

”Analytic and Topological Torsion for Manifolds with Boundary and Symmetry”

by
Wolfgang Lück

0. Introduction

Let G be a finite group acting on a Riemannian manifold M by isometries. We introduce *analytic torsion*

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

PL-torsion

$$\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

Poincaré torsion

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

and *Euler characteristic*

$$\chi^G(M, M_1; V) \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

for ∂M the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2 and V an equivariant coefficient system. The analytic torsion is defined in terms of the spectrum of the Laplace operator, the PL-torsion is based on the cellular chain complex and Poincaré torsion measures the failure of equivariant Poincaré duality in the PL-setting, which does not hold in the analytic context. Denote by $\widehat{\text{Rep}}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ the subgroup of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ generated by the irreducible representations of real or complex type. We define an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 : K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\text{Rep}}_{\mathbf{R}}(G))$$

and show under mild conditions

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) = \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi(\partial M; V)$$

and

$$\Gamma_2(\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)) = \Gamma_2(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)) = 0$$

For trivial G this reduces to the equation in \mathbf{R}

$$\rho_{an}(M, M_1; V) = \ln(\rho_{pl}(M, M_1; V)) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi(\partial M) \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V)$$

Torsion invariants are important invariants which relate topology to algebraic K-theory and hence to number theory (see Milnor [25]). The s-Cobordism Theorem and the classification of lens spaces are important examples. Motivated by fruitful connections between topology and analysis, e.g. the Atiyah-Singer Index Formula, Ray and Singer [29] asked whether (Reidemeister) PL-torsion can be interpreted analytically, namely, by the spectral theory of the Laplace operator. They defined analytic torsion and gave some evidence for the conjecture that analytic and PL-torsion agree. This was independently proved by Cheeger [8] and Müller [26]. Analytic torsion is used and investigated in various contexts (see e.g. Bismut-Freed [4], [5], Fried [14], Quillen [27], Schwarz [32], Witten [35]).

The PL-torsion is powerful as it is a very fine invariant and there are good tools like sum and product formulas for its computation. In particular one can chop a manifold into "elementary" pieces, determine the PL-torsion of the pieces and use a sum formula to compute the PL-torsion of M . Notice that these pieces have boundaries even if M is closed. In order to get a sum formula for analytic torsion also, it is necessary to investigate the relation between analytic and PL-torsion also for manifolds with boundary. Inspecting the proofs of Cheeger [8] and Müller [26] one recognizes that they do not extend to the case where M has a boundary. Moreover, an easy calculation for D^1 shows that their result is not true for D^1 . Now the key observation due to Cheeger (see [8], page 320) is that the equivariant spectrum of the Laplace operator on $M \cup_{\partial M} M$ with the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action given by the flip and the spectrum of the Laplace operator on M for both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions determine one another. Hence the problem of comparing analytic torsion and PL-torsion for manifolds with boundary can be reduced to the case of a closed manifold with a $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action. Notice that the flip on $M \cup_{\partial M} M$ reverses the orientation. Inspecting the proof of Müller [26] again it turns out that his methods carry over to closed orientable Riemannian G -manifolds with orientation preserving and isometric G -action for a finite group G . This is carried out in Lott-Rothenberg [19] and we will exploit their work. However, we will use a different setting which seems to be more appropriate for our purposes here and for more general situations (mainly an L^2 -version for proper actions of infinite groups on non-compact manifolds we will treat in forthcoming papers).

Let $(M; M_1, M_2)$ be a m -dimensional (compact) Riemannian G -manifold triad (with G acting by isometries). There is a canonical group extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi(M) \xrightarrow{i} D^G(M) \xrightarrow{q} G \longrightarrow 0$$

and a $D^G(M)$ -action on the universal covering \tilde{M} extending the action of the fundamental group and covering the G -action. Consider an equivariant coefficient system V , i.e. an

orthogonal representation of $D^G(M)$. Such a V may be thought of as an equivariant flat G -vector bundle over M or as an equivariant flat connection. We want to allow a twisting of our invariants by such equivariant coefficient systems because analytic torsion is important for the study of moduli spaces of flat connections (see e.g. Quillen [27], Witten [35]). Put certain boundary conditions of Dirichlet type on M_1 and of Neumann type on M_2 . Then the Laplace operator Δ^p is elliptic, self-adjoint and non-negative definite and is compatible with the G -action. The eigenspace $E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p$ of Δ^p for the eigenvalue λ is a real G -representation. We define the *equivariant Zeta-function* by meromorphic extension of

$$\zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V)(s) := \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \in \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

It is analytic in zero and we define *analytic torsion* in section 1 by

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) := \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V)|_{s=0} \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

For $G = 1$ this agrees up to a factor 2 with the definition of Ray-Singer [29].

A finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex C is a finite-dimensional finitely generated $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complex C together with a \mathbf{R} -Hilbert structure compatible with the G -action on each C_n . Given a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence $f : C \rightarrow D$, we define in section 2 its *Hilbert torsion*

$$ht(f) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

Let C be a $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex. Suppose that its homology $H(C)$ has the structure of a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex with respect to the trivial differential. There is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain map $i : H(C) \rightarrow C$ uniquely determined up to $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain homotopy by the property $H(i) = id$. Define the *Hilbert-Reidemeister torsion* of C

$$hr(C) := ht(i) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

If G is trivial, $hr(C)$ is the square of Milnor's torsion defined for C and $H(C)$ equipped with any orthonormal bases. There is also a cochain version.

Using the Hodge-decomposition theorem and the cellular bases we get $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures on $H_*(M, M_1; V)$ and $C_*(M, M_1; V)$. We define the *PL-torsion*

$$\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) := hr(C_*(M, M_1; V)) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

Let $\cap[M] : C^{m-*}(M, M_1; V) \rightarrow C_*(M, M_1; V)$ be the Poincaré $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence. Its Hilbert torsion is the *Poincaré torsion*

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

This invariant is always zero for trivial G . This follows from the proof of Poincaré duality based on the dual cell decomposition of a triangulation. In the equivariant case the dual cell structure is not compatible with the G -action and $\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)$ measures the failure. The equivariant Euler characteristic is defined by

$$\chi^G(M, M_1; V) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \cdot [H^p(M, M_1; V)] \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

We will put the technical condition on the equivariant coefficient system V that it is coherent to a G -representation. This is always satisfied if G is trivial or if M^H is non-empty and connected for all $H \subset G$. Now we can state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.5 (Torsion Formula for Manifolds with Boundary and Symmetry)

Let M be a Riemannian G -manifold whose boundary is the disjoint union $M_1 \amalg M_2$. Let V be an equivariant coefficient system which is coherent to a G -representation. Assume that the metric is a product near the boundary. Then

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) = \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi(\partial M; V)$$

If G is trivial, this reduces to the equation of real numbers

$$\rho_{an}(M, M_1; V) = \ln(\rho_{pl}(M, M_1; V)) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi(\partial M) \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V)$$

Cheeger states in [8], page 320 without proof a formula relating analytic and PL -torsion for a manifold with boundary (without group action). His formulas are not as precise as ours since Cheeger claims only that the correction term can be computed locally at the boundary, whereas we can identify it with the Euler characteristic.

