group

## Definition (Projective $R$ -module)

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called *projective* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- $P$  is a direct summand in a free  $R$ -module;
- The following lifting problem has always a solution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{p} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \swarrow \bar{f} & \uparrow f \\ & & P \end{array}$$

- If  $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_0) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_1) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_2) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.



# The projective class group

## Definition (Projective $R$ -module)

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called *projective* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- $P$  is a direct summand in a free  $R$ -module;
- The following lifting problem has always a solution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{p} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \swarrow \bar{f} & \uparrow f \\ & & P \end{array}$$

- If  $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_0) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_1) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_2) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

# The projective class group

## Definition (Projective $R$ -module)

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called *projective* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- $P$  is a direct summand in a free  $R$ -module;
- The following lifting problem has always a solution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{p} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \swarrow \bar{f} & \uparrow f \\ & & P \end{array}$$

- If  $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_0) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_1) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_2) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

# The projective class group

## Definition (Projective $R$ -module)

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called *projective* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- $P$  is a direct summand in a free  $R$ -module;
- The following lifting problem has always a solution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{p} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \swarrow \bar{f} & \uparrow f \\ & & P \end{array}$$

- If  $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_0) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_1) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_2) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

# The projective class group

## Definition (Projective $R$ -module)

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called *projective* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- $P$  is a direct summand in a free  $R$ -module;
- The following lifting problem has always a solution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{p} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \swarrow \bar{f} & \uparrow f \\ & & P \end{array}$$

- If  $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_0) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_1) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_2) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

# The projective class group

## Definition (Projective $R$ -module)

An  $R$ -module  $P$  is called *projective* if it satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- $P$  is a direct summand in a free  $R$ -module;
- The following lifting problem has always a solution

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{p} & N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \nwarrow \bar{f} & \uparrow f \\ & & P \end{array}$$

- If  $0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules, then  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_0) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_1) \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(P, M_2) \rightarrow 0$  is exact.

- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree. For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.

- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree.  
For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.

- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree. For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.



- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree. For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.

- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree. For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.

- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree. For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.

- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree. For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.

- Over a field or, more generally, over a principal ideal domain every projective module is free.
- If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain, then a finitely generated  $R$ -module is projective (and hence free) if and only if it is torsionfree. For instance  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  is for  $n \geq 2$  never projective as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- Let  $R$  and  $S$  be rings and  $R \times S$  be their product. Then  $R \times \{0\}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R \times S$ -module which is not free.

### Example (Representations of finite groups)

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$  for  $p$  a prime number or 0. Let  $G$  be a finite group.

Then  $F$  with the trivial  $G$ -action is a projective  $FG$ -module if and only if  $p = 0$  or  $p$  does not divide the order of  $G$ . It is a free  $FG$ -module only if  $G$  is trivial.

## Definition (Projective class group $K_0(R)$ )

Let  $R$  be an (associative) ring (with unit). Define its *projective class group*

$$K_0(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are isomorphism classes  $[P]$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules  $P$  and whose relations are  $[P_0] + [P_2] = [P_1]$  for every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

- This is the same as the *Grothendieck construction* applied to the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules under direct sum.
- The *reduced projective class group*  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  is the quotient of  $K_0(R)$  by the subgroup generated by the classes of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, or, equivalently, the cokernel of  $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ .

## Definition (Projective class group $K_0(R)$ )

Let  $R$  be an (associative) ring (with unit). Define its *projective class group*

$$K_0(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are isomorphism classes  $[P]$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules  $P$  and whose relations are  $[P_0] + [P_2] = [P_1]$  for every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

- This is the same as the *Grothendieck construction* applied to the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules under direct sum.
- The *reduced projective class group*  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  is the quotient of  $K_0(R)$  by the subgroup generated by the classes of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, or, equivalently, the cokernel of  $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ .

## Definition (Projective class group $K_0(R)$ )

Let  $R$  be an (associative) ring (with unit). Define its *projective class group*

$$K_0(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are isomorphism classes  $[P]$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules  $P$  and whose relations are  $[P_0] + [P_2] = [P_1]$  for every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

- This is the same as the *Grothendieck construction* applied to the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules under direct sum.
- The *reduced projective class group*  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  is the quotient of  $K_0(R)$  by the subgroup generated by the classes of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, or, equivalently, the cokernel of  $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ .



## Definition (Projective class group $K_0(R)$ )

Let  $R$  be an (associative) ring (with unit). Define its *projective class group*

$$K_0(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are isomorphism classes  $[P]$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules  $P$  and whose relations are  $[P_0] + [P_2] = [P_1]$  for every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

- This is the same as the **Grothendieck construction** applied to the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules under direct sum.
- The *reduced projective class group*  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  is the quotient of  $K_0(R)$  by the subgroup generated by the classes of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, or, equivalently, the cokernel of  $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ .

## Definition (Projective class group $K_0(R)$ )

Let  $R$  be an (associative) ring (with unit). Define its *projective class group*

$$K_0(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are isomorphism classes  $[P]$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules  $P$  and whose relations are  $[P_0] + [P_2] = [P_1]$  for every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

- This is the same as the **Grothendieck construction** applied to the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules under direct sum.
- The *reduced projective class group*  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  is the quotient of  $K_0(R)$  by the subgroup generated by the classes of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, or, equivalently, the cokernel of  $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ .

## Definition (Projective class group $K_0(R)$ )

Let  $R$  be an (associative) ring (with unit). Define its *projective class group*

$$K_0(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are isomorphism classes  $[P]$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules  $P$  and whose relations are  $[P_0] + [P_2] = [P_1]$  for every exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow 0$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

- This is the same as the **Grothendieck construction** applied to the abelian monoid of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules under direct sum.
- The *reduced projective class group*  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  is the quotient of  $K_0(R)$  by the subgroup generated by the classes of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules, or, equivalently, the cokernel of  $K_0(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_0(R)$ .

- Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. It is **stably free**, i.e.,  $P \oplus R^m \cong R^n$  for appropriate  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if  $[P] = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ .
- $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  measures the **deviation** of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules from being stably finitely generated free.
- The assignment  $P \mapsto [P] \in K_0(R)$  is the **universal additive invariant** or **dimension function** for finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.
- **Induction**

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f_*M$  be the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R M$ . We obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$f_*: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S), \quad [P] \mapsto [f_*P].$$

- Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. It is **stably free**, i.e.,  $P \oplus R^m \cong R^n$  for appropriate  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if  $[P] = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ .
- $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  measures the **deviation** of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules from being stably finitely generated free.
- The assignment  $P \mapsto [P] \in K_0(R)$  is the **universal additive invariant** or **dimension function** for finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.
- **Induction**

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f_*M$  be the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R M$ . We obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$f_*: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S), \quad [P] \mapsto [f_*P].$$

- Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. It is **stably free**, i.e.,  $P \oplus R^m \cong R^n$  for appropriate  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if  $[P] = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ .
- $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  measures the **deviation** of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules from being stably finitely generated free.
- The assignment  $P \mapsto [P] \in K_0(R)$  is the **universal additive invariant** or **dimension function** for finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.
- **Induction**

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f_*M$  be the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R M$ . We obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$f_*: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S), \quad [P] \mapsto [f_*P].$$

- Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. It is **stably free**, i.e.,  $P \oplus R^m \cong R^n$  for appropriate  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if  $[P] = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ .
- $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  measures the **deviation** of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules from being stably finitely generated free.
- The assignment  $P \mapsto [P] \in K_0(R)$  is the **universal additive invariant** or **dimension function** for finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.
- **Induction**

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f_*M$  be the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R M$ . We obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$f_*: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S), \quad [P] \mapsto [f_*P].$$

- Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. It is **stably free**, i.e.,  $P \oplus R^m \cong R^n$  for appropriate  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if  $[P] = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ .
- $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  measures the **deviation** of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules from being stably finitely generated free.
- The assignment  $P \mapsto [P] \in K_0(R)$  is the **universal additive invariant** or **dimension function** for finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.
- **Induction**

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f_*M$  be the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R M$ . We obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$f_*: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S), \quad [P] \mapsto [f_*P].$$



- Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. It is **stably free**, i.e.,  $P \oplus R^m \cong R^n$  for appropriate  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if  $[P] = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ .
- $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  measures the **deviation** of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules from being stably finitely generated free.
- The assignment  $P \mapsto [P] \in K_0(R)$  is the **universal additive invariant** or **dimension function** for finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.
- **Induction**

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f_*M$  be the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R M$ . We obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$f_*: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S), \quad [P] \mapsto [f_*P].$$

- Let  $P$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. It is **stably free**, i.e.,  $P \oplus R^m \cong R^n$  for appropriate  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if  $[P] = 0$  in  $\tilde{K}_0(R)$ .
- $\tilde{K}_0(R)$  measures the **deviation** of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules from being stably finitely generated free.
- The assignment  $P \mapsto [P] \in K_0(R)$  is the **universal additive invariant** or **dimension function** for finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.
- **Induction**

Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. Given an  $R$ -module  $M$ , let  $f_*M$  be the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R M$ . We obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$f_*: K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(S), \quad [P] \mapsto [f_*P].$$

- **Compatibility with products**

The two projections from  $R \times S$  to  $R$  and  $S$  induce isomorphisms

$$K_0(R \times S) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R) \times K_0(S).$$

- **Morita equivalence**

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M_n(R)$  be the ring of  $(n, n)$ -matrices over  $R$ . We can consider  $R^n$  as a  $M_n(R)$ - $R$ -bimodule and as a  $R$ - $M_n(R)$ -bimodule.

Tensoring with these yields mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(M_n(R)), & [P] & \mapsto & [M_n(R)R^n \otimes_R P]; \\ K_0(M_n(R)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & [Q] & \mapsto & [R^n \otimes_{M_n(R)} Q]. \end{array}$$

- **Compatibility with products**

The two projections from  $R \times S$  to  $R$  and  $S$  induce isomorphisms

$$K_0(R \times S) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R) \times K_0(S).$$

- **Morita equivalence**

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M_n(R)$  be the ring of  $(n, n)$ -matrices over  $R$ . We can consider  $R^n$  as a  $M_n(R)$ - $R$ -bimodule and as a  $R$ - $M_n(R)$ -bimodule.

Tensoring with these yields mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(R) &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(M_n(R)), & [P] &\mapsto [M_n(R)R^n \otimes_R P]; \\ K_0(M_n(R)) &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & [Q] &\mapsto [R^n \otimes_{M_n(R)} Q]. \end{aligned}$$

- **Compatibility with products**

The two projections from  $R \times S$  to  $R$  and  $S$  induce isomorphisms

$$K_0(R \times S) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R) \times K_0(S).$$

- **Morita equivalence**

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M_n(R)$  be the ring of  $(n, n)$ -matrices over  $R$ . We can consider  $R^n$  as a  $M_n(R)$ - $R$ -bimodule and as a  $R$ - $M_n(R)$ -bimodule.

Tensoring with these yields mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(M_n(R)), & [P] & \mapsto & [M_n(R)R^n \otimes_R P]; \\ K_0(M_n(R)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & [Q] & \mapsto & [R^n \otimes_{M_n(R)} Q]. \end{array}$$

- **Compatibility with products**

The two projections from  $R \times S$  to  $R$  and  $S$  induce isomorphisms

$$K_0(R \times S) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R) \times K_0(S).$$

- **Morita equivalence**

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M_n(R)$  be the ring of  $(n, n)$ -matrices over  $R$ .

We can consider  $R^n$  as a  $M_n(R)$ - $R$ -bimodule and as a  $R$ - $M_n(R)$ -bimodule.

Tensoring with these yields mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(M_n(R)), & [P] & \mapsto & [M_n(R)R^n \otimes_R P]; \\ K_0(M_n(R)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & [Q] & \mapsto & [R^n \otimes_{M_n(R)} Q]. \end{array}$$

- **Compatibility with products**

The two projections from  $R \times S$  to  $R$  and  $S$  induce isomorphisms

$$K_0(R \times S) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R) \times K_0(S).$$

- **Morita equivalence**

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M_n(R)$  be the ring of  $(n, n)$ -matrices over  $R$ . We can consider  $R^n$  as a  $M_n(R)$ - $R$ -bimodule and as a  $R$ - $M_n(R)$ -bimodule.

Tensoring with these yields mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(R) &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(M_n(R)), & [P] &\mapsto [M_n(R)R^n \otimes_R P]; \\ K_0(M_n(R)) &\xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & [Q] &\mapsto [R^n \otimes_{M_n(R)} Q]. \end{aligned}$$

- **Compatibility with products**

The two projections from  $R \times S$  to  $R$  and  $S$  induce isomorphisms

$$K_0(R \times S) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R) \times K_0(S).$$

- **Morita equivalence**

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M_n(R)$  be the ring of  $(n, n)$ -matrices over  $R$ . We can consider  $R^n$  as a  $M_n(R)$ - $R$ -bimodule and as a  $R$ - $M_n(R)$ -bimodule.

Tensoring with these yields mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(M_n(R)), & [P] & \mapsto & [M_n(R)R^n_R \otimes_R P]; \\ K_0(M_n(R)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & [Q] & \mapsto & [R R^n_{M_n(R)} \otimes_{M_n(R)} Q]. \end{array}$$



## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & n & \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, & [P] & \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the **representation ring**  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of **class functions**  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.

## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & n & \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, & [P] & \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the **representation ring**  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of **class functions**  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.

## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & n & \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, & [P] & \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the **representation ring**  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of **class functions**  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.

## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), \quad n \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, \quad [P] \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the **representation ring**  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of **class functions**  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.

## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), & n & \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, & [P] & \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the representation ring  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of class functions  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.

## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), \quad n \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, \quad [P] \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the representation ring  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of class functions  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.

## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), \quad n \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, \quad [P] \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the **representation ring**  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of **class functions**  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.

## Example (Principal ideal domains)

If  $R$  is a principal ideal domain. Let  $F$  be its quotient field. Then we obtain mutually inverse isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(R), \quad n \mapsto [R^n]; \\ K_0(R) & \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, \quad [P] \mapsto \dim_F(F \otimes_R P). \end{array}$$

## Example (Representation ring)

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero. Then the **representation ring**  $R_F(G)$  is the same as  $K_0(FG)$ . Taking the character of a representation yields an isomorphism

$$R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} = K_0(\mathbb{C}G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{class}(G, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $\text{class}(G; \mathbb{C})$  is the complex vector space of **class functions**  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , i.e., functions, which are constant on conjugacy classes.



