

# “ $L^2$ -Betti numbers of mapping tori and groups”

by  
Wolfgang Lück

**Abstract:** We prove the following two conjectures of Gromov. Firstly, all  $L^2$ -Betti numbers of a manifold fibered over  $S^1$  are trivial. Secondly, the first  $L^2$ -Betti number of a finitely presented group  $\Gamma$  vanishes provided that  $\Gamma$  is an extension  $\{1\} \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow \{1\}$  of finitely presented groups such that  $\Delta$  is infinite and  $\pi$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup. We conclude for such a group  $\Gamma$  that its deficiency is less than or equal to one and that any closed 4-manifold with  $\Gamma$  as fundamental group satisfies  $\chi(M) \geq |\sigma(M)|$ .

## 0. Introduction

In his preprint [12, page 152 and page 156] Gromov states the following two conjectures:

Let a compact aspherical manifold  $M$  be fibered over the circle  $S^1$ . Then all  $L^2$ -Betti numbers  $b_p(M)$  are trivial.

Let  $\{1\} \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow \{1\}$  be an extension of infinite groups which are fundamental groups of finite aspherical  $CW$ -complexes. Then the first  $L^2$ -Betti number  $b_1(\Gamma)$  is trivial.

We will give affirmative answers to these conjectures. The first conjecture follows from Theorem 2.1 which states that all  $L^2$ -Betti numbers  $b_p(T_f)$  of a mapping torus  $T_f$  of an endomorphism  $f$  of a finite  $CW$ -complex  $F$  vanish. We prove in Theorem 4.1 for an extension  $\{1\} \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow \{1\}$  of finitely presented groups that the first  $L^2$ -Betti number  $b_1(\Gamma)$  vanishes provided that  $\Delta$  is infinite and  $\pi$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup. This implies the second conjecture above. Let  $\Gamma$  be an infinite finitely presented group with trivial first  $L^2$ -Betti number  $b_1(\Gamma)$ . As applications we show in Theorem 5.1 that a closed 4-manifold with  $\Gamma$  as fundamental group satisfies  $\chi(M) \geq |\sigma(M)|$  for  $\chi(M)$  the Euler characteristic and  $\sigma(M)$  the signature. This generalizes a result of Johnson and Kotschick [16]. We prove in Theorem 6.1 that the deficiency of  $\Gamma$  satisfies  $\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1$ .

$L^2$ -Betti numbers were introduced by Atiyah [1]. In Section 1 we recall their definitions and basic properties from the topological point of view. They also have an analytic meaning, namely, the  $p$ -th  $L^2$ -Betti number of a closed Riemannian manifold measures the size of the space of harmonic  $L^2$ -integrable smooth  $p$ -forms of the universal covering [7]. For general information and applications of  $L^2$ -Betti numbers, and in particular of conditions that determine when they vanish, the reader may refer for example to [1], [4], [5], [6],[7],[8], [11],[12], [18], [19], [21] and [22].

The author would like to thank John Lott for fruitful discussions and for pointing out Gromov's preprint [12] to him.

The paper is organized as follows:

1. Preliminaries concerning  $L^2$ -Betti numbers
2. The vanishing of the  $L^2$ -Betti numbers of a mapping torus
3. The first  $L^2$ -Betti number of a total space of a fibration
4. Groups with vanishing first  $L^2$ -Betti number
5. 4-manifolds satisfying  $\chi(M) \geq |\sigma(M)|$
6. Deficiency of groups

## 1. Preliminaries concerning $L^2$ -Betti numbers

In this section we give the basic definitions and properties of  $L^2$ -Betti numbers.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable group and  $l^2(\Gamma)$  be the Hilbert space of square integrable formal sums  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \lambda_\gamma \gamma$  with coefficients  $\lambda_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ . The *von Neumann algebra*  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  is the algebra  $B(l^2(\Gamma), l^2(\Gamma))^\Gamma$  of bounded operators from  $l^2(\Gamma) \rightarrow l^2(\Gamma)$  which commute with the left  $\Gamma$ -action on  $l^2(\Gamma)$ . The *von Neumann trace*  $tr(f)$  of an element  $f \in \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  is the complex number  $\langle f(e), e \rangle$  where  $e \in \Gamma$  is the unit element. This extends to square matrices over  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$  by taking the sum of the traces of the diagonal entries. A *Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -module* is a Hilbert space  $M$  together with a left  $\Gamma$ -action by unitary operators such that there exists an isometric  $\Gamma$ -equivariant embedding into  $H \otimes l^2(\Gamma)$  for a separable Hilbert space  $H$  (which is not part of the structure). We call  $M$  finitely generated if  $H$  can be chosen to be  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for some positive integer  $n$ . The von Neumann dimension  $dim(M)$  of a finitely generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -module  $M$  is the non-negative real number  $tr(pr)$  for any projection  $pr$  in  $M(n, n, \mathcal{N}(\Gamma)) = B(\oplus_{i=1}^n l^2(\Gamma), \oplus_{i=1}^n l^2(\Gamma))^\Gamma$  whose image is isometrically  $\Gamma$ -isomorphic to  $M$ . A *weakly exact sequence*  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{i} N \xrightarrow{p} P \rightarrow 0$  of Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -modules is a sequence of bounded operators such that  $i$  is injective, the closure of the image of  $i$  is the kernel of  $p$  and the closure of the image of  $p$  is  $P$ . Given such a sequence of finitely generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -modules, the relation  $dim(M) - dim(N) + dim(P) = 0$  holds. We have  $dim(M) = 0$  precisely if  $M = \{0\}$ . A (finitely generated) Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -chain complex  $C$  is a chain complex of (finitely generated) Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -modules with bounded  $\Gamma$ -equivariant operators as differential. Its  $L^2$ -homology is defined to be  $H_p(C) = \ker(c_p) / \overline{im(c_{p+1})}$ . Notice that one divides by the closure of the image and not just by the image so that the  $L^2$ -homology is not ordinary homology. Now one can define the  $p$ -th  $L^2$ -Betti number as  $b_p(C) = dim(H_p(C))$  provided that  $H_p(C)$  is finitely generated.