The proof of the main theorem is organized as follows. In section 1, 2, and 3 we show product and double formulas and Poincaré duality. We investigate how these invariants depend on the Riemannian metric and relate PL -torsion to the *equivariant Whitehead torsion* of a G -homotopy equivalence. Then Theorem 4.5 is verified in section 4 as follows. We first give the proof under the extra conditions i.) M is orientable ii.) G acts orientation preserving and iii.) ∂M is empty. If $\dim(M)$ is even, the assertion follows from Poincaré duality. The Poincaré duality formulas for analytic and PL -torsion differ just by the Poincaré torsion. This is the reason for the appearance of Poincaré torsion in the formula relating analytic and PL -torsion. If $\dim(M)$ is odd, we reduce the claim to the case of trivial coefficients $V = \mathbf{R}$ and then apply Lott-Rothenberg [19]. We remove condition ii.) by the various product formulas and explicit calculations for S^1 with the involution given by complex conjugation. We get rid of i.) using the orientation covering. Finally we remove ii.) by the double

formula which relates the invariants for the $G \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ -manifold $M \cup_{\partial M} M$ to the invariants of the G -manifolds (M, \emptyset) and $(M, \partial M)$. The double formulas for the analytic torsion and the PL-torsion differ by a certain Euler characteristic term of the boundary, as in the analytic case the boundary is a zero set and does not affect the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure whereas in the PL-case the cells of the boundary do contribute to the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure. This difference in the double formulas causes the Euler characteristic term in the formula of the Theorem above. The appearance of a correction term in the case of a manifold with boundary is not very surprising if one thinks of the index formula for manifolds with boundary where the η -invariant comes in (see Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [1], [2], [3]).

In section 5 we investigate some special cases. We derive from the sum formula in the PL-case a sum formula for the analytic torsion. This is remarkable because it is in general difficult to derive the spectrum of the Laplace operator on $M \cup_f N$ for an isometric diffeomorphism $f : \partial M \rightarrow \partial N$ from the spectra of its restrictions to M , N and ∂M . We express the various torsion invariants for spheres and disks of G -representations in terms of their characters. We construct an injective homomorphism based on Poincaré torsion

$$\rho_{\mathbf{R}}^G : \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \oplus \left(\oplus_{(H)} K_1(\mathbf{R}[WH])^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \right)$$

This reproves the Theorem of de Rham that two orthogonal G -representations V and W are linearly $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphic if and only if their unit spheres SV and SW are G -diffeomorphic. We use the sum formula for Poincaré torsion to establish a local formula for Poincaré torsion. It computes the Poincaré torsion of M in terms of the Poincaré torsion of the tangent representations of points with non-trivial isotropy group and the universal equivariant Euler characteristic of M .

The author wants to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for the financial support and the department of mathematics of The University of Chicago for the hospitality during the stay from October 88 to March 89 and in February 90, when this paper was worked out. In particular the discussions with Prof. Rothenberg were very fruitful. The paper is organized as follows.

- 0. Introduction
 - 1. Analytic Torsion
 - 2. Torsion Invariants for Chain Complexes
 - 3. PL-Torsion
 - 4. Comparison of Analytic and PL-Torsion
 - 5. Some Computations
- References

1. Analytic Torsion

Let G be a finite group. A Riemannian G -manifold M is a compact smooth manifold with differentiable G -action and invariant Riemannian metric. If ∂M is the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2 , we want to define the *analytic torsion* of (M, M_1) with certain coefficients V . We begin with explaining the coefficients.

Let X be a G -space with universal covering $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. The group of covering translations is denoted by $\Delta(p)$. Let $D^G(p)$ be the discrete group

$$1.1 \quad D^G(p) := \{(\tilde{f}, g) \mid \tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}, g \in G, p \circ \tilde{f} = l(g) \circ p\}$$

where $l(g) : X \rightarrow X$ is multiplication with g . There is an obvious exact sequence

$$1.2 \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Delta(p) \xrightarrow{i(p)} D^G(p) \xrightarrow{q(p)} G \longrightarrow 0$$

and an operation of $D^G(p)$ on \tilde{X} making the following diagram commute

$$1.3 \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta(p) \times \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow i(p) \times id & & \downarrow id \\ D^G(p) \times \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow q(p) \times p & & \downarrow p \\ G \times X & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

They are natural in p . In the sequel we identify $\Delta(p)$ with $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ and write $D^G(X)$ instead of $D^G(p)$. The coefficients will be orthogonal $D^G(X)$ -representations.

Let M be a Riemannian G -manifold of dimension m . Define the *orientation homomorphism*

$$1.4 \quad w^G(M) : D^G(M) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

as follows. For some base point $x \in M$ an element $(\tilde{f}, g) \in D^G(M)$ is given by a homotopy class of paths w from x to gx . The composition of the fibre transport of the tangent bundle TM along w and the differential of $l(g^{-1})$ at gx give an isotopy class of automorphisms of TM_x . If it is orientation preserving resp. reversing, we put $w^G(M)(\tilde{f}, g)$ to be 1 resp. -1 . An equivalent definition uses the observation that $H^m(\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\pi}(C_*(\tilde{M}), \mathbf{Z}\pi))$ is an infinite cyclic group by Poincaré duality and \tilde{f} induces an homomorphism $\tilde{f}_* : \pi \rightarrow \pi$, a $\mathbf{Z}[\tilde{f}_*]$ -equivariant map $C_*(\tilde{f}) : C_*(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow C_*(\tilde{M})$ and hence an automorphism of this infinite cyclic group by $H^m(\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\pi}(C_*(\tilde{f}), \mathbf{Z}[\tilde{f}_*^{-1}]))$. Then $w^G(M)(\tilde{f}, g)$ is its degree.