## Example (Dedekind domains)

- Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, for instance the ring of integers in an algebraic number field.
- Call two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $R$  equivalent if there exists non-zero elements  $r$  and  $s$  in  $R$  with  $rI = sJ$ . The **ideal class group**  $C(R)$  is the abelian group of equivalence classes of ideals under multiplication of ideals.
- Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$C(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}_0(R), \quad [I] \mapsto [I].$$

- The structure of the finite abelian group

$$C(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p])$$

is only known for small prime numbers  $p$ .

## Example (Dedekind domains)

- Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, for instance the ring of integers in an algebraic number field.
- Call two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $R$  equivalent if there exists non-zero elements  $r$  and  $s$  in  $R$  with  $rI = sJ$ . The **ideal class group**  $C(R)$  is the abelian group of equivalence classes of ideals under multiplication of ideals.
- Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$C(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}_0(R), \quad [I] \mapsto [I].$$

- The structure of the finite abelian group

$$C(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p])$$

is only known for small prime numbers  $p$ .

## Example (Dedekind domains)

- Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, for instance the ring of integers in an algebraic number field.
- Call two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $R$  equivalent if there exists non-zero elements  $r$  and  $s$  in  $R$  with  $rI = sJ$ . The ideal class group  $C(R)$  is the abelian group of equivalence classes of ideals under multiplication of ideals.
- Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$C(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}_0(R), \quad [I] \mapsto [I].$$

- The structure of the finite abelian group

$$C(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p])$$

is only known for small prime numbers  $p$ .

## Example (Dedekind domains)

- Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, for instance the ring of integers in an algebraic number field.
- Call two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $R$  equivalent if there exists non-zero elements  $r$  and  $s$  in  $R$  with  $rI = sJ$ . The **ideal class group**  $C(R)$  is the abelian group of equivalence classes of ideals under multiplication of ideals.
- Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$C(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}_0(R), \quad [I] \mapsto [I].$$

- The structure of the finite abelian group

$$C(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p])$$

is only known for small prime numbers  $p$ .

## Example (Dedekind domains)

- Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, for instance the ring of integers in an algebraic number field.
- Call two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $R$  equivalent if there exists non-zero elements  $r$  and  $s$  in  $R$  with  $rI = sJ$ . The **ideal class group**  $C(R)$  is the abelian group of equivalence classes of ideals under multiplication of ideals.
- Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$C(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}_0(R), \quad [I] \mapsto [I].$$

- The structure of the finite abelian group

$$C(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p])$$

is only known for small prime numbers  $p$ .

## Example (Dedekind domains)

- Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain, for instance the ring of integers in an algebraic number field.
- Call two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  in  $R$  equivalent if there exists non-zero elements  $r$  and  $s$  in  $R$  with  $rI = sJ$ . The **ideal class group**  $C(R)$  is the abelian group of equivalence classes of ideals under multiplication of ideals.
- Then we obtain an isomorphism

$$C(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{K}_0(R), \quad [I] \mapsto [I].$$

- The structure of the finite abelian group

$$C(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\exp(2\pi i/p)]) \cong \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/p])$$

is only known for small prime numbers  $p$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- Topological  $K$ -theory

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological  $K$ -theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- Topological  $K$ -theory

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological  $K$ -theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$



## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological K-theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological K-theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological K-theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological K-theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological  $K$ -theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological  $K$ -theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological K-theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological K-theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological  $K$ -theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological  $K$ -theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological K-theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological K-theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

*There is an isomorphism*

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological K-theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological K-theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

*There is an isomorphism*

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$

## Theorem (Swan (1960))

If  $G$  is finite, then  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  is finite.

- **Topological K-theory**

Let  $X$  be a compact space. Let  $K^0(X)$  be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional complex vector bundles over  $X$ .

This is the zero-th term of a generalized cohomology theory  $K^*(X)$  called **topological K-theory**. It is 2-periodic, i.e.,  $K^n(X) = K^{n+2}(X)$ , and satisfies  $K^0(\text{pt}) = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K^1(\text{pt}) = \{0\}$ .

- Let  $C(X)$  be the ring of continuous functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Theorem (Swan (1962))

There is an isomorphism

$$K^0(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(C(X)).$$



# Wall's finiteness obstruction

## Definition (Finitely dominated)

A CW-complex  $X$  is called *finitely dominated* if there exists a finite (= compact) CW-complex  $Y$  together with maps  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $r: Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r \circ i \simeq \text{id}_X$ .

- A finite CW-complex is finitely dominated.
- A closed manifold is a finite CW-complex.

## Problem

*Is a given finitely dominated CW-complex homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex?*

# Wall's finiteness obstruction

## Definition (Finitely dominated)

A CW-complex  $X$  is called *finitely dominated* if there exists a finite (= compact) CW-complex  $Y$  together with maps  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $r: Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r \circ i \simeq \text{id}_X$ .

- A finite CW-complex is finitely dominated.
- A closed manifold is a finite CW-complex.

## Problem

*Is a given finitely dominated CW-complex homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex?*

# Wall's finiteness obstruction

## Definition (**Finitely dominated**)

A CW-complex  $X$  is called *finitely dominated* if there exists a finite (= compact) CW-complex  $Y$  together with maps  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $r: Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r \circ i \simeq \text{id}_X$ .

- A finite CW-complex is finitely dominated.
- A closed manifold is a finite CW-complex.

## Problem

*Is a given finitely dominated CW-complex homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex?*

# Wall's finiteness obstruction

## Definition (Finitely dominated)

A CW-complex  $X$  is called *finitely dominated* if there exists a finite (= compact) CW-complex  $Y$  together with maps  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $r: Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r \circ i \simeq \text{id}_X$ .

- A finite CW-complex is finitely dominated.
- A closed manifold is a finite CW-complex.

## Problem

*Is a given finitely dominated CW-complex homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex?*

# Wall's finiteness obstruction

## Definition (Finitely dominated)

A CW-complex  $X$  is called *finitely dominated* if there exists a finite (= compact) CW-complex  $Y$  together with maps  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $r: Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r \circ i \simeq \text{id}_X$ .

- A finite CW-complex is finitely dominated.
- A closed manifold is a finite CW-complex.

## Problem

*Is a given finitely dominated CW-complex homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex?*

# Wall's finiteness obstruction

## Definition (**Finitely dominated**)

A CW-complex  $X$  is called *finitely dominated* if there exists a finite (= compact) CW-complex  $Y$  together with maps  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $r: Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r \circ i \simeq \text{id}_X$ .

- A finite CW-complex is finitely dominated.
- A closed manifold is a finite CW-complex.

## Problem

*Is a given finitely dominated CW-complex homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex?*

## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$



## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

## Definition (Wall's finiteness obstruction)

A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  defines an element

$$o(X) \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$$

called its *finiteness obstruction* as follows.

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. The fundamental group  $\pi = \pi_1(X)$  acts freely on  $\tilde{X}$ .
- Let  $C_*(\tilde{X})$  be the cellular chain complex. It is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex.
- Since  $X$  is finitely dominated, there exists a finite projective  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complex  $P_*$  with  $P_* \simeq_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_*(\tilde{X})$ .
- Define

$$o(X) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot [P_n] \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}\pi).$$

## Theorem (Wall (1965))

*A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex if and only if its reduced finiteness obstruction  $\tilde{o}(X) \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$  vanishes.*

- A finitely dominated simply connected CW-complex is always homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex since  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ .
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$  and  $\xi \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , there exists a finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong G$  and  $o(X) = \xi$ .