Let  $X$  be a  $CW$ -complex with finite  $d$ -skeleton and fundamental group  $\pi$ . Consider a

group homomorphism  $\phi : \pi \longrightarrow \Gamma$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the universal covering. For dimensions less than or equal to  $d$ , define the finitely generated Hilbert  $\Gamma$ -chain complex  $C(X; \phi^*l^2(\Gamma))$  by  $l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C(\tilde{X})$ . Here the right  $\pi$ -action on  $l^2(\Gamma)$  is induced by  $\phi : \pi \longrightarrow \Gamma$  and the Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -structure comes from the identification of  $l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C_p(\tilde{X})$  with  $\oplus_{i=1}^n l^2(\Gamma)$  given by a cellular  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -basis. The cellular basis is not unique and a different choice of cellular basis will give a different identification. Since the two different identifications differ only by a unitary  $\Gamma$ -equivariant operator, the Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -module structure is independent of the choice of cellular basis. The differentials in dimension less than or equal to  $d$  are bounded  $\Gamma$ -equivariant operators. These considerations prompt the following

**Definition 1.1** *Let  $X$  be a CW-complex with finite  $d$ -skeleton and  $\phi : \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \Gamma$  be a homomorphism. Define for  $p < d$  the  $p$ -th  $L^2$ -Betti number of  $X$  with coefficients in  $\phi^*l^2(\Gamma)$  by*

$$b_p(X; \phi^*l^2(\Gamma)) = b_p(C(X; \phi^*l^2(\Gamma))).$$

*In case  $\Gamma = \pi_1(X)$  and  $\phi = id$ , we abbreviate this to read*

$$b_p(X) = b_p(X; id^*l^2(\pi_1(X))).$$

*If  $B\pi$  has finite  $d$ -skeleton we define for  $p < d$*

$$b_p(\pi) = b_p(B\pi). \quad \blacksquare$$

The next lemma shows in particular that the definition of  $b_p(\pi)$  for  $p < d$  is independent of the choice of  $B\pi$ . Notice that a group  $\pi$  is finitely presented if and only if  $B\pi$  has finite 2-skeleton. Most of the claims of the next lemma are already in the literature provided  $X$  and  $Y$  are finite and  $\Gamma = \pi$  and  $\phi = id$ . We require this more general setup for Theorem 2.1 which is needed in its present form to prove Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1.

**Lemma 1.2** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be CW-complexes having finite  $d$ -skeletons. Let  $\phi : \pi_1(Y) \longrightarrow \Gamma$  be a group homomorphism.*

1. *Suppose  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is  $s$ -connected for  $s \geq 2$ . Then for  $p < \min\{s, d\}$*

$$b_p(X; (\phi \circ f_*)^*l^2(\Gamma)) = b_p(Y; \phi^*l^2(\Gamma)).$$

*If  $s < d$ , then*

$$b_s(X; (\phi \circ f_*)^*l^2(\Gamma)) \geq b_s(Y; \phi^*l^2(\Gamma)).$$

2. *If  $Y$  has finite 2-skeleton, then for  $p = 0, 1$*

$$b_p(\pi_1(Y)) = b_p(Y).$$

3. If  $i : \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma'$  is injective, then for  $p < d$

$$b_p(Y; (i \circ \phi)^* l^2(\Gamma')) = b_p(Y; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)).$$

4. Let  $p : \bar{Y} \longrightarrow Y$  be a  $n$ -sheeted finite covering. Denote by  $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma$  the image of  $\phi \circ p_*$  and by  $\phi_n : \pi_1(\bar{Y}) \longrightarrow \Gamma_n$  the induced map. If  $\Gamma_n$  has index  $n$  in  $\Gamma$ , then for  $p < d$

$$b_p(\bar{Y}; \phi_n^* l^2(\Gamma_n)) = n \cdot b_p(Y; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)).$$

In particular for  $p < d$

$$b_p(\bar{Y}) = n \cdot b_p(Y).$$

5. Assume  $d \geq 1$ . If the image of  $\phi : \pi_1(Y) \longrightarrow \Gamma$  is finite of cardinality  $n$ , then

$$b_0(Y; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Otherwise

$$b_0(Y; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)) = 0.$$

and in particular

$$b_0(\pi) = \frac{1}{|\pi|}.$$

6. If  $Y$  is a finite CW-complex, then

$$\chi(Y) = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot b_p(Y; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)).$$

**Proof :** 1.) In the sequel we write  $\pi = \pi_1(Y)$ . Let  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow \tilde{Y}$  be a lift of  $f$  to the universal coverings. The induced  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain map  $\mathbb{Z}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(X)} C(\tilde{X}) \longrightarrow C(\tilde{Y})$  is  $s$ -connected. Hence it suffices to show the following chain complex analogue (which we will use later): Let  $f : C \longrightarrow D$  be a  $s$ -connected  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain map of free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complexes such that the  $d$ -dimensional chain complexes obtained by truncating  $C|_d$  and  $D|_d$  are finitely generated. Then we have  $b_p(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C) = b_p(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} D)$  if  $p < \min\{s, d\}$  and  $b_s(C) \geq b_s(D)$  if  $s < d$ .

The strategy of the proof is precisely the same as in [19, Lemma 2.4, Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 4.3] which we describe briefly. One extends  $f$  to a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain homotopy equivalence  $f' : C' \longrightarrow D$  such that  $C|_s = C'|_s$  and  $C'_{s+1}$  is finitely generated free if  $s < d$ . Obviously  $b_p(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C) = b_p(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C')$  for  $p < \min\{s, d\}$  and  $b_s(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C) \geq b_s(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C')$  for  $s < d$ . Hence it suffices to show  $b_p(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C) = b_p(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} D)$  for  $p < d$  provided  $f : C \longrightarrow D$  is a homotopy equivalence. We may assume that  $f$  is an inclusion with a free contractible quotient  $D/C$ , otherwise substitute  $D$  by the mapping cylinder. The exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow D/C \longrightarrow 0$  splits yielding an isomorphism between  $D$  and  $C \oplus D/C$ . This reduces the claim to the assertion that  $b_p(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi} C) = 0$  for  $p < d$  provided

that  $C$  is contractible. This follows from the fact that  $C$  is a direct sum  $\bigoplus_{p \geq 1} E(p)$  of free  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -chain complexes  $E(p)$  such that  $E(p)$  is concentrated in dimensions  $p$  and  $p + 1$  and the non-trivial differential is a  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ -isomorphism.

2.) follows from 1.) applied to the classifying map  $Y \longrightarrow B\pi$ .

3.) follows from the elementary proof of [19, Lemma 4.6].