If V is a $D^G(M)$ -representation, let wV be the $w = w^G(M)$ -twisted $D^G(M)$ -representation given by $w(e) \cdot ev$ for $e \in D^G(M)$ and $v \in V$. The vector bundle $\tilde{M} \times_{\pi} V$ over M becomes a G -vector bundle by $g(\tilde{x}, v) = (\tilde{x}\tilde{g}^{-1}, \tilde{g}v)$ for any lift $\tilde{g} \in D^G(M)$ of $g \in G$. The deRham complex $\Lambda^*(M; V)$ of differential forms with coefficients in $\tilde{M} \times_{\pi} V$ is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -cochain complex. A choice of a local orientation on $T\tilde{M}_{\tilde{x}}$ for some $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ together with the Riemannian metric determines a volume form $dM \in \Lambda^n(M; {}^w\mathbf{R})$. Using the inner product on V we get the product $\wedge : \Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes \Lambda^q(M; {}^wV) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+q}(M; {}^w\mathbf{R})$. The *Hodge star operator*

$$\mathbf{1.5} \quad * : \Lambda^p(M; V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{m-p}(M; {}^wV)$$

is defined by $\omega \wedge (*\eta) = \langle \omega, \eta \rangle \cdot dM$, where \langle, \rangle is induced from the Riemannian metric. Since dM is G -invariant, the map $*$ is $\mathbf{R}G$ -linear. The Riemannian metric induces an inner product on $\Lambda^p(M; V)$ by $\langle\langle \omega, \eta \rangle\rangle := \int_M \langle \omega, \eta \rangle dM$. Then $*$ is an isometry satisfying $* \circ * = (-1)^{p(m-p)} id$. The adjoint $\delta^p : \Lambda^p(M; V) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1}(M; V)$ of the differential d^p is $(-1)^{mp+p+1} * d^{m-p}*$. Define the *Laplace operator*

$$\mathbf{1.6} \quad \Delta^p : \Lambda^p(M; V) \rightarrow \Lambda^p(M; V)$$

by $d^{p-1}\delta^p + \delta^{p+1}d^p$.

Let M be a Riemannian G -manifold whose boundary ∂M is the disjoint union $M_1 \amalg M_2$. We allow that M_1 or M_2 or both are empty. Consider an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation V . Given a p -form $\omega \in \Lambda^p(M; V)$, let ω_{tan} be the p -form on ∂M coming from restriction with $Ti : T\partial M \rightarrow TM$ for the inclusion i . Let ω_{nor} be the $(p-1)$ -form $*_{\partial M}(*_M\omega)_{tan}$. We will consider the boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{1.7} \quad b(M, M_1) : \begin{aligned} \omega_{tan} &= 0, (\delta\omega)_{tan} = 0 \text{ on } M_1 \\ \omega_{nor} &= 0, (d\omega)_{nor} = 0 \text{ on } M_2 \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{1.8} \quad \Lambda_1^p(M, M_1; V) &= \{\omega \in \Lambda^p(M; V) \mid \omega_{tan} = 0 \text{ on } M_1 \text{ and } \omega_{nor} = 0 \text{ on } M_2\} \\
\Lambda_2^p(M, M_1; V) &= \{\omega \in \Lambda^p(M; V) \mid \omega \text{ satisfies } b(M, M_1)\} \\
H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V) &= \{\omega \in \Lambda^p(M; V) \mid \Delta\omega = 0, \omega \text{ satisfies } b(M, M_1)\}
\end{aligned}$$

The space $H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V)$ is called *space of harmonic forms*. Denote by

$$\mathbf{1.9} \quad A(M; V) : \Lambda^*(M; V) \longrightarrow C_s^*(M; V)$$

the V -twisted deRham map which is the composition

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^*(M; V) &= \Lambda^*(M; \tilde{M} \times_\pi V) \xrightarrow{p^*} \Lambda^*(\tilde{M}; \tilde{M} \times V)^\pi \xleftarrow{j^\pi} (\Lambda^*(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} V)^\pi \xrightarrow{(A(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id)^\pi} \\
& (Hom_{\mathbf{R}}(C_*^s(\tilde{M}), \mathbf{R}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} V)^\pi \xrightarrow{\Phi^\pi} Hom_{\mathbf{R}}(C_*^s(\tilde{M}), V)^\pi = Hom_{\mathbf{R}\pi}(C_*^s(\tilde{M}), V) =: C_s^*(M; V)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $C_*^s(\tilde{M})$ is the singular chain complex. The map p^* is induced from the projection $p : \tilde{M} \times V \longrightarrow \tilde{M} \times_\pi V$. The isomorphism $j : \Lambda^*(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} V \longrightarrow \Lambda^*(\tilde{M}; \tilde{M} \times V)$ sends $s \otimes v$ given by a section s of $\Lambda^p T^* \tilde{M}$ and $v \in V$ to the section $x \mapsto s(x) \otimes v$. We denote by $A(\tilde{M})$ the ordinary deRham map sending a p -form ω to the singular cosimplex $\sigma \mapsto \int \sigma^* \omega$. The isomorphism Φ maps $\phi \otimes v$ to the \mathbf{R} -map $C_*^s(\tilde{M}) \longrightarrow V, \sigma \mapsto \phi(\sigma)v$.

We denote by $L^2\Lambda^p(M; V)$ the Hilbert completion of $\Lambda^p(M; V)$ under the inner product $\langle\langle \omega, \eta \rangle\rangle := \int_M \omega \wedge * \eta$. For later purposes we state the following result whose proof can be found in Müller [26] page 239.

Theorem 1.10 (Hodge-decomposition theorem)

- a.) $H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V) = \ker(d) \cap \ker(\delta) \cap \Lambda_1^p(M, M_1; V)$
- b.) The \mathbf{R} -modules $\ker(\Delta) \cap \Lambda_1^p(M, M_1; V)$ and $H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V)$ are finitely generated.
- c.) We have the orthogonal decomposition

$$\Lambda_1^p(M, M_1; V) = H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V) \oplus d(\Lambda_1^{p-1}(M, M_1; V)) \oplus \delta(\Lambda_1^{p+1}(M, M_1; V))$$

$$L^2\Lambda^p(M, M_1; V) = H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V) \oplus \text{clos}(d(\Lambda_1^{p-1}(M, M_1; V))) \oplus \text{clos}(\delta(\Lambda_1^{p+1}(M, M_1; V)))$$

- d.) The inclusion $i : H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V) \longrightarrow \ker(d) \cap \Lambda_1^p(M, M_1; V)$ composed with deRham map has image contained in the space of cocycles in $C_s^p(M, M_1; V)$. We obtain an isomorphism

$$\bar{i} : H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V) \longrightarrow H_s^p(M, M_1; V)$$

The Laplace operator $\Delta^p : \Lambda_2^p(M; V) \longrightarrow \Lambda_2^p(M; V)$ is an elliptic self-adjoint differential operator. Its spectrum is a pure point spectrum consisting of non-negative real numbers. For $\lambda \geq 0$ we put

$$\mathbf{1.11} \quad E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p = \{\omega \in \Lambda_2^p(M; V) \mid \Delta^p \omega = \lambda \omega\}$$