## Theorem (Wall (1965))

*A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex if and only if its reduced finiteness obstruction  $\tilde{o}(X) \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$  vanishes.*

- A finitely dominated simply connected CW-complex is always homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex since  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ .
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$  and  $\xi \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , there exists a finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong G$  and  $o(X) = \xi$ .



## Theorem (Wall (1965))

*A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex if and only if its reduced finiteness obstruction  $\tilde{o}(X) \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$  vanishes.*

- A finitely dominated simply connected CW-complex is always homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex since  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ .
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$  and  $\xi \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , there exists a finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong G$  and  $o(X) = \xi$ .

## Theorem (Wall (1965))

*A finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex if and only if its reduced finiteness obstruction  $\tilde{o}(X) \in \tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(X)])$  vanishes.*

- A finitely dominated simply connected CW-complex is always homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex since  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ .
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$  and  $\xi \in K_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ , there exists a finitely dominated CW-complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong G$  and  $o(X) = \xi$ .

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$ :

- Every finite dominated CW-complex with  $G \cong \pi_1(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex.
- $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$ :

- Every finite dominated CW-complex with  $G \cong \pi_1(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex.
- $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$ :

- Every finite dominated CW-complex with  $G \cong \pi_1(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex.
- $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$ :

- Every finite dominated CW-complex with  $G \cong \pi_1(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex.
- $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$ :

- Every finite dominated CW-complex with  $G \cong \pi_1(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex.
- $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$ :

- Every finite dominated CW-complex with  $G \cong \pi_1(X)$  is homotopy equivalent to a finite CW-complex.
- $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G) = \{0\}.$$



# The Whitehead group

## Definition ( $K_1$ -group $K_1(R)$ )

Define the  $K_1$ -group of a ring  $R$

$$K_1(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are conjugacy classes  $[f]$  of automorphisms  $f: P \rightarrow P$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules with the following relations:

- Given an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (P_0, f_0) \rightarrow (P_1, f_1) \rightarrow (P_2, f_2) \rightarrow 0$  of automorphisms of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules, we get  $[f_0] + [f_2] = [f_1]$ ;
- $[g \circ f] = [f] + [g]$ .

## Definition ( $K_1$ -group $K_1(R)$ )

Define the  $K_1$ -group of a ring  $R$

$$K_1(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are conjugacy classes  $[f]$  of automorphisms  $f: P \rightarrow P$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules with the following relations:

- Given an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (P_0, f_0) \rightarrow (P_1, f_1) \rightarrow (P_2, f_2) \rightarrow 0$  of automorphisms of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules, we get  $[f_0] + [f_2] = [f_1]$ ;
- $[g \circ f] = [f] + [g]$ .

## Definition ( $K_1$ -group $K_1(R)$ )

Define the  $K_1$ -group of a ring  $R$

$$K_1(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are conjugacy classes  $[f]$  of automorphisms  $f: P \rightarrow P$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules with the following relations:

- Given an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (P_0, f_0) \rightarrow (P_1, f_1) \rightarrow (P_2, f_2) \rightarrow 0$  of automorphisms of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules, we get  $[f_0] + [f_2] = [f_1]$ ;
- $[g \circ f] = [f] + [g]$ .

## Definition ( $K_1$ -group $K_1(R)$ )

Define the  $K_1$ -group of a ring  $R$

$$K_1(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are conjugacy classes  $[f]$  of automorphisms  $f: P \rightarrow P$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules with the following relations:

- Given an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (P_0, f_0) \rightarrow (P_1, f_1) \rightarrow (P_2, f_2) \rightarrow 0$  of automorphisms of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules, we get  $[f_0] + [f_2] = [f_1]$ ;
- $[g \circ f] = [f] + [g]$ .

## Definition ( $K_1$ -group $K_1(R)$ )

Define the  $K_1$ -group of a ring  $R$

$$K_1(R)$$

to be the abelian group whose generators are conjugacy classes  $[f]$  of automorphisms  $f: P \rightarrow P$  of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules with the following relations:

- Given an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow (P_0, f_0) \rightarrow (P_1, f_1) \rightarrow (P_2, f_2) \rightarrow 0$  of automorphisms of finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules, we get  $[f_0] + [f_2] = [f_1]$ ;
- $[g \circ f] = [f] + [g]$ .

- This is the same as  $GL(R)/[GL(R), GL(R)]$ .
- An invertible matrix  $A \in GL(R)$  can be reduced by **elementary row and column operations** and **(de-)stabilization** to the trivial empty matrix if and only if  $[A] = 0$  holds in the **reduced  $K_1$ -group**

$$\tilde{K}_1(R) := K_1(R)/\{\pm 1\} = \text{cok}(K_1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(R)).$$

- If  $R$  is commutative, the determinant induces an epimorphism

$$\det: K_1(R) \rightarrow R^\times,$$

which in general is not bijective.

- The assignment  $A \mapsto [A] \in K_1(R)$  can be thought of the **universal determinant for  $R$** .

- This is the same as  $GL(R)/[GL(R), GL(R)]$ .
- An invertible matrix  $A \in GL(R)$  can be reduced by **elementary row and column operations** and **(de-)stabilization** to the trivial empty matrix if and only if  $[A] = 0$  holds in the **reduced  $K_1$ -group**

$$\tilde{K}_1(R) := K_1(R)/\{\pm 1\} = \text{cok}(K_1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(R)).$$

- If  $R$  is commutative, the determinant induces an epimorphism

$$\det: K_1(R) \rightarrow R^\times,$$

which in general is not bijective.

- The assignment  $A \mapsto [A] \in K_1(R)$  can be thought of the **universal determinant for  $R$** .

- This is the same as  $GL(R)/[GL(R), GL(R)]$ .
- An invertible matrix  $A \in GL(R)$  can be reduced by **elementary row and column operations** and **(de-)stabilization** to the trivial empty matrix if and only if  $[A] = 0$  holds in the **reduced  $K_1$ -group**

$$\tilde{K}_1(R) := K_1(R)/\{\pm 1\} = \text{cok}(K_1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(R)).$$

- If  $R$  is commutative, the determinant induces an epimorphism

$$\det: K_1(R) \rightarrow R^\times,$$

which in general is not bijective.

- The assignment  $A \mapsto [A] \in K_1(R)$  can be thought of the **universal determinant for  $R$** .



- This is the same as  $GL(R)/[GL(R), GL(R)]$ .
- An invertible matrix  $A \in GL(R)$  can be reduced by **elementary row and column operations** and **(de-)stabilization** to the trivial empty matrix if and only if  $[A] = 0$  holds in the **reduced  $K_1$ -group**

$$\tilde{K}_1(R) := K_1(R)/\{\pm 1\} = \text{cok}(K_1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_1(R)).$$

- If  $R$  is commutative, the determinant induces an epimorphism

$$\det: K_1(R) \rightarrow R^\times,$$

which in general is not bijective.

- The assignment  $A \mapsto [A] \in K_1(R)$  can be thought of the **universal determinant for  $R$** .

## Definition (Whitehead group)

The *Whitehead group* of a group  $G$  is defined to be

$$\text{Wh}(G) = K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) / \{\pm g \mid g \in G\}.$$

## Lemma

We have  $\text{Wh}(\{1\}) = \{0\}$ .