4.) In the sequel  $res$  denotes restriction for the subgroup  $\pi_1(\bar{Y}) \subset \pi_1(Y)$  respectively  $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma$ . Define a bounded  $\Gamma_n$ -equivariant operator for  $p \leq d$

$$I_p : l^2(\Gamma_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(\bar{Y})} res(C_p(\tilde{Y})) \longrightarrow res\left(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(Y)} C_p(\tilde{Y})\right) \quad u \otimes v \mapsto u \otimes v.$$

This map is a well-defined  $\Gamma_n$ -equivariant isometry since  $C_p(\tilde{Y})$  is finitely generated free and  $\pi_1(\bar{Y}) \subset \pi_1(Y)$  and  $\Gamma_n \subset \Gamma$  have the same finite index, namely  $n$ . As the collection  $I_p$  is compatible with the differentials,  $C(\bar{Y}; \phi_n^* l^2(\Gamma_n))$  and  $res(C(Y; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)))$  have the same  $L^2$ -Betti numbers over  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma_n)$  for  $p < d$ . Given a finitely generated Hilbert  $\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)$ -module  $M$ , we have  $dim_{\mathcal{N}(\Gamma_n)}(res(M)) = n \cdot dim_{\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)}(M)$  since  $tr_{\mathcal{N}(\Gamma_n)}(res(k)) = n \cdot tr_{\mathcal{N}(\Gamma)}(k)$  holds for any bounded  $\Gamma$ -equivariant endomorphism  $k$  of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^l l^2(\Gamma)$ . This establishes assertion 4.).

5.) We can assume by assertion 3.) that  $\phi$  is surjective. Choose a set of generators  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_g$  for  $\pi$ . Then  $\phi(s_1), \phi(s_2), \dots, \phi(s_g)$  is a set of generators for  $\Gamma$ . Moreover,  $C(Y; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma))$  is given in dimension 1 and 0 by

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^g l^2(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^g r(\phi(s_i) - 1)} l^2(\Gamma)$$

where  $r(\phi(s_i) - 1)$  is right multiplication with  $\phi(s_i) - 1$ . Hence we can assume  $\pi = \Gamma$  and  $\phi = \text{id}$ . It remains to show  $b_0(\pi) = 0$  if  $\pi$  is infinite and  $b_0(\pi) = 1/|\pi|$  if  $\pi$  is finite. This follows from the observation that  $l^2(\pi)^\pi$  is zero for infinite  $\pi$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  with the trivial  $\pi$ -action for finite  $\pi$ .

6.) follows from the additivity of the von Neumann dimension under weakly exact sequences. ■

Finally we mention the following combinatorial way of computing  $b_1(\pi)$  for a finitely presented group  $\pi$  proved in [21]. Let  $\langle s_1, \dots, s_g | R_1, \dots, R_r \rangle$  be any finite presentation of  $\pi$ . Let  $A$  be the  $(r, g-1)$ -matrix over  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  given by the the Fox derivatives  $A_{i,j} = \frac{\partial R_i}{\partial s_j}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $1 \leq j \leq g-1$ . (The index  $j$  does not take the value  $g$ .) Define for  $u = \sum_{w \in \pi} \lambda_w \cdot w \in \mathbb{R}\pi$  its  $\mathbb{R}\pi$ -trace  $tr_{\mathbb{R}\pi}(u) = \lambda_e \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $e$  is the unit element in  $\pi$ . This extends to a square  $(n, n)$ -matrix  $B$  with entries in  $\mathbb{R}\pi$  by putting  $tr_{\mathbb{R}\pi}(B) = \sum_{k=1}^n tr_{\mathbb{R}\pi}(b_{k,k})$ . Let  $K$  be any real number satisfying  $K \geq \|A\|$  where  $\|A\|$  is the operator norm of the bounded operator  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r l^2(\pi) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{g-1} l^2(\pi)$  induced by  $A$ . A possible choice is the product of  $\sqrt{g-1}$  and the maximum of the word length of the relations  $R_i$  in terms of the  $s_j$ . Denote by  $A^*$  the matrix

obtained from  $A$  by transposing and applying to each entry the involution on  $\mathbb{R}\pi$  sending  $\sum_{w \in \pi} \lambda_w \cdot w$  to  $\sum_{w \in \pi} \lambda_w \cdot w^{-1}$ . Denote by  $(I_{g-1} - K^{-2} \cdot A^*A)^n$  the  $n$ -fold product of the square  $(g-1, g-1)$ -matrix  $(I_{g-1} - K^{-2} \cdot A^*A)$  for  $I_{g-1}$  the unit matrix. Then the sequence of non-negative real numbers  $\text{tr}_{\mathbb{R}\pi} (1 - K^{-2} \cdot A^*A)^n$  is monotone decreasing and converges for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to  $b_1(\pi)$ . In this context we mention Conjectures 9.1 and 9.2 in [19] which imply for torsion-free  $\pi$  that  $b_1(\pi)$  is an integer.

## 2. The vanishing of the $L^2$ -Betti numbers of a mapping torus

Given a self map  $f : F \rightarrow F$ , its *mapping cylinder*  $M_f$  is obtained by gluing the bottom of the cylinder  $F \times [0, 1]$  to  $F$  by the identification  $(x, 0) = f(x)$ . Its *mapping torus*  $T_f$  is obtained from the mapping cylinder by identifying the top and the bottom by the identity. If  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence  $T_f$  is homotopy equivalent to the total space of a fibration over  $S^1$  with fiber  $F$ . Conversely, the total space of such a fibration is homotopy equivalent to the mapping torus of the self homotopy equivalence of  $F$  given by the fiber transport with a generator of  $\pi_1(S^1)$ . The homotopy type of  $T_f$  depends only on the homotopy class of  $f$ .