Because G acts on (M, M_1) by isometries, Δ is compatible with the $\mathbf{R}G$ -structure. Since $E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p$ is finitely generated, it defines an element in the real representation ring $Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. We define the *equivariant Zeta-function*

$$\mathbf{1.12} \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V)(s) &:= \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \in \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \\ \zeta^G(M, M_1; V) &:= \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V) \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in \mathbf{C}$ with $Real(s) > dim(M)/2$. Because $Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ is a finitely generated free abelian group with the isomorphism classes of irreducible representations as base, we may identify $\mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ with \mathbf{C}^r for $r = rk_{\mathbf{Z}}(Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G))$. Hence it makes sense to speak of convergence in $\mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. Restriction to the trivial subgroup defines an homomorphism $res : \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(\{1\}) = \mathbf{C}$. The image of $\zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V)$ under this map is just the non-equivariant Zeta-function which converges absolutely for $Real(s) > dim(M)/2$ (see Gilkey [15], page 79). This implies that $\zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V)$ converges absolutely for $Real(s) > dim(M)/2$.

Lemma 1.13 *The equivariant Zeta-function $\zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V)$ has a meromorphic extension to \mathbf{C} . It is analytic in zero and its derivative at zero lies in $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$.*

We defer the proof of Lemma 1.13 to section 4.

Definition 1.14 *The analytic torsion*

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

is defined by $\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) := \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V)|_{s=0}$

Example 1.15 Fix a positive real number μ . Equip \mathbf{R} with the standard metric and the unit circle S^1 with the Riemannian metric for which $\mathbf{R} \longrightarrow S^1, t \mapsto exp(2\pi i \mu^{-1} t)$ is isometric. Then S^1 has volume μ . Let $\mathbf{Z}/2$ act on S^1 by complex conjugation. The Laplace

operator $\Delta^1 : \Lambda^1 \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \Lambda^1 \mathbf{R}$ maps $f(t)dt$ to $-f''(t)dt$. By checking the μ -periodic solutions of $f''(t) = -\lambda f(t)$ one shows that Δ^1 on S^1 has eigenspaces $E_\lambda(S^1)^1 = \text{span}_{\mathbf{R}}(f_n dt, g_n dt)$ for $\lambda = (2\pi\mu^{-1}n)^2$, $n \geq 1$, $E_\lambda(S^1)^1 = \text{span}_{\mathbf{R}}(dt)$ for $\lambda = 0$ and $E_\lambda(S^1) = \{0\}$ otherwise, if $f_n(\exp(2\pi i\mu^{-1}t)) = \cos(2\pi\mu^{-1}nt)$ and $g_n(\exp(2\pi i\mu^{-1}t)) = \sin(2\pi\mu^{-1}nt)$. The $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action on S^1 induces the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action on $E_{(2\pi\mu^{-1}n)^2}(S^1)$ sending f_n to f_n and g_n to $-g_n$. Denote by $\zeta_{Rie}(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}$ the *Riemannian Zeta function*. Let \mathbf{R} be the trivial and \mathbf{R}^- be the non-trivial 1-dimensional $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -representation. We get

$$\zeta_1^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\mu}\right)^{-2s} \cdot \zeta_{Rie}(2s) \cdot ([\mathbf{R}] + [\mathbf{R}^-])$$

As $\zeta_{Rie}(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\zeta'_{Rie}(0) = -\frac{\ln(2\pi)}{2}$ hold (see Titchmarsh [34]), we obtain

$$1.16 \quad \rho_{an}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = \ln(\mu) \cdot ([\mathbf{R}] + [\mathbf{R}^-])$$

By restriction to the trivial subgroup we derive

$$1.17 \quad \rho_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = 2 \cdot \ln(\mu)$$

Example 1.18 Equip $D^1 = [0, 1]$ with the standard metric scaled by $\mu > 0$. The volume form is then μdt . The Laplace operator $\Delta^1 : \Lambda^1 D^1 \longrightarrow \Lambda^1 D^1$ maps $f(t)dt$ to $\mu^{-2} f''(t)dt$. We obtain $E_\lambda(D^1; \mathbf{R}) = \text{span}_{\mathbf{R}}(\sin(\pi n t) dt)$, $E_\lambda(D^1, \partial D^1; \mathbf{R}) = \text{span}_{\mathbf{R}}(\cos(\pi n t) dt)$ for $\lambda = \left(\frac{\pi}{\mu} n\right)^2$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \geq 1$ and $E_\lambda(D^1; \mathbf{R}) = E_\lambda(D^1, \partial D^1; \mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$ otherwise. Hence we get

$$\zeta_1(D^1; \mathbf{R}) = \zeta_1(D^1, \partial D^1; \mathbf{R}) = \left(\frac{\pi}{\mu}\right)^{-2s} \cdot \zeta_{Rie}(2s)$$

This implies

$$1.19 \quad \rho_{an}(D^1, \mathbf{R}) = \rho_{an}(D^1, \partial D^1; \mathbf{R}) = \ln(2\mu)$$

Proposition 1.20 (Poincaré Duality for Analytic Torsion) *Let M be a m -dimensional Riemannian G -manifold with orientation homomorphism $w = w^G(M)$. If V is an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation and ∂M the disjoint union $M_1 \amalg M_2$, we have*

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) + (-1)^m \cdot \rho_{an}^G(M, M_2; {}^w V) = 0$$

Proof : Recall $E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p = \{\omega \in \Lambda_2^p(M, M_1; V) \mid \Delta\omega = \lambda\omega\}$ and $\Lambda_2^p(M, M_1; V) = \{\omega \in \Lambda^p(M; V) \mid \omega \text{ satisfies } b(M, M_1)\}$, where the boundary conditions $b(M, M_1)$ were defined in 1.7. Put $E'_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^p := \{\omega \in \Lambda_2^p(M, M_1; V) \mid d\delta\omega = \lambda\omega\}$ and $E''_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^p := \{\omega \in \Lambda_2^p(M, M_1; V) \mid \delta d\omega = \lambda\omega\}$. For $\lambda \neq 0$ we obtain an orthogonal **RG**-sum decomposition

$$1.21 \quad \lambda^{-1}d\delta \oplus \lambda^{-1}\delta d : E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p \longrightarrow E'_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^p \oplus E''_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^p$$

and inverse isometric **RG**-isomorphisms

$$1.22 \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^{-1/2}\delta : E'_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^{p+1} &\longrightarrow E''_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^p \\ \lambda^{-1/2}d : E''_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^p &\longrightarrow E'_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^{p+1} \end{aligned}$$