## Proof.

- The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  possesses an **Euclidean algorithm**.
- Hence every invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced via elementary row and column operations and destabilization to a  $(1, 1)$ -matrix  $(\pm 1)$ .
- This implies that any element in  $K_1(\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by  $\pm 1$ .



## Definition (Whitehead group)

The *Whitehead group* of a group  $G$  is defined to be

$$\text{Wh}(G) = K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) / \{\pm g \mid g \in G\}.$$

## Lemma

We have  $\text{Wh}(\{1\}) = \{0\}$ .

## Proof.

- The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  possesses an *Euclidean algorithm*.
- Hence every invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced via elementary row and column operations and destabilization to a  $(1, 1)$ -matrix  $(\pm 1)$ .
- This implies that any element in  $K_1(\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by  $\pm 1$ .



## Definition (Whitehead group)

The *Whitehead group* of a group  $G$  is defined to be

$$\text{Wh}(G) = K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) / \{\pm g \mid g \in G\}.$$

## Lemma

We have  $\text{Wh}(\{1\}) = \{0\}$ .

## Proof.

- The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  possesses an *Euclidean algorithm*.
- Hence every invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced via elementary row and column operations and destabilization to a  $(1, 1)$ -matrix  $(\pm 1)$ .
- This implies that any element in  $K_1(\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by  $\pm 1$ .



## Definition (Whitehead group)

The *Whitehead group* of a group  $G$  is defined to be

$$\text{Wh}(G) = K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) / \{\pm g \mid g \in G\}.$$

## Lemma

We have  $\text{Wh}(\{1\}) = \{0\}$ .

## Proof.

- The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  possesses an **Euclidean algorithm**.
- Hence every invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced via elementary row and column operations and destabilization to a  $(1, 1)$ -matrix  $(\pm 1)$ .
- This implies that any element in  $K_1(\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by  $\pm 1$ .



## Definition (Whitehead group)

The *Whitehead group* of a group  $G$  is defined to be

$$\text{Wh}(G) = K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) / \{\pm g \mid g \in G\}.$$

## Lemma

We have  $\text{Wh}(\{1\}) = \{0\}$ .

## Proof.

- The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  possesses an **Euclidean algorithm**.
- Hence every invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced via elementary row and column operations and destabilization to a  $(1, 1)$ -matrix  $(\pm 1)$ .
- This implies that any element in  $K_1(\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by  $\pm 1$ .



## Definition (Whitehead group)

The *Whitehead group* of a group  $G$  is defined to be

$$\text{Wh}(G) = K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) / \{\pm g \mid g \in G\}.$$

## Lemma

We have  $\text{Wh}(\{1\}) = \{0\}$ .

## Proof.

- The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  possesses an **Euclidean algorithm**.
- Hence every invertible matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be reduced via elementary row and column operations and destabilization to a  $(1, 1)$ -matrix  $(\pm 1)$ .
- This implies that any element in  $K_1(\mathbb{Z})$  is represented by  $\pm 1$ .



Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.



Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds. We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_2 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Then:

- Let  $F$  be  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Define  $r_F(G)$  to be the number of irreducible  $F$ -representations of  $G$ .

This is the same as the number of  $F$ -conjugacy classes of elements of  $G$ .

Here  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{C}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$ , i.e.,  $gg_1g^{-1} = g_2$  for some  $g \in G$ . We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{R}} g_2$  if and only if  $g_1 \sim g_2$  or  $g_1 \sim g_2^{-1}$  holds.

We have  $g_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g_2$  if and only if  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle g_1 \rangle$  are conjugated as subgroups of  $G$ .

- The Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Its rank is  $r_{\mathbb{R}}(G) - r_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ .
- The torsion subgroup of  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is the kernel of the map  $K_1(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}G)$ .
- In contrast to  $\tilde{K}_0(\mathbb{Z}G)$  the Whitehead group  $\text{Wh}(G)$  is computable.



# Whitehead torsion

## Definition (*h-cobordism*)

An *h-cobordism* over a closed manifold  $M_0$  is a compact manifold  $W$  whose boundary is the disjoint union  $M_0 \amalg M_1$  such that both inclusions  $M_0 \rightarrow W$  and  $M_1 \rightarrow W$  are homotopy equivalences.

## Theorem (*s-Cobordism Theorem, Barden, Mazur, Stallings, Kirby-Siebenmann*)

Let  $M_0$  be a closed (smooth) manifold of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Let  $(W; M_0, M_1)$  be an *h-cobordism* over  $M_0$ .

Then  $W$  is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to  $M_0 \times [0, 1]$  relative  $M_0$  if and only if its *Whitehead torsion*

$$\tau(W, M_0) \in \text{Wh}(\pi_1(M_0))$$

vanishes.

## Definition (*h-cobordism*)

An *h-cobordism* over a closed manifold  $M_0$  is a compact manifold  $W$  whose boundary is the disjoint union  $M_0 \amalg M_1$  such that both inclusions  $M_0 \rightarrow W$  and  $M_1 \rightarrow W$  are homotopy equivalences.

## Theorem (*s-Cobordism Theorem, Barden, Mazur, Stallings, Kirby-Siebenmann*)

Let  $M_0$  be a closed (smooth) manifold of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Let  $(W; M_0, M_1)$  be an *h-cobordism* over  $M_0$ . Then  $W$  is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to  $M_0 \times [0, 1]$  relative  $M_0$  if and only if its *Whitehead torsion*

$$\tau(W, M_0) \in \text{Wh}(\pi_1(M_0))$$

vanishes.

## Definition (*h-cobordism*)

An *h-cobordism* over a closed manifold  $M_0$  is a compact manifold  $W$  whose boundary is the disjoint union  $M_0 \amalg M_1$  such that both inclusions  $M_0 \rightarrow W$  and  $M_1 \rightarrow W$  are homotopy equivalences.

## Theorem (*s-Cobordism Theorem, Barden, Mazur, Stallings, Kirby-Siebenmann*)

Let  $M_0$  be a closed (smooth) manifold of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Let  $(W; M_0, M_1)$  be an *h-cobordism* over  $M_0$ . Then  $W$  is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to  $M_0 \times [0, 1]$  relative  $M_0$  if and only if its *Whitehead torsion*

$$\tau(W, M_0) \in \text{Wh}(\pi_1(M_0))$$

vanishes.

## Definition (*h-cobordism*)

An *h-cobordism* over a closed manifold  $M_0$  is a compact manifold  $W$  whose boundary is the disjoint union  $M_0 \amalg M_1$  such that both inclusions  $M_0 \rightarrow W$  and  $M_1 \rightarrow W$  are homotopy equivalences.

## Theorem (*s-Cobordism Theorem*, Barden, Mazur, Stallings, Kirby-Siebenmann)

Let  $M_0$  be a closed (smooth) manifold of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Let  $(W; M_0, M_1)$  be an *h-cobordism* over  $M_0$ .

Then  $W$  is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to  $M_0 \times [0, 1]$  relative  $M_0$  if and only if its *Whitehead torsion*

$$\tau(W, M_0) \in \text{Wh}(\pi_1(M_0))$$

vanishes.

## Definition (*h-cobordism*)

An *h-cobordism* over a closed manifold  $M_0$  is a compact manifold  $W$  whose boundary is the disjoint union  $M_0 \amalg M_1$  such that both inclusions  $M_0 \rightarrow W$  and  $M_1 \rightarrow W$  are homotopy equivalences.