Let  $L$  denote the colimit of the following system of groups indexed by the integers

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\pi_1(f)} \pi_1(F) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(f)} \pi_1(F) \xrightarrow{\pi_1(f)} \dots$$

Denote by  $i : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow L$  the map at the group indexed by zero. The map  $i$  is bijective if and only if  $\pi_1(f)$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  operate on  $L$  by shifting the sequence. Then  $\pi_1(T_f)$  is the semidirect product of  $L$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to the operation above. Consider any factorization of the canonical epimorphism  $\pi_1(T_f) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  into a composition of epimorphisms  $\pi_1(T_f) \xrightarrow{\phi} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ . Denote by  $\overline{F}$  the covering of  $F$  associated to the homomorphism  $\phi \circ i : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \ker(\psi)$  and by  $\overline{T_f}$  be the covering of  $T_f$  associated to the epimorphism  $\phi$ . Let  $\overline{f} : \overline{F} \rightarrow \overline{F}$  be a lift of  $f$ . Then  $\overline{T_f}$  is the mapping telescope of  $\overline{F}$  infinite to both sides, i.e., the identification space

$$\overline{T_f} = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{F} \times [n, n+1] / \sim$$

where the identification  $\sim$  is given by  $(x, n+1) \sim (\overline{f}(x), n)$ . The group of deck transformations  $\Gamma$  is a semidirect product of  $\ker(\psi)$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  and acts in the obvious way. One easily checks that the cellular  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complex of  $\overline{T_f}$  is the mapping cone of the following  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain map

$$\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\ker(\psi)]} C(\overline{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\ker(\psi)]} C(\overline{F}) \quad \gamma \otimes u \mapsto \gamma \otimes u - \gamma t \otimes C(\overline{f})(u)$$

where  $t$  is a lift of the generator of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\Gamma$ .

The next theorem implies a conjecture by Gromov in [12, page 152]. The case of a manifold fibered over  $S^1$  has already been dealt with in [19, Theorem 4.10].

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $f : F \rightarrow F$  be a self map of a connected CW-complex  $F$  with finite  $d$ -skeleton for  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $\pi_1(T_f) \xrightarrow{\phi} \Gamma \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$  be a factorization of the canonical map  $\pi_1(T_f) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  into epimorphisms. Then the mapping torus  $T_f$  has a CW-structure with finite  $d$ -skeleton and for  $p < d$*

$$b_p(T_f; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)) = 0.$$

**Proof :** Let  $T_f^n$  be obtained from the  $n$ -fold mapping telescope of  $f$  by identifying the bottom and top by the identity. In this notation  $T_f^1$  is just  $T_f$ . There is an obvious  $n$ -fold covering  $p : T_f^n \rightarrow T_f$ . Let  $\Gamma_n$  be the image of  $\phi \circ p_*$  and denote by  $\phi_n : \pi_1(T_f^n) \rightarrow \Gamma_n$  the induced map. Then  $\Gamma_n$  has index  $n$  in  $\Gamma$ . Lemma 1.2.4 implies for all integers  $p < d$

$$b_p(T_f; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)) = \frac{b_p(T_f^n; \phi_n^* l^2(\Gamma_n))}{n}.$$

There is a homotopy equivalence  $g : T_{f^n} \rightarrow T_f^n$ . Hence we get

$$b_p(T_{f^n}; (\phi_n \circ g_*)^* l^2(\Gamma_n)) = b_p(T_f^n; \phi_n^* l^2(\Gamma_n)).$$

The mapping torus  $T_{f^n}$  has a CW-structure such that its  $d$ -skeleton is finite and the number of  $p$ -cells is  $c_{p-1} + c_p$  where  $c_p$  is the number of  $p$ -cells in  $F$ . Thus

$$b_p(T_{f^n}; (\phi_n \circ g_*)^* l^2(\Gamma_n)) \leq c_{p-1} + c_p.$$

We conclude

$$0 \leq b_p(T_f; \phi^* l^2(\Gamma)) \leq \frac{c_{p-1} + c_p}{n}.$$

Taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  proves the claim. ■

### 3. The first $L^2$ -Betti number of a total space of a fibration

In this section we prove

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration of connected CW-complexes such that  $F$  and  $B$  have finite 2-skeletons. Then  $E$  has finite 2-skeleton up to homotopy. If the image of  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(E)$  is infinite and  $\pi_1(B)$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as subgroup, then the first  $L^2$ -Betti number of  $E$*

$$b_1(E) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 requires some preparations. Let  $F \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration of connected  $CW$ -complexes. Denote  $\pi = \pi_1(B)$ ,  $\Gamma = \pi_1(E)$  and let  $\Delta$  be the image of  $\pi_1(F) \longrightarrow \pi_1(E)$ . We obtain the group extension  $\{1\} \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{p_*} \pi \longrightarrow \{1\}$ . The *pointed fiber transport* is a homomorphism of monoids into the monoid of pointed homotopy classes of pointed self maps of the fiber

$$\sigma : \Gamma \longrightarrow [F, F]^+.$$

We recall its definition. Let  $w : I \longrightarrow E$  be a loop at the base point  $e \in E$ . Put  $b = p(e)$  and  $F = p^{-1}(b)$ . Choose a solution  $h$  of the following lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_b \times \{0\} \cup \{e\} \times I & \xrightarrow{i \cup w} & E \\ j \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow p \\ F \times I & \xrightarrow{p \circ w \circ \text{pr}_I} & B \end{array}$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are the obvious inclusions. Then  $\sigma$  assigns to the class  $w \in \Gamma$  the pointed homotopy class of the map  $(F, e) \longrightarrow (F, e)$  sending  $x$  to  $h(x, 1)$ .

Let  $\overline{F} \longrightarrow F$  be the connected covering of  $F$  with  $\Delta$  as group of deck transformations. Let  $c(\gamma) : \Delta \longrightarrow \Delta$  send  $\delta$  to  $\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . A representative of  $\sigma(\gamma)$  lifts to a  $c(\gamma)$ -equivariant (pointed) self map of  $\overline{F}$ . Its  $c(\gamma)$ -equivariant (free) homotopy class denoted by  $\overline{\sigma}(\gamma)$  depends only on  $\gamma$ . Given  $w \in \pi$ , denote by  $\overline{w} \in \Gamma$  some element satisfying  $p_*(\overline{w}) = w$ . Define a  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain map  $U(w) : \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\Delta} C(\overline{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\Delta} C(\overline{F})$  by sending  $\gamma \otimes x$  to  $\gamma\overline{w} \otimes C(\overline{\sigma}(\overline{w}^{-1}))(x)$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain homotopy class of  $U(w)$  is independent of the choice of  $\overline{w}$  and of the representative of  $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{w}^{-1})$  and hence depends only on  $w$ . This follows from the fact that a representative for  $\overline{\sigma}(\delta)$  for  $\delta \in \Delta$  is given by left multiplication with  $\delta$  on  $\overline{F}$ . For  $u = \sum_{\gamma \in \pi} \lambda_{\gamma} \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}\pi$  define  $U(u)$  by  $\sum_{\gamma \in \pi} \lambda_{\gamma} U(\gamma)$ . Then the  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain homotopy classes of  $U(v) \circ U(u)$  and  $U(uv)$  agree and  $U(1) = id$ . Hence we have constructed an algebra homomorphism

$$U : \mathbb{Z}\pi \longrightarrow [\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F}), \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})]_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma}^{op}$$

into the opposite (reverse multiplication) of the algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain homotopy classes of  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain self maps of  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})$ . This is called *chain homotopy presentation* of the given fibration. For more information and (elementary) proofs of some of the claims above we refer to [20, section 1 and 6].