The Hodge star operator $*$ induces an isometric **RG**-isomorphism

$$1.23 \quad * : E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p \longrightarrow E_\lambda^G(M, M_2; {}^w V)^p$$

Now the following computation finishes the proof :

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^G(M, M_1; V) &= \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{\lambda>0} (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{\lambda>0} (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_2; {}^w V)^p] \\ &= (-1)^m \cdot \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{\lambda>0} (-1)^{m-p} \cdot p \cdot \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_2; {}^w V)^p] \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} \cdot \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{\lambda>0} (-1)^{m-p} \cdot (m-p) \cdot \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_2; {}^w V)^p] \\ &\quad + (-1)^m \cdot m \cdot \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{\lambda>0} (-1)^{m-p} \cdot \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_2; {}^w V)^p] \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} \cdot \zeta^G(M, M_2; {}^w V) \\ &\quad + (-1)^m \cdot m \cdot \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{\lambda>0} (-1)^{m-p} \cdot \lambda^{-s} \cdot ([E'_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^{p+1}] + [E''_\lambda(M, M_1; V)^p]) \\ &= (-1)^{m+1} \cdot \zeta^G(M, M_2; {}^w V) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that M is orientable and closed, its dimension m is even and G acts orientation preserving. As w is trivial, we get $\rho_{an}^G(M; V) = 0$.

Remark 1.24 We often put the condition on the Riemannian metric that it is a product near the boundary, i.e. there is an equivariant collar $f : \partial M \times [0, 1[$ onto an invariant neighbourhood of the boundary such that f is isometric if we equip $\partial M \subset M$ and $U \subset M$ with the induced, $[0, 1[$ with the standard and $\partial M \times [0, 1[$ with the product metric. This condition ensures that for two such Riemannian G -manifolds M and N and an isometric G -diffeomorphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow N_1$ between open and closed submanifolds $M_1 \subset \partial M$ and $N_1 \subset \partial N$ there is the structure of an Riemannian G -manifold on $M \cup_f N$ such that the obvious inclusions $i_M : M \rightarrow M \cup_f N$ and $i_N : N \rightarrow M \cup_f N$ are isometric G -embeddings. Let V resp. W be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ resp. $D^G(N)$ -representation. We denote by $j_M : M_1 \rightarrow M$ and $j_N : N_1 \rightarrow N$ the inclusions. Fix an orthogonal $D^G(M_1)$ -isomorphism $\bar{f} : j_M^* V \rightarrow j_N^* W$. Then there is an orthogonal $D^G(M \cup_f N)$ -representation $V \cup_{\bar{f}} W$ such that $j_M^*(V \cup_{\bar{f}} W)$ and V resp. $j_N^*(V \cup_{\bar{f}} W)$ and W agree. If G is trivial and M_1 is connected, this follows from the Theorem of Seifert-van Kampen. In the general case one must apply a generalized version saying that the corresponding diagram of fundamental categories in the sense of [21] is a push out of categories. Alternatively, one may think of the representations as G -vector bundles and glue them together.

In particular we can choose $f = id$ and $\bar{f} = id$ and consider $M \cup_{M_1} M$ and $V \cup_{M_1} V$. There is a canonical $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -structure on $M \cup_{M_1} M$ obtained by switching the two copies of M . Hence we can consider $M \cup_{M_1} M$ as a Riemannian $G \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ -manifold. The $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -structure induces a $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action on $D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ and $D^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ is the semi-direct product $D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M) \times_s \mathbf{Z}/2$, provided that M_1 is not empty. The orientation homomorphism $w^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ maps $(u, \pm 1) \in D^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ to $\pm 1 \cdot w^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)(u)$. One can extend $V \cup_{M_1} V$ an orthogonal $D^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ -representation by $(u, \pm 1) \cdot v = u \cdot v$ for $u \in D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ and $v \in V$, since u and $(-1) \cdot u \in D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ for $\pm 1 \in \mathbf{Z}/2$ operate on $V \cup_{M_1} V$ in the same way.

The following result will allow us to reduce the case of a manifold with boundary to the closed one. We have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1.25} \quad (\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(H) &\xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G \times H) \\ (\lambda \cdot [P]) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} [Q] &\mapsto \lambda \cdot [P \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} Q] \end{aligned}$$

For later purposes we mention the pairing, also denoted by $\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}$, we obtain from 1.25 for $G = H$ and restriction to the diagonal.

$$\mathbf{1.26} \quad (\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}} \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

For the next result put $H = \mathbf{Z}/2$ in 1.25.

Proposition 1.27 (Double Formula for Analytic Torsion)

Let M be a Riemannian G -manifold such that the Riemannian metric is a product near the boundary. Suppose that ∂M is the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2 . Let V be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation. Then we have :

- a.) $E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)^p = (E_\lambda^G(M; V)^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}) \oplus (E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^-)$
- b.) $\zeta_p^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V) = (\zeta_p^G(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}) \oplus (\zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}^-)$
- c.) $\rho_{an}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V) = (\rho_{an}^G(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}]) + (\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-])$

Proof : Obviously b.) and c.) follow from a.). Let $\tau : M \cup_{M_1} M \longrightarrow M \cup_{M_1} M$ be the flip map. Define

$$1.28 \quad E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)_+^p = \{\omega \in E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)^p \mid \tau^* \omega = \omega\}$$

$$E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)_-^p = \{\omega \in E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)^p \mid \tau^* \omega = -\omega\}$$

Let $i : M \longrightarrow M \cup_{M_1} M$ be the inclusion onto the first summand. Obviously i^* is compatible with $d, *, \delta$ and Δ . Since τ is an isometry and reverses the local orientation at points in M_1 , the induced map τ^* maps the volume form $d(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ to $-d(M \cup_{M_1} M)$. This implies $\tau^* \circ * = - * \circ \tau^*$. As τ is the identity on M_1 , we get $(i^* \tau^* \omega)_{tan} = (i^* \omega)_{tan}$ on M_1 . Hence $i^* \omega$ satisfies the boundary conditions $b(M, \emptyset)$ resp. $b(M, M_1)$ (see 1.7) if $\tau^* \omega = \omega$ resp. $\tau^* \omega = -\omega$ holds. Thus we can define $\mathbf{R}G$ -maps

$$1.29 \quad i^+ : E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)_+^p \longrightarrow E_\lambda^G(M; V) \quad \omega \mapsto i^* \omega$$

$$i^- : E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)_-^p \longrightarrow E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p \quad \omega \mapsto i^* \omega$$