## Theorem (*s-Cobordism Theorem*, Barden, Mazur, Stallings, Kirby-Siebenmann)

Let  $M_0$  be a closed (smooth) manifold of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Let  $(W; M_0, M_1)$  be an *h-cobordism* over  $M_0$ .

Then  $W$  is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to  $M_0 \times [0, 1]$  relative  $M_0$  if and only if its *Whitehead torsion*

$$\tau(W, M_0) \in \text{Wh}(\pi_1(M_0))$$

vanishes.

## Definition (*h-cobordism*)

An *h-cobordism* over a closed manifold  $M_0$  is a compact manifold  $W$  whose boundary is the disjoint union  $M_0 \amalg M_1$  such that both inclusions  $M_0 \rightarrow W$  and  $M_1 \rightarrow W$  are homotopy equivalences.

## Theorem (*s-Cobordism Theorem*, Barden, Mazur, Stallings, Kirby-Siebenmann)

Let  $M_0$  be a closed (smooth) manifold of dimension  $\geq 5$ . Let  $(W; M_0, M_1)$  be an *h-cobordism* over  $M_0$ .

Then  $W$  is homeomorphic (diffeomorphic) to  $M_0 \times [0, 1]$  relative  $M_0$  if and only if its *Whitehead torsion*

$$\tau(W, M_0) \in \text{Wh}(\pi_1(M_0))$$

vanishes.

## Conjecture (Poincaré Conjecture)

*Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional topological manifold which is a homotopy sphere, i.e., homotopy equivalent to  $S^n$ .  
Then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .*

## Theorem

*For  $n \geq 5$  the Poincaré Conjecture is true.*

## Conjecture (Poincaré Conjecture)

*Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional topological manifold which is a homotopy sphere, i.e., homotopy equivalent to  $S^n$ .*

*Then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .*

## Theorem

*For  $n \geq 5$  the Poincaré Conjecture is true.*



## Conjecture (Poincaré Conjecture)

*Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional topological manifold which is a homotopy sphere, i.e., homotopy equivalent to  $S^n$ .  
Then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .*

## Theorem

*For  $n \geq 5$  the Poincaré Conjecture is true.*

## Conjecture (Poincaré Conjecture)

*Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional topological manifold which is a homotopy sphere, i.e., homotopy equivalent to  $S^n$ .  
Then  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .*

## Theorem

*For  $n \geq 5$  the Poincaré Conjecture is true.*

## Proof.

We sketch the proof for  $n \geq 6$ .

- Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional homotopy sphere.
- Let  $W$  be obtained from  $M$  by deleting the interior of two disjoint embedded disks  $D_1^n$  and  $D_2^n$ . Then  $W$  is a simply connected  $h$ -cobordism.
- Since  $\text{Wh}(\{1\})$  is trivial, we can find a homeomorphism  $f: W \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1]$  which is the identity on  $\partial D_1^n = D_1^n \times \{0\}$ .
- By the **Alexander trick** we can extend the homeomorphism  $f|_{D_1^n \times \{1\}}: \partial D_2^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times \{1\}$  to a homeomorphism  $g: D_1^n \rightarrow D_2^n$ .
- The three homeomorphisms  $id_{D_1^n}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  fit together to a homeomorphism  $h: M \rightarrow D_1^n \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{0\}} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1] \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{1\}} D_1^n$ . The target is obviously homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .



## Proof.

We sketch the proof for  $n \geq 6$ .

- Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional homotopy sphere.
- Let  $W$  be obtained from  $M$  by deleting the interior of two disjoint embedded disks  $D_1^n$  and  $D_2^n$ . Then  $W$  is a simply connected  $h$ -cobordism.
- Since  $\text{Wh}(\{1\})$  is trivial, we can find a homeomorphism  $f: W \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1]$  which is the identity on  $\partial D_1^n = D_1^n \times \{0\}$ .
- By the **Alexander trick** we can extend the homeomorphism  $f|_{D_1^n \times \{1\}}: \partial D_2^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times \{1\}$  to a homeomorphism  $g: D_1^n \rightarrow D_2^n$ .
- The three homeomorphisms  $id_{D_1^n}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  fit together to a homeomorphism  $h: M \rightarrow D_1^n \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{0\}} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1] \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{1\}} D_1^n$ . The target is obviously homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .



## Proof.

We sketch the proof for  $n \geq 6$ .

- Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional homotopy sphere.
- Let  $W$  be obtained from  $M$  by deleting the interior of two disjoint embedded disks  $D_1^n$  and  $D_2^n$ . Then  $W$  is a simply connected  $h$ -cobordism.
- Since  $\text{Wh}(\{1\})$  is trivial, we can find a homeomorphism  $f: W \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1]$  which is the identity on  $\partial D_1^n = D_1^n \times \{0\}$ .
- By the **Alexander trick** we can extend the homeomorphism  $f|_{D_1^n \times \{1\}}: \partial D_2^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times \{1\}$  to a homeomorphism  $g: D_1^n \rightarrow D_2^n$ .
- The three homeomorphisms  $id_{D_1^n}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  fit together to a homeomorphism  $h: M \rightarrow D_1^n \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{0\}} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1] \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{1\}} D_1^n$ . The target is obviously homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .



## Proof.

We sketch the proof for  $n \geq 6$ .

- Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional homotopy sphere.
- Let  $W$  be obtained from  $M$  by deleting the interior of two disjoint embedded disks  $D_1^n$  and  $D_2^n$ . Then  $W$  is a simply connected  $h$ -cobordism.
- Since  $\text{Wh}(\{1\})$  is trivial, we can find a homeomorphism  $f: W \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1]$  which is the identity on  $\partial D_1^n = D_1^n \times \{0\}$ .
- By the **Alexander trick** we can extend the homeomorphism  $f|_{D_1^n \times \{1\}}: \partial D_2^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times \{1\}$  to a homeomorphism  $g: D_1^n \rightarrow D_2^n$ .
- The three homeomorphisms  $id_{D_1^n}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  fit together to a homeomorphism  $h: M \rightarrow D_1^n \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{0\}} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1] \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{1\}} D_1^n$ . The target is obviously homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .



## Proof.

We sketch the proof for  $n \geq 6$ .

- Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional homotopy sphere.
- Let  $W$  be obtained from  $M$  by deleting the interior of two disjoint embedded disks  $D_1^n$  and  $D_2^n$ . Then  $W$  is a simply connected  $h$ -cobordism.
- Since  $\text{Wh}(\{1\})$  is trivial, we can find a homeomorphism  $f: W \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1]$  which is the identity on  $\partial D_1^n = D_1^n \times \{0\}$ .
- By the **Alexander trick** we can extend the homeomorphism  $f|_{D_1^n \times \{1\}}: \partial D_2^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times \{1\}$  to a homeomorphism  $g: D_1^n \rightarrow D_2^n$ .
- The three homeomorphisms  $id_{D_1^n}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  fit together to a homeomorphism  $h: M \rightarrow D_1^n \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{0\}} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1] \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{1\}} D_1^n$ . The target is obviously homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .



## Proof.

We sketch the proof for  $n \geq 6$ .