As  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$  the natural  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -map  $S_1 : \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} H_*(C(\overline{F})) \longrightarrow H_*(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F}))$  is bijective. The  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -isomorphism  $S_2 : \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\Delta} H_*(C(\overline{F})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_*(C(\overline{F}))$  sends  $\gamma \otimes x$  to  $p_*(\gamma) \otimes H_*(C(\overline{\sigma}(\gamma)))(x)$  where  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{Z}\pi$  by left multiplication with  $p_*(\gamma) \in \pi$ , on  $H_*(C(\overline{F}))$  by  $H_*(\overline{\sigma}(\gamma))$  and diagonally on  $\mathbb{Z}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_*(C(\overline{F}))$ . Define the  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -isomorphism

$$S : H_*(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_*(C(\overline{F}))$$



by  $S = S_2 \circ S_1^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 3.2** *Given  $u \in \mathbb{Z}\pi$ , let  $r(u) : \mathbb{Z}\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\pi$  be right multiplication with  $u$ . The following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_*(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})) & \xrightarrow{H_*(U(u))} & H_*(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})) \\
\downarrow S & & \downarrow S \\
\mathbb{Z}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_*(C(\overline{F})) & \xrightarrow{r(u) \otimes id} & \mathbb{Z}\pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_*(C(\overline{F}))
\end{array}$$

and has isomorphisms as vertical arrows.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1:** Choose a set of generators  $s_1, \dots, s_g$  of  $\pi$  such that the cyclic subgroup  $\langle s_1 \rangle$  generated by  $s_1$  is infinite. The following sequence is exact

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{Z}\pi \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^g r(1 - s_i)} \mathbb{Z}\pi \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0\}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}$  here and in the sequel carries always the trivial  $\Delta$ -,  $\Gamma$ -, respectively,  $\pi$ -action and the augmentation  $\epsilon$  sends  $\sum_{w \in \pi} \lambda_w w$  to  $\sum_{w \in \pi} \lambda_w$ . As  $H_0(C(\overline{F}))$  is  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , Lemma 3.2 proves the exactness of the sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^g H_0(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{i=1}^g H_0(U(1 - s_i))} H_0(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0\}.$$

Let  $\text{cone}(f)$  denote the mapping cone of a chain map  $f$ . We derive from the long homology sequence that  $H_0(\text{cone}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^g U(1 - s_i)))$  is  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\tilde{E}$  be the universal covering of  $E$ . For a chain complex  $C$  let  $C|_2$  be the 2-dimensional chain complex obtained by truncating  $C$ . Notice that  $C(\tilde{E})|_2$  is a 2-dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complex such that  $H_1(C(\tilde{E})|_2)$  is trivial and  $H_0(C(\tilde{E})|_2)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$  and that  $\text{cone}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^g U(1 - s_i))$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complex. Hence there is a  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain map  $f : \text{cone}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^g U(1 - s_i))|_2 \rightarrow C(\tilde{E})|_2$  inducing the identity on  $H_0$ . Since  $f$  is 1-connected, we conclude from the proof of Lemma 1.2.1

$$b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^g U(1 - s_i))) \geq b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} C(\tilde{E})) = b_1(E).$$

There is an obvious exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{cone}(U(1 - s_1)) \longrightarrow \text{cone}(\oplus_{i=1}^g U(1 - s_i)) \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=2}^g \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\Sigma$  denotes the suspension. It induces the weakly exact sequence in  $L^2$ -homology [4, Theorem 2.1 on page 10]

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(U(1 - s_1))) &\longrightarrow H_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(\oplus_{i=1}^g U(1 - s_i))) \\ &\longrightarrow H_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \oplus_{i=2}^g \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F}))). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $H_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \Sigma(\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})))$  agrees with the  $L^2$ -homology  $H_0(F, (j \circ l)^* l^2(\Gamma))$  where  $j : \Delta \longrightarrow \Gamma$  is the inclusion and  $l : \pi_1(F) \longrightarrow \Delta$  is the obvious surjection. This  $L^2$ -homology group vanishes by Lemma 1.2.5 as  $\Delta$  is infinite. This implies

$$b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(U(1 - s_1))) \geq b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(\oplus_{i=1}^g U(1 - s_i)))$$

and hence

$$b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(U(1 - s_1))) \geq b_1(E).$$

Therefore it remains to show  $b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(U(1 - s_1))) = 0$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  be the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\Delta$  and  $\overline{s_1} \in \Gamma$ . Define  $U'(1 - s_1) : \mathbb{Z}\Gamma' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Delta} C(\overline{F})$  by mapping  $\gamma' \otimes x$  to  $\gamma' \otimes x - \gamma' \overline{s_1} \otimes C(\overline{\sigma}(\overline{s_1}^{-1}))(x)$ . Then  $U(1 - s_1)$  is obtained from  $U'(1 - s_1)$  by induction with the inclusion of groups  $i : \Gamma' \longrightarrow \Gamma$ . This implies

$$b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma'} \text{cone}(U'(1 - s_1))) = b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma} \text{cone}(U(1 - s_1))).$$

Let  $T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}$  be the mapping torus of  $\sigma(\overline{s_1}) : F \longrightarrow F$ . (Notice that the free homotopy class of  $\sigma(\overline{s_1})$  and hence the homotopy type of  $T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}$  depend only on  $s_1 \in \pi$ .) The obvious map  $\pi_1(T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  factorizes into the composition of epimorphisms  $\pi_1(T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}) \xrightarrow{\phi'} \Gamma' \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . We get from Section 2 that  $\text{cone}(U'(1 - s_1))$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma'$ -chain complex of the covering of  $T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}$  associated to  $\phi'$ . So we get

$$b_1(l^2(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}\Gamma'} \text{cone}(U'(1 - s_1))) = b_1(T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}; (i \circ \phi')^* l^2(\Gamma)).$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1 since

$$b_1(T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}; (i \circ \phi')^* l^2(\Gamma)) = b_1(T_{\sigma(\overline{s_1})}; (\phi')^* l^2(\Gamma')) = 0$$

follows from Lemma 1.2.3 and Theorem 2.1. ■

## 4. Groups with vanishing first $L^2$ -Betti number

In this section we give criteria for the triviality of the first  $L^2$ -Betti number of a finitely presented group  $\pi$ . The next theorem answers a query by Gromov [12, page 154].