Obviously i^+ is injective, as $i^* \omega$ determines ω because of $\tau^* \omega = \omega$. We have to show that i^* is surjective. Given $\omega \in E_\lambda^G(M; V)$, there is only one candidate as preimage, namely $\omega \cup_{M_1} \omega$. The problem is that $\omega \cup_{M_1} \omega$ is smooth on $(M \cup_{M_1} M) - M_1$ and a priori only continuous on $M \cup_{M_1} M$, but we need smoothness on $M \cup_{M_1} M$. The obvious inclusion induces an $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism

$$1.30 \quad j : E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)_+^p \oplus E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)_-^p \longrightarrow$$

$$E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)^p$$

For any $\eta \in \Lambda^p(M \cup_{M_1} M; V)$ we get

$$\langle\langle \omega \cup_{M_1} \omega, \eta \rangle\rangle_{M \cup_{M_1} M} = \langle\langle \omega, i^* \eta \rangle\rangle_M + \langle\langle \omega, i^* \tau^* \eta \rangle\rangle_M$$

since an integral over $M \cup_{M_1} M$ is the sum of its restrictions to the two copies of M . If $\tau^* \eta = -\eta$ holds, then $\langle\langle \omega \cup_{M_1} \omega, \eta \rangle\rangle_{M \cup_{M_1} M} = 0$. Consider $\eta \in E_\mu^G(M \cup_{M_1} M, V \cup_{M_1} V)^p$ with $\tau^* \eta = \eta$ for $\mu \neq \lambda$. Then $i^* \eta \in E_\mu^G(M, V)$ and $\mu \neq \lambda$ imply $\langle\langle \omega \cup_{M_1} \omega, \eta \rangle\rangle = 0$. Notice that the Hilbert space $L^2 \Lambda^p(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)$ has an orthonormal bases of smooth eigenvectors of $\Delta_{M \cup_{M_1} M}$. For $E_\lambda^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)_+^p$ choose an orthonormal bases $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_r\}$. Then the Fourier development of $\omega \cup_{M_1} \omega$ is by the computations above

$$\omega \cup_{M_1} \omega = \sum_{i=1}^r \langle\langle \omega \cup_{M_1} \omega, \eta_i \rangle\rangle \cdot \eta_i$$

This equation holds in $L^2 \Lambda^p(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)$. As both sides are represented by continuous sections, the two sides of the equation agree as functions. The right side is smooth and hence also $\omega \cup_{M_1} \omega$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 1.27. \blacksquare

We define the *equivariant Euler characteristic*

$$\mathbf{1.31} \quad \chi^G(M, M_1; V) = \sum_{p=0}^m (-1)^p \cdot [H_p(M, M_1; V)] \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

Proposition 1.32 (Product Formula for Analytic Torsion) *Regard a Riemannian G -manifold M whose boundary is the disjoint union $M_1 \amalg M_2$ and a Riemannian H -manifold N with empty boundary. Let V resp. W be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ - resp. $D^H(N)$ -representation. Then $M \times N$ is a Riemannian $G \times H$ -manifold and $V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W$ an orthogonal $D^{G \times H}(M \times N)$ -representation and we get using the pairing 1.25*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{an}^{G \times H}(M \times N, M_1 \times N; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W) \\ = \chi^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{an}^H(N; W) + \rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(N; W) \end{aligned}$$

Proof : We show the analogous statement for the Zeta-functions if $\text{Real}(s) > \dim(M)/2$. We conclude for $\lambda > 0$ from 1.21 and 1.22

$$\mathbf{1.33} \quad \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot [E_\lambda^G(M, M_1; V)^p] = 0$$

We derive from Theorem 1.10

$$1.34 \quad \chi^G(M, M_1; V) = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot [E_0^G(M, M_1; V)]$$

Notice that $\Lambda^*(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \Lambda^*(N; W)$ is dense in $\Lambda^*(M \times N; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)$ and on this dense subspace we have $\Delta_{M \times N} = \Delta_M \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id + id \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta_N$. The eigenvalues of Δ_M build a Hilbert bases for $L^2 \Lambda^*(M; V)$. We conclude

$$1.35 \quad E_{\gamma}^{G \times H}(M \times N, M_1 \times N; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^i = \oplus_{p+q=i} \oplus_{\lambda+\mu=\gamma} E_{\lambda}^G(M, M_1; V)^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E_{\mu}^H(N; W)^q$$

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta^{G \times H}(M \times N; M_1 \times N; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot i \sum_{\gamma > 0} \gamma^{-s} \cdot [E_{\gamma}^{G \times H}(M \times N; M_1 \times N; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)] \\ &= \sum_{p, q} \sum_{\lambda + \mu > 0} (\lambda + \mu)^{-s} \cdot (-1)^{p+q} \cdot (p + q) \cdot [E_{\lambda}^G(M, M_1; V)^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} E_{\mu}^H(N; W)^q] \\ &= \sum_{\lambda + \mu > 0} (\lambda + \mu)^{-s} \cdot \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot [E_{\lambda}^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \cdot q \cdot [E_{\mu}^H(N; W)^q] \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\lambda + \mu > 0} (\lambda + \mu)^{-s} \cdot \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot [E_{\lambda}^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \cdot [E_{\mu}^H(N; W)^q] \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot [E_0^G(M, M_1; V)] \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \cdot q \cdot \sum_{\mu > 0} \mu^{-s} \cdot [E_{\mu}^H(N; W)^q] \right) \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_{\lambda}^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \cdot [E_0^H(N; W)^q] \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\lambda, \mu > 0} (\lambda + \mu)^{-s} \cdot \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot [E_{\lambda}^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \cdot [E_{\mu}^H(N; W)^q] \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\lambda, \mu > 0} (\lambda + \mu)^{-s} \cdot \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot [E_{\lambda}^G(M, M_1; V)^p] \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \cdot q \cdot [E_{\mu}^H(N; W)^q] \right) \\ &= \chi^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \cdot q \cdot \zeta_q^H(N; W) \right) + \\ & \quad \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \zeta_p^G(M, M_1; V) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(N; W) \\ &= \chi^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \zeta^H(N; W) + \zeta^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(N; W) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

1.36 If H is a subgroup of G , then there are obvious restriction and induction homomorphism for $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. Restriction and induction is also defined for $(M, M_1; V)$. The equivariant analytic torsion is compatible with restriction and induction.