- Let  $M$  be a  $n$ -dimensional homotopy sphere.
- Let  $W$  be obtained from  $M$  by deleting the interior of two disjoint embedded disks  $D_1^n$  and  $D_2^n$ . Then  $W$  is a simply connected  $h$ -cobordism.
- Since  $\text{Wh}(\{1\})$  is trivial, we can find a homeomorphism  $f: W \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1]$  which is the identity on  $\partial D_1^n = D_1^n \times \{0\}$ .
- By the **Alexander trick** we can extend the homeomorphism  $f|_{D_1^n \times \{1\}}: \partial D_2^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \partial D_1^n \times \{1\}$  to a homeomorphism  $g: D_1^n \rightarrow D_2^n$ .
- The three homeomorphisms  $id_{D_1^n}$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  fit together to a homeomorphism  $h: M \rightarrow D_1^n \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{0\}} \partial D_1^n \times [0, 1] \cup_{\partial D_1^n \times \{1\}} D_1^n$ . The target is obviously homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .





- The argument above does not imply that for a smooth manifold  $M$  we obtain a diffeomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow S^n$ .

The Alexander trick does not work smoothly.

Indeed, there exists so called **exotic spheres**, i.e., closed smooth manifolds which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to  $S^n$ .

- The  $s$ -cobordism theorem is a key ingredient in the **surgery program** for the classification of closed manifolds due to **Browder, Novikov, Sullivan** and **Wall**.
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , an element  $\xi \in \text{Wh}(G)$  and a closed manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n \geq 5$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(M)$ , there exists an  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  over  $M$  with  $\tau(W, M) = \xi$ .

- The argument above does not imply that for a smooth manifold  $M$  we obtain a diffeomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow S^n$ .

The Alexander trick does not work smoothly.

Indeed, there exists so called **exotic spheres**, i.e., closed smooth manifolds which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to  $S^n$ .

- The  $s$ -cobordism theorem is a key ingredient in the **surgery program** for the classification of closed manifolds due to **Browder, Novikov, Sullivan** and **Wall**.
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , an element  $\xi \in \text{Wh}(G)$  and a closed manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n \geq 5$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(M)$ , there exists an  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  over  $M$  with  $\tau(W, M) = \xi$ .

- The argument above does not imply that for a smooth manifold  $M$  we obtain a diffeomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow S^n$ .  
The Alexander trick does not work smoothly.  
Indeed, there exists so called **exotic spheres**, i.e., closed smooth manifolds which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to  $S^n$ .
- The  $s$ -cobordism theorem is a key ingredient in the **surgery program** for the classification of closed manifolds due to **Browder, Novikov, Sullivan** and **Wall**.
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , an element  $\xi \in \text{Wh}(G)$  and a closed manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n \geq 5$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(M)$ , there exists an  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  over  $M$  with  $\tau(W, M) = \xi$ .

- The argument above does not imply that for a smooth manifold  $M$  we obtain a diffeomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow S^n$ .  
The Alexander trick does not work smoothly.  
Indeed, there exists so called **exotic spheres**, i.e., closed smooth manifolds which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to  $S^n$ .
- The  $s$ -cobordism theorem is a key ingredient in the **surgery program** for the classification of closed manifolds due to **Browder, Novikov, Sullivan** and **Wall**.
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , an element  $\xi \in \text{Wh}(G)$  and a closed manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n \geq 5$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(M)$ , there exists an  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  over  $M$  with  $\tau(W, M) = \xi$ .

- The argument above does not imply that for a smooth manifold  $M$  we obtain a diffeomorphism  $g: M \rightarrow S^n$ .  
The Alexander trick does not work smoothly.  
Indeed, there exists so called **exotic spheres**, i.e., closed smooth manifolds which are homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to  $S^n$ .
- The  $s$ -cobordism theorem is a key ingredient in the **surgery program** for the classification of closed manifolds due to **Browder, Novikov, Sullivan** and **Wall**.
- Given a finitely presented group  $G$ , an element  $\xi \in \text{Wh}(G)$  and a closed manifold  $M$  of dimension  $n \geq 5$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(M)$ , there exists an  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  over  $M$  with  $\tau(W, M) = \xi$ .

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$  and a fixed integer  $n \geq 6$

- Every compact  $n$ -dimensional  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(W)$  is trivial;
- $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\text{Wh}(G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$  and a fixed integer  $n \geq 6$

- Every compact  $n$ -dimensional  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(W)$  is trivial;
- $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\text{Wh}(G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$  and a fixed integer  $n \geq 6$

- Every compact  $n$ -dimensional  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(W)$  is trivial;
- $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\text{Wh}(G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}.$$



## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$  and a fixed integer  $n \geq 6$

- Every compact  $n$ -dimensional  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(W)$  is trivial;
- $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\text{Wh}(G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$  and a fixed integer  $n \geq 6$

- Every compact  $n$ -dimensional  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(W)$  is trivial;
- $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\text{Wh}(G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}.$$

## Theorem (Geometric characterization of $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ )

The following statements are equivalent for a finitely presented group  $G$  and a fixed integer  $n \geq 6$

- Every compact  $n$ -dimensional  $h$ -cobordism  $W$  with  $G \cong \pi_1(W)$  is trivial;
- $\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}$ .

## Conjecture (Vanishing of $\text{Wh}(G)$ for torsionfree $G$ )

If  $G$  is torsionfree, then

$$\text{Wh}(G) = \{0\}.$$

## Definition (Bass-Nil-groups)

Define for  $n = 0, 1$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} (K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for $K_1$ (1964))

*There is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,*

$$K_0(R) \oplus K_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_1(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Bass-Nil-groups)

Define for  $n = 0, 1$

$$NK_n(R) := \text{coker} (K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for $K_1$ (1964))

*There is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,*

$$K_0(R) \oplus K_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_1(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Bass-Nil-groups)

Define for  $n = 0, 1$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker}(K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for $K_1$ (1964))

*There is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,*

$$K_0(R) \oplus K_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_1(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Bass-Nil-groups)

Define for  $n = 0, 1$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker}(K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for $K_1$ (1964))

*There is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,*

$$K_0(R) \oplus K_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_1(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Bass-Nil-groups)

Define for  $n = 0, 1$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker}(K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for $K_1$ (1964))

*There is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,*

$$K_0(R) \oplus K_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \oplus NK_1(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_1(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$



## Definition (Negative $K$ -theory)

Define inductively for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$K_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} \left( K_{n+1}(R[t]) \oplus K_{n+1}(R[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \right).$$

Define for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} (K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for negative $K$ -theory)

For  $n \leq 1$  there is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Negative $K$ -theory)

Define inductively for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$K_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} \left( K_{n+1}(R[t]) \oplus K_{n+1}(R[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \right).$$

Define for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} (K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for negative $K$ -theory)

For  $n \leq 1$  there is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Negative $K$ -theory)

Define inductively for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$K_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} \left( K_{n+1}(R[t]) \oplus K_{n+1}(R[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \right).$$

Define for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} (K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for negative $K$ -theory)

For  $n \leq 1$  there is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Negative $K$ -theory)

Define inductively for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$K_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} \left( K_{n+1}(R[t]) \oplus K_{n+1}(R[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \right).$$

Define for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} (K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for negative $K$ -theory)

*For  $n \leq 1$  there is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,*

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Negative $K$ -theory)

Define inductively for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$K_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} \left( K_{n+1}(R[t]) \oplus K_{n+1}(R[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \right).$$

Define for  $n = -1, -2, \dots$

$$NK_n(R) := \operatorname{coker} (K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for negative $K$ -theory)

For  $n \leq 1$  there is an isomorphism, natural in  $R$ ,

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \oplus NK_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Definition (Regular ring)

A ring  $R$  is called *regular* if it is Noetherian and every finitely generated  $R$ -module possesses a finite projective resolution.