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $\{1\} \longrightarrow \Delta \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \pi \longrightarrow \{1\}$  be an extension of finitely presented groups. Suppose that  $\Delta$  is infinite and  $\pi$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup. Then the first  $L^2$ -Betti number of  $\Gamma$*

$$b_1(\Gamma) = 0.$$

**Proof :** Apply Theorem 3.1 to the fibration of classifying spaces  $B\Delta \longrightarrow B\Gamma \longrightarrow B\pi$ .

We mention that there are counterexamples to the so called Burnside problem, namely, infinite finitely generated groups which do not contain  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup. At of this writing the author does not know of an example of a infinite finitely presented group which does not contain  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup.

We call a prime 3-manifold *exceptional* if it is closed and no finite cover of it is homotopy equivalent to a Haken, Seifert or hyperbolic 3-manifold. No exceptional prime 3-manifolds are known, and standard conjectures (Thurston geometrization conjecture, Waldhausen conjecture) imply that there are none. The next theorem follows from [19, Corollary 7.7].

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $\pi$  be the fundamental group of a prime 3-manifold  $M$ . Suppose either that  $\partial M$  is a union of tori or that  $M$  is closed and not exceptional. Then*

$$b_1(\pi) = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

Next we investigate closed 4-manifolds with a geometric structure in the sense of Thurston and compute their  $L^2$ -Betti numbers. Note that  $b_p(M) = b_{4-p}(M)$  holds for such a manifold by Poincaré duality [19, Proposition 4.2]. The descriptions of the geometries and the computations of the Euler characteristics and signatures can be found in [24, Table 1 on page 122 and Theorem 6.1 on page 135] with a correction in [17].

**Theorem 4.3** *Let  $M$  be a closed orientable 4-manifold with a geometry in the sense of Thurston and fundamental group  $\pi$ . Then the following are the values of the  $L^2$ -Betti numbers  $b_p$ , the Euler characteristics  $\chi$  and the signatures  $\sigma$  of  $M$*

<i>geometry</i>	$b_0 = b_4$	$b_1 = b_3$	$b_2$	$\chi$	$\sigma$
$S^4$	$\frac{1}{ \pi }$	0	0	$\frac{2}{ \pi }$	0
$S^2 \times S^2$	$\frac{1}{ \pi }$	0	$\frac{2}{ \pi }$	$\frac{4}{ \pi }$	0
$P^2(\mathbb{C})$	1	0	1	3	1
$S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$	0	0	0	0	0
$S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$	0	$-\frac{\chi(M)}{2}$	0	$< 0$	0
$\mathbb{R}^4$	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$	0	0	$\chi(M)$	$> 0$	0
$S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^1$	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbb{H}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$	0	0	0	0	0
$\widetilde{SL}_2 \times \mathbb{R}$	0	0	0	0	0
$Nil^3 \times \mathbb{R}$	0	0	0	0	0
$Nil^4$	0	0	0	0	0
$Sol_{m,n}^4$	0	0	0	0	0
$Sol_0^4$	0	0	0	0	0
$Sol_1^4$	0	0	0	0	0
$\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$	0	0	$\chi(M)$	$\chi = 3\sigma$	$> 0$
$\mathbb{H}^4$	0	0	$\chi(M)$	$> 0$	0

In particular  $b_1(M) = b_1(\pi) = 0$  in all cases except  $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ .

**Proof :** We first give the proofs of the statements of the  $L^2$ -Betti numbers. Recall from Lemma 1.2.6 that  $\chi(M) = 2b_0(M) - b_1(M) + b_2(M)$  holds. The fundamental group  $\pi$  is finite if and only if the underlying manifold of the geometry is compact. If  $\widetilde{M}$  is the universal covering and  $\pi$  is finite, then  $b_p(M) = \frac{b_p(\widetilde{M})}{|\pi|}$  from Lemma 1.2.4. Now the claim follows for  $S^4$ ,  $S^2 \times S^2$  and  $P^2(\mathbb{C})$ . If  $M$  and  $N$  have the same geometry  $X$ , then  $b_p(M) = 0$  is equivalent to  $b_p(N) = 0$  since  $b_p(M) = 0$  is equivalent to the fact that  $X$  has no harmonic smooth  $L^2$ -integrable  $p$ -forms [7]. Hence it suffices to check  $b_p(M) = 0$  for one example of a manifold with a given geometry. Suppose the geometry is a product of two lower dimensional geometries. Then such an example is given by a product of two surfaces or of  $S^1$  with a 3-manifold. The  $L^2$ -Betti numbers for a closed orientable surfaces  $F_g$  of genus  $g \geq 1$  are  $b_0(F_g) = b_2(F_g) = 0$  and  $b_1(F_g) = 2g - 2$  and the  $L^2$ -Betti numbers of  $S^1$  are zero [19, Example 4.11]. Using the Künneth formula for  $L^2$ -cohomology [22, Theorem 3.16] one obtains the claim for all these cases. Suppose the geometry is  $Nil^4$ ,  $Sol_0^4$ ,  $Sol_1^4$  or  $Sol_{m,n}^4$ . Then an example for  $M$  can be constructed which fibers over  $S^1$ . For such a space all  $L^2$ -Betti numbers are trivial by Theorem 2.1. One can also argue that the fundamental group of a manifold carrying such a geometry contains a normal infinite amenable subgroup and refer to [6, Theorem 0.2]. In the case  $X = \mathbb{H}^4$ , we get  $b_1(M) = b_0(M) = 0$  and  $b_2(M) = \chi(M) > 0$  from [8]. If  $M$  carries a  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$ -geometry, then  $M$  is Kähler hyperbolic in the sense of [11]

and we derive  $b_p(M) = 0$  for  $p = 0, 1$  from [11].