2. Torsion Invariants for Chain Complexes

In order to define PL-torsion invariants for G -CW-complexes and Riemannian G -manifolds it is convenient to do this for $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complexes $C = (C_*, c_*)$. We say that C is *finite* if C_i is finitely generated for all i and is zero for all but a finite number of $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complexes and let $\phi : C_{\text{odd}} \oplus D_{\text{ev}} \rightarrow D_{\text{odd}} \oplus C_{\text{ev}}$ be a $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism. Denote by $\text{Cone}(f)$ the mapping cone of f whose differential is also denoted by c and given by

$$c_n = \begin{pmatrix} -c_{n-1} & 0 \\ f_{n-1} & d_n \end{pmatrix} : C_{n-1} \oplus D_n \rightarrow C_{n-2} \oplus D_{n-1}$$

Choose a chain contraction γ of $\text{Cone}(f)$, i.e. a map of degree 1 such that $c \circ \gamma + \gamma \circ c = \text{id}$. Then we obtain an isomorphism $(c + \gamma) : \text{Cone}(f)_{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f)_{\text{ev}}$ if $\text{Cone}(f)_{\text{odd}}$ resp. $\text{Cone}(f)_{\text{ev}}$ is the sum of all chain modules of odd resp. even dimension. If π denotes the obvious permutation map, we get an $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism of a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}G$ -module

$$\text{Cone}(f)_{\text{odd}} \xrightarrow{(c+\gamma)} \text{Cone}(f)_{\text{ev}} \xrightarrow{\pi} C_{\text{odd}} \oplus D_{\text{ev}} \xrightarrow{\phi} D_{\text{odd}} \oplus C_{\text{ev}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Cone}(f)_{\text{odd}}$$

Denote its class in $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\mathbf{2.1} \quad t^G(f, \phi) = t(f, \phi) \in K_1(\mathcal{A})$$

We recall that $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ is the abelian group generated by automorphisms $f : P \rightarrow P$ of finitely generated $\mathbf{R}G$ -modules with the relations $[f_2] = [f_1] + [f_3]$ for any exact sequence $\{0\} \rightarrow (P_1, f_1) \rightarrow (P_2, f_2) \rightarrow (P_3, f_3) \rightarrow \{0\}$ and $[g \circ f] = [g] + [f]$ for $f, g : P \rightarrow P$ and $[\text{id} : P \rightarrow P] = 0$. We refer to Lück (1989)[21], chapter 12, for more details about this invariant and the proofs that it is well-defined. The proofs of some results of this sections are omitted as they are very similar to the ones appearing there.

A $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complex C together with a G -invariant \mathbf{R} -Hilbert space structure on each C_n . Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes. Fix an isometric $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism $\phi : C_{\text{odd}} \oplus D_{\text{ev}} \rightarrow D_{\text{odd}} \oplus C_{\text{ev}}$. Its existence follows from the Polar Decomposition Theorem and the fact that $C_{\text{odd}} \oplus D_{\text{ev}}$ and $D_{\text{odd}} \oplus C_{\text{ev}}$ are $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphic. Given a $\mathbf{R}G$ -module P , let P^* be $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(P, \mathbf{R})$ equipped with the $\mathbf{R}G$ -module structure $g \cdot f = f \circ l(g^{-1})$. The natural $\mathbf{R}G$ -map $P \rightarrow P^{**}$ is bijective if and only if P is finitely generated. We obtain an involution

$$\mathbf{2.2} \quad * : K_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{A}) \quad [f] \mapsto [f^*]$$

Define the *Hilbert torsion*

$$\mathbf{2.3} \quad ht^G(f) = ht(f) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

by $ht(f) = t(f, \phi) + *t(f, \phi)$. This is independent of the choice of ϕ . If ψ is another choice we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (t(f, \phi) + *t(f, \phi)) - (t(f, \psi) + *t(f, \psi)) \\ &= (t(f, \phi) - t(f, \psi)) + *(t(f, \phi) - t(f, \psi)) \\ &= [\psi^{-1} \circ \phi] + *[\psi^{-1} \circ \phi] \\ &= [\psi^{-1} \circ \phi] - [\psi^{-1} \circ \phi] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4 *If f and $g : C \longrightarrow D$ are $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain homotopic, we get*

$$ht(f) = ht(g)$$

Let C be a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex. Consider its homology $H(C)$ as a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complex by the trivial differential. Suppose that additionally $H(C)$ has the structure of a $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex. Up to $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain homotopy there is precisely one $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain map $i : H(C) \longrightarrow C$ satisfying $H(i) = id$. Define the *Hilbert-Reidemeister torsion*

$$\mathbf{2.5} \quad hr^G(C) = hr(C) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

by $hr(C) := ht(i : H(C) \longrightarrow C)$.

Example 2.6 Let G be the trivial group. Let C be a finite \mathbf{R} -Hilbert complex together with a \mathbf{R} -Hilbert structure on $H(C)$. Choose orthonormal bases for each C_i and $H(C)_i$. The torsion defined by Milnor (1966)[25] takes values in $\tilde{K}_1(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}^*/\mathbf{Z}^*$. Its square is a positive real number which agrees with $hr(C) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{R}^*$.

We collect the main properties of these invariants. Consider the commutative diagram of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes whose rows are exact and whose vertical arrows are $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathbf{2.7} & 0 & \longrightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{i} & D & \xrightarrow{p} & E & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \\
& 0 & \longrightarrow & C' & \xrightarrow{i'} & D' & \xrightarrow{p'} & E' & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

We get an acyclic finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex $0 \longrightarrow C_n \xrightarrow{i_n} D_n \xrightarrow{p_n} E_n \longrightarrow 0$ concentrated in dimension 0, 1, 2 for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $hr(C_n, D_n, E_n)$ be its Hilbert-Reidemeister torsion. Define

$$\mathbf{2.8} \quad hr(C, D, E) := \sum_n (-1)^n \cdot hr(C_n, D_n, E_n) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

Proposition 2.9 (Additivity) $ht(f) - ht(g) + ht(h) = hr(C, D, E) - hr(C', D', E')$

Let $0 \longrightarrow C \xrightarrow{i} D \xrightarrow{p} E \longrightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes. Suppose that $H(C)$, $H(D)$ and $H(E)$ come with $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures. The long homology sequence $H(C, D, E)$ inherits the structure of an acyclic finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex. Analogously to Milnor [25] we get

Proposition 2.10 (Additivity) $hr(C) - hr(D) + hr(E) = hr(C, D, E) - hr(H(C, D, E))$

Proposition 2.11 (Composition Formula) *If $f : C \longrightarrow D$ and $g : D \longrightarrow E$ are chain equivalences of $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes, we have*

$$ht(g \circ f) = ht(g) + ht(f)$$

Proposition 2.12 (Comparison Formula) *If $f : C \longrightarrow D$ is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes and $H(C)$ and $H(D)$ come with finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex structures, then $H(f) : H(C) \longrightarrow H(D)$ is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes and we get*

$$ht(f) = hr(D) - hr(C) + ht(H(f))$$

Proof : Proposition 2.11 ■

Given two finite groups G and H , there is a pairing

$$2.13 \quad \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} : K_0(\mathbf{R}G) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K_1(\mathbf{R}H) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}G \times H)$$

$$[P] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} [f : Q \longrightarrow Q] \mapsto [id \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} f : P \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} Q \longrightarrow P \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} Q]$$

Notice that $K_0(\mathbf{R}G)$ is $Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. Because P and P^* are (not naturally) $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphic, we get induced pairings

$$2.14 \quad \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} : K_0(\mathbf{R}G) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K_1(\mathbf{R}H)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}G \times H)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

$$\otimes_{\mathbf{R}} : K_0(\mathbf{R}G) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

If C is a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complex, define its *Euler characteristic*

$$2.15 \quad \chi(C) := \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot [C_i] = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot [H_i(C)] \in K_0(\mathbf{R}G)$$

Proposition 2.16 (Product Formula)

a.) Let $f : C' \longrightarrow C$ resp. $g : D' \longrightarrow D$ be a $\mathbf{R}G$ - resp. $\mathbf{R}H$ -chain equivalence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes. Then

$$ht^{G \times H}(f \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} g) = \chi^G(C) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} ht^H(g) + ht^G(f) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(D)$$

b.) Let C resp. D be a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ - resp. $\mathbf{R}H$ -chain complex. Assume that $H(C)$ resp. $H(D)$ possesses a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ - resp. $\mathbf{R}H$ -Hilbert complex structure. Equip $H(C \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} D)$ with the finite $\mathbf{R}G \times H$ - Hilbert structure for which the Künneth isomorphism $H(C) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} H(D) \cong H(C \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} D)$ becomes an isometry. Then

$$hr^{G \times H}(C \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} D) = \chi^G(C) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} hr^H(D) + hr^G(C) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(D)$$

2.17 If $H \subset G$ is a subgroup, there are obvious induction and restriction homomorphism. Both ht and hr are compatible with induction and restriction.

If $C = (C_*, c_*)$ is a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complex, define its *dual* $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complex C^{n-*} by $(C^{n-*})_r := (C_r)^*$ and $(c^{n-*})_r := (c_{n-r+1})^*$. If the $\mathbf{R}G$ -module P has a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure given by an $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism $\phi : P \longrightarrow P^*$ satisfying $\phi^* = \phi$, equip P^* with the finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure $P^* \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} P \longrightarrow P^{**}$. Hence C^{n-*} inherits a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex structure from C . Notice that the natural $\mathbf{R}G$ -map $C \longrightarrow (C^{n-*})^{n-*}$ is an isometric $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain isomorphism.

Proposition 2.18

a.) If $f : C \longrightarrow D$ is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence of $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes, we get

$$ht(f^{n-*}) = (-1)^n \cdot ht(f)$$

b.) Let C be a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex. Assume that $H(C)$ has a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex structure. Then:

$$hr(C^{n-*}) = (-1)^{n+1} \cdot hr(C)$$

Let $f : C \longrightarrow D$ be a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes. Let $\kappa(C_i)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(C_i)$ resp. $\kappa(D_i)$ and $\tilde{\kappa}(D_i)$ be two different $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures on C_i resp D_i . We obtain $\mathbf{R}G$ -automorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{2.19} \quad & \kappa(C_i)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\kappa}(C_i) : C_i \longrightarrow C_i^* \longrightarrow C_i \\ & \kappa(D_i)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\kappa}(D_i) : D_i \longrightarrow D_i^* \longrightarrow D_i \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.20 We have :

$$\begin{aligned} & ht(f : (C, \kappa(C)) \longrightarrow (D, \kappa(D))) - ht(f : (C, \tilde{\kappa}(C)) \longrightarrow (D, \tilde{\kappa}(D))) \\ & = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot [\kappa(C_i)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\kappa}(C_i)] - \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot [\kappa(D_i)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\kappa}(D_i)] \end{aligned}$$

Proof : Because of Lemma 2.11 it suffices to prove

$$ht(id : (C, \kappa(C)) \longrightarrow (C, \tilde{\kappa}(C))) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot [\kappa(C_i)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\kappa}(C_i)]$$

Because of Proposition 2.9 we can assume that C is concentrated in dimension zero. If $\phi : (C_0, \kappa(C_0)) \longrightarrow (C_0, \tilde{\kappa}(C_0))$ is an isometric $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism, we compute

$$ht(id : (C, \kappa(C)) \longrightarrow (C, \tilde{\kappa}(C))) = [\phi] + [\phi^*] = [\phi] + [\tilde{\kappa}(C_0) \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \kappa(C_0)^{-1}]$$

$$= [\phi \circ \tilde{\kappa}(C_0) \circ \phi^{-1} \circ \kappa(C_0)^{-1}] = [\kappa(C_0)^{-1} \circ \tilde{\kappa}(C_0)] \quad \blacksquare$$

Next we compare $K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ and $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. Let I be a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathbf{R}G$ -representations. For any finitely generated $\mathbf{R}G$ -module W we have the natural $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism

$$\mathbf{2.21} \quad \phi : \bigoplus_{V \in I} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V, P) \otimes_{\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)} V \longrightarrow P \quad f \otimes v \mapsto f(v)$$

By Schur's Lemma $\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)$ is a skew field. Hence the canonical homomorphism $\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)^* \longrightarrow K_1(\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V))$ induces an isomorphism from the abelianization of the group of units $\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^* \longrightarrow K_1(\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V))$ (see Silvester [33] page 133). We obtain from 2.21 an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{2.22} \quad \Phi : K_1(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{V \in I} \text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^* \quad [g : P \longrightarrow P] \mapsto \{[\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}G}(id_V, g)] \mid V \in I\}$$

There is an involution $*$: $\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^* \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^*$ sending $[f]$ to $[k^{-1} \circ f^* \circ k]$ for any $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism $k : V \longrightarrow V^*$ satisfying $k = k^*$. Then ϕ is compatible with the involution $*$ on $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ and the direct sum of the involutions $*$ on $\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^*$. Because $\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)$ is a skew field over \mathbf{R} , it is isomorphic to \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C} or \mathbf{H} and we accordingly call V of real, complex or quaternionic type. Under these isomorphisms the involution on $\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)$ corresponds to the trivial, complex or quaternionic involution. The map $\mathbf{R}^+ \times S^3 \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}^*$ sending (λ, z) to $\lambda \cdot z$ is an isomorphism of Lie