- Principal ideal domains are regular. In particular  $\mathbb{Z}$  and any field are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $R[t]$  and  $R[t, t^{-1}] = R[\mathbb{Z}]$  are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $RG$  in general is not Noetherian or regular.

## Definition (Regular ring)

A ring  $R$  is called *regular* if it is Noetherian and every finitely generated  $R$ -module possesses a finite projective resolution.

- Principal ideal domains are regular. In particular  $\mathbb{Z}$  and any field are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $R[t]$  and  $R[t, t^{-1}] = R[\mathbb{Z}]$  are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $RG$  in general is not Noetherian or regular.

## Definition (Regular ring)

A ring  $R$  is called *regular* if it is Noetherian and every finitely generated  $R$ -module possesses a finite projective resolution.

- Principal ideal domains are regular. In particular  $\mathbb{Z}$  and any field are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $R[t]$  and  $R[t, t^{-1}] = R[\mathbb{Z}]$  are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $RG$  in general is not Noetherian or regular.



## Definition (Regular ring)

A ring  $R$  is called *regular* if it is Noetherian and every finitely generated  $R$ -module possesses a finite projective resolution.

- Principal ideal domains are regular. In particular  $\mathbb{Z}$  and any field are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $R[t]$  and  $R[t, t^{-1}] = R[\mathbb{Z}]$  are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $RG$  in general is not Noetherian or regular.

## Definition (Regular ring)

A ring  $R$  is called *regular* if it is Noetherian and every finitely generated  $R$ -module possesses a finite projective resolution.

- Principal ideal domains are regular. In particular  $\mathbb{Z}$  and any field are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $R[t]$  and  $R[t, t^{-1}] = R[\mathbb{Z}]$  are regular.
- If  $R$  is regular, then  $RG$  in general is not Noetherian or regular.

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for regular rings)

Suppose that  $R$  is regular. Then

$$\begin{aligned}K_n(R) &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq -1; \\NK_n(R) &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq 1,\end{aligned}$$

and the Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition reduces for  $n \leq 1$  to the natural isomorphism

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for regular rings)

Suppose that  $R$  is regular. Then

$$\begin{aligned}K_n(R) &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq -1; \\NK_n(R) &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq 1,\end{aligned}$$

and the Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition reduces for  $n \leq 1$  to the natural isomorphism

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

## Theorem (Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for regular rings)

Suppose that  $R$  is regular. Then

$$\begin{aligned}K_n(R) &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq -1; \\NK_n(R) &= 0 \quad \text{for } n \leq 1,\end{aligned}$$

and the Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition reduces for  $n \leq 1$  to the natural isomorphism

$$K_{n-1}(R) \oplus K_n(R) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) = K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]).$$

- There are also higher algebraic  $K$ -groups  $K_n(R)$  for  $n \geq 2$  due to Quillen (1973).
- They are defined as homotopy groups of certain spaces or spectra. We refer to the lectures of Grayson.
- Most of the well known features of  $K_0(R)$  and  $K_1(R)$  extend to both negative and higher algebraic  $K$ -theory.  
For instance the Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition holds also for higher algebraic  $K$ -theory.

- There are also **higher algebraic  $K$ -groups  $K_n(R)$**  for  $n \geq 2$  due to **Quillen (1973)**.
- They are defined as homotopy groups of certain spaces or spectra. We refer to the lectures of **Grayson**.
- Most of the well known features of  $K_0(R)$  and  $K_1(R)$  extend to both negative and higher algebraic  $K$ -theory.  
For instance the Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition holds also for higher algebraic  $K$ -theory.

- There are also higher algebraic  $K$ -groups  $K_n(R)$  for  $n \geq 2$  due to Quillen (1973).
- They are defined as homotopy groups of certain spaces or spectra. We refer to the lectures of Grayson.
- Most of the well known features of  $K_0(R)$  and  $K_1(R)$  extend to both negative and higher algebraic  $K$ -theory.

For instance the Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition holds also for higher algebraic  $K$ -theory.



- There are also higher algebraic  $K$ -groups  $K_n(R)$  for  $n \geq 2$  due to Quillen (1973).
- They are defined as homotopy groups of certain spaces or spectra. We refer to the lectures of Grayson.
- Most of the well known features of  $K_0(R)$  and  $K_1(R)$  extend to both negative and higher algebraic  $K$ -theory. For instance the Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition holds also for higher algebraic  $K$ -theory.

- Notice the following formulas for a regular ring  $R$  and a generalized homology theory  $\mathcal{H}_*$ , which look similar:

$$K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]) \cong K_n(R) \oplus K_{n-1}(R);$$

$$\mathcal{H}_n(B\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{H}_n(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\text{pt}).$$

- If  $G$  and  $K$  are groups, then we have the following formulas, which look similar:

$$\tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}[G * K]) \cong \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}G) \oplus \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}K);$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(B(G * K)) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BG) \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BK).$$

- Notice the following formulas for a regular ring  $R$  and a generalized homology theory  $\mathcal{H}_*$ , which look similar:

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]) &\cong K_n(R) \oplus K_{n-1}(R); \\ \mathcal{H}_n(B\mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathcal{H}_n(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\text{pt}). \end{aligned}$$

- If  $G$  and  $K$  are groups, then we have the following formulas, which look similar:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}[G * K]) &\cong \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}G) \oplus \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}K); \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(B(G * K)) &\cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BG) \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BK). \end{aligned}$$

- Notice the following formulas for a regular ring  $R$  and a generalized homology theory  $\mathcal{H}_*$ , which look similar:

$$K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]) \cong K_n(R) \oplus K_{n-1}(R);$$

$$\mathcal{H}_n(B\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathcal{H}_n(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\text{pt}).$$

- If  $G$  and  $K$  are groups, then we have the following formulas, which look similar:

$$\tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}[G * K]) \cong \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}G) \oplus \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}K);$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(B(G * K)) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BG) \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BK).$$

- Notice the following formulas for a regular ring  $R$  and a generalized homology theory  $\mathcal{H}_*$ , which look similar:

$$\begin{aligned} K_n(R[\mathbb{Z}]) &\cong K_n(R) \oplus K_{n-1}(R); \\ \mathcal{H}_n(B\mathbb{Z}) &\cong \mathcal{H}_n(\text{pt}) \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\text{pt}). \end{aligned}$$

- If  $G$  and  $K$  are groups, then we have the following formulas, which look similar:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}[G * K]) &\cong \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}G) \oplus \tilde{K}_n(\mathbb{Z}K); \\ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(B(G * K)) &\cong \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BG) \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_n(BK). \end{aligned}$$

## Question (*K*-theory of group rings and group homology)

*Is there a relation between  $K_n(RG)$  and group homology of  $G$ ?*

To be continued  
Stay tuned

Question (*K*-theory of group rings and group homology)

*Is there a relation between  $K_n(RG)$  and group homology of  $G$ ?*

To be continued  
Stay tuned

Question (*K*-theory of group rings and group homology)

*Is there a relation between  $K_n(RG)$  and group homology of  $G$ ?*

To be continued  
Stay tuned



Question (*K*-theory of group rings and group homology)

*Is there a relation between  $K_n(RG)$  and group homology of  $G$ ?*

To be continued  
Stay tuned