The statements about the Euler characteristic and the signature follow in the cases where all  $L^2$ -Betti numbers are trivial from the  $L^2$ -signature theorem [1] and in the cases where the geometry is compact from the multiplicativity of Euler characteristic and signature under finite coverings. The remaining cases are  $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^4$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$ . Because of the Hirzebruch proportionality principle [15] it suffices to check the examples  $S^2 \times F_g$  and  $F_g \times F_g$  for some  $g \geq 2$ . In the cases  $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$  one gets  $\chi(M) = t \cdot \chi(S^4)$  and  $\sigma(M) = t \cdot \sigma(S^4)$  if  $M$  carries a  $\mathbb{H}^4$ -structure and  $\chi(M) = s \cdot \chi(P^2(\mathbb{C}))$  and  $\sigma(M) = s \cdot \sigma(P^2(\mathbb{C}))$  if  $M$  carries a  $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{C})$ -structure for some non-zero constants  $t$  and  $s$ . From this the claim follows. ■

## 5. 4-manifolds satisfying $\chi(M) \geq |\sigma(M)|$

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $M$  be a closed oriented 4-manifold with fundamental group  $\pi$ . If  $b_1(\pi) = 0$ , then the following inequality for the Euler characteristic and the signature holds*

$$\chi(M) \geq |\sigma(M)|.$$

**Proof :** According to the  $L^2$ -signature theorem (see [1]), the signature  $\sigma(M)$  is the difference of the von Neumann dimensions of two complementary subspaces of the second  $L^2$ -cohomology  $H^2(M; l^2(\pi))$  of  $M$ . This implies

$$|\sigma(M)| \leq \dim_{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}(H^2(M; l^2(\pi))) = \dim_{\mathcal{N}(\pi)}(H_2(M; l^2(\pi))) = b_2(M).$$

Since  $b_4(M) = b_0(M)$  and  $b_3(M) = b_1(M)$  by Poincaré duality [19, Proposition 4.2] and  $b_1(M) = 0$  by assumption holds, we conclude  $\chi(M) = b_2(M) + 2 \cdot b_0(M)$  from Lemma 1.2.6 and the claim follows. ■

This Theorem 5.1 together with Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 generalizes respectively reproves results of [16] (see also [25]). In [16] it is shown among other things that the inequality in Theorem 5.1 holds if  $\pi$  is an extension of a finitely generated group  $\Delta$  and the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . (We have to assume that  $\Delta$  is finitely presented). If a connected closed oriented 4-manifold has a geometric structure in the sense of Thurston, then it is pointed out in [16] that inspecting the 18 different cases using the results in [24] and the correction in [17] shows that the inequality in Theorem 5.1 holds for  $M$  provided the geometry is not  $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ . A simple proof can be found in [16] that the inequality above holds if  $\pi$  satisfies 3-dimensional oriented Poincaré duality. If  $\pi$  is infinite amenable,  $b_1(\pi)$  vanishes by [6, Theorem 0.2] and Theorem 5.1 applies (see also [9]). For further information on lower bounds on the Euler characteristic of a closed 4-manifold we refer to [13].

## 6. Deficiency of groups

The *deficiency* of a finitely presented group  $\pi$  is the maximum over all differences  $g - r$  where  $g$  respectively  $r$  is the number of generators respectively relations of a presentation of  $\pi$ .

**Theorem 6.1** *Let  $\pi$  be a finitely presented group.*

1. *If  $B\pi$  has finite 3-skeleton, then*

$$\text{def}(\pi) \leq 1 - b_0(\pi) + b_1(\pi) - b_2(\pi).$$

2.  *$\text{def}(\pi) \leq 1 - b_0(\pi) + b_1(\pi)$ .*

3. *If  $b_1(\pi) = 0$ , then*

$$\text{def}(\pi) \leq 1.$$

**Proof :** Given a presentation with  $r$  relations and  $g$  generators, let  $X$  be the corresponding connected 2-dimensional *CW*-complex with fundamental group isomorphic to  $\pi$  which has precisely one cell of dimension 0,  $g$  cells of dimension 1 and  $r$  cells of dimension 2. Since the classifying map  $X \rightarrow B\pi$  is 2-connected, we conclude from Lemma 1.2 that

$$1 - g + r = \chi(X) = b_0(X) - b_1(X) + b_2(X) \geq b_0(\pi) - b_1(\pi) + b_2(\pi)$$

from which assertion 1.) follows. Assertion 2.) is proven similiarly and does imply assertion 3.). ■

From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 6.1.3. we obtain

**Corollary 6.2** *Let  $\{1\} \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \{1\}$  be an extension of finitely presented groups. Suppose that  $\Delta$  is infinite and  $\pi$  contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a subgroup. Then*

$$\text{def}(\Gamma) \leq 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

If  $\pi$  is finite, we rediscover from Theorem 6.1.1 the well-known fact that  $\text{def}(\pi) \leq 0$ . The inequality in Theorem 6.1.1 is obviously sharp and  $\text{def}(\pi) = 1 - \chi(B\pi)$  if  $B\pi$  is a finite 2-dimensional *CW*-complex. If  $\pi$  is a torsion-free one-relator group, the 2-dimensional *CW*-complex associated with the presentation is aspherical and hence  $B\pi$  is 2-dimensional [23, chapter III §§9 -11]. We conjecture for a torsion-free group having a presentation with

$g \geq 2$  generators and one non-trivial relation that  $b_2(\pi) = 0$  and  $b_1(\pi) = \text{def}(\pi) - 1 = g - 2$  holds (compare [12, page 156]). This would follow from [19, Conjecture 9.2] saying that the  $L^2$ -Betti numbers of a finite  $CW$ -complex with torsion-free fundamental groups are integers. Namely, the kernel of the second differential of the  $L^2$ -chain complex of  $B\pi$  is a proper submodule of  $l^2(\pi)$  so that its dimension  $b_2(\pi)$  is less than one.

The  $L^2$ -homological test for the deficiency described in Theorem 6.1 is useful in the situation considered in Corollary 6.2 where the corresponding tests using homology with  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -coefficients appears insufficient. However, in other situations homology with  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -coefficients seems to be more useful than  $L^2$ -homology as illustrated by the following result which is a direct consequence of [10, Theorem 2.5]

**Theorem 6.3** *Let  $M$  be a compact 3-manifold with fundamental group  $\pi$  and prime decomposition*

$$M = M_1 \sharp M_2 \sharp \dots \sharp M_r.$$

*Let  $s(M)$  be the number of prime factors  $M_i$  with non-empty boundary and  $t(M)$  be the number of prime factors which are  $S^2$ -bundles over  $S^1$ . Denote by  $\chi(M)$  the Euler characteristic. Then*

$$\text{def}(\pi_1(M)) = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2}(H_1(\pi; \mathbf{Z}/2)) - \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2}(H_2(\pi; \mathbf{Z}/2)) = s(M) + t(M) - \chi(M). \quad \blacksquare$$

Let  $M$  be a compact irreducible 3-manifold with infinite fundamental group. Any such manifold is aspherical by the Sphere Theorem [14, page 40]. It follows from Theorem 6.3 that  $\text{def}(\pi_1(M))$  is  $1 - \chi(M)$  if  $\partial M$  is not empty and is zero if  $\partial M$  is empty. Thus  $1 - b_0(M) + b_1(M) - b_2(M) = 1 - \chi(M)$  since  $b_3(M) = 0$  holds by [19, Lemma 4.5]. Hence we rediscover Theorem 6.3 in the case  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$  from our  $L^2$ -homological test in Theorem 6.1. However, if  $M$  is closed, the inequality in Theorem 6.1.1 is not sharp.

Finally we mention the in a certain sense complementary result [3, Theorem 2]. If  $\pi$  is a finitely presented group with  $\text{def}(\pi) \geq 2$ , then  $\pi$  can be written as an amalgamated product  $\pi = A *_C B$  where  $A, B$  and  $C$  are finitely generated,  $C$  is proper subgroup of both  $A$  and  $B$  and has index greater than two in  $A$  or  $B$ . In particular  $\pi$  contains a free subgroup of rank 2 and is not amenable. This implies that an amenable finitely presented group has deficiency less or equal to one (see also [2] and [9]). This also follows from Theorem 6.1.3 and the fact that  $b_1(\pi) = 0$  for a finitely presented amenable group [6, Theorem 0.2].

## References

- [1] **Atiyah, M.:** “*Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras*”, *Asterisque* 32-33, 43 - 72 (1976)
- [2] **Baumslag, B. and Pride, S.J.:** “*Groups with one more generator than relators*”, *Math Z.* 167, 279-281 (1979)
- [3] **Baumslag, G. and Shalen, P.B.:** “*Amalgamated product and finitely presented groups*”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 65, 243 - 254 (1990)
- [4] **Cheeger, J. and Gromov, M.:** “*Bounds on the von Neumann dimension of  $L^2$ -cohomology and the Gauss Bonnet theorem for open manifolds*”, *J. of Diff. Geom.* 21, 1 - 34 (1985)
- [5] **Cheeger, J. and Gromov, M.:** “*On the characteristic numbers of complete manifolds of bounded curvature and finite volume*”, *Rauch Mem. vol.*, editors : Chavel, I. and Farkas, H., Springer, 115 - 154 (1985)
- [6] **Cheeger, J. and Gromov, M.:** “ *$L^2$ -cohomology and group cohomology*”, *Topology* 25, 189 - 215 (1986)
- [7] **Dodziuk, J.:** “*DeRham-Hodge theory for  $L^2$ -cohomology of infinite coverings*”, *Topology* 16 , 157 - 165 (1977)
- [8] **Dodziuk, J.:** “ *$L^2$ -harmonic forms on rotationally symmetric Riemannian manifolds*”, *Proc AMS* 77, 395 - 400 (1979)
- [9] **Eckmann, B.:** “*Amenable groups and Euler characteristic*”, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 67, 383 - 393 (1992)
- [10] **Epstein, D.B.A.:** “*Finite presentations of groups and 3-manifolds*”, *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics Oxford II Ser.* 12, 205 - 212 (1961)
- [11] **Gromov, M.:** “*Kähler hyperbolicity and  $L_2$ -Hodge theory*”, *J. of Diff. Geom.* 33, 263 - 292 (1991)
- [12] **Gromov, M.:** “*Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*”, preprint, IHES/M/92/8 (1992)
- [13] **Hausmann, J.-C. and Weinberger, S. :** “*Caractéristiques d’Euler et groupes fondamentaux des variétés de dimension 4*”, *Comm. Math. Helv.* 60, 139-144 (1985)
- [14] **Hempel, J.:** “*3-manifolds*”, *Annals of Math. Studies* 86, Princeton University Press (1976)
- [15] **Hirzebruch, F.:** “*Automorphe Formen und der Satz von Riemann-Roch*”, *Symposium International de Topologia Algebraica, Mexico*, 129 -144, (1956)



- [16] **Johnson, F.E.A. and Kotschick, D.:** “*On the signature and Euler characteristic of certain four-manifolds*”, preprint (1992), to appear in Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc.
- [17] **Kotschick, D.:** “*Remarks on geometric structures on compact complex surfaces*”, Topology 32, 317 - 321 (1992)
- [18] **Lott, J.:** “*Heat kernels on covering spaces and topological invariants*”, J. of Diff. Geom. 35, 471 - 510 (1992)
- [19] **Lott, J. and Lück, W.:** “ *$L^2$ -topological invariants of 3-manifolds*”, preprint (1992), to appear in Inventiones Math.
- [20] **Lück, W.:** “*The transfer maps induced in the algebraic  $K_0$ - and  $K_1$ -groups by a fibration  $I$* ”, Math. Scand. 59, 93 - 121 (1986)
- [21] **Lück, W.:** “ *$L^2$ -torsion and 3-manifolds*”, preprint (1992), to appear in the Proceedings for the Conference “Low-dimensional topology”, Knoxville 1992, editor: Klaus Johannson, International Press Co. Cambridge, MA 02238, U.S.A.
- [22] **Lück, W. and Rothenberg, M.:** “*Reidemeister torsion and the  $K$ -theory of von Neumann algebras*”,  $K$ -theory 5, 213 - 264 (1991)
- [23] **Lyndon, R.C. and Schupp, P.E.:** “*Combinatorial Group Theory*”, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 89, Springer (1977)
- [24] **Wall, C.T.C.:** “*Geometric structures on compact complex surfaces*”, Topology 25, 119 - 153 (1986)
- [25] **Winkelkempner, H.E.:** “*Un teorema sobre variedades de dimensión 4*”, Acta Mexicana Ci. Tecn. 2, 88 - 89 (1968)

Current address  
 Wolfgang Lück  
 Fachbereich Mathematik  
 Johannes Gutenberg-Universität  
 Staudingerweg 9  
 55128 Mainz  
 Bundesrepublik Deutschland  
 email: lueck@topologie.mathematik.uni-mainz.de

Version of October 27, 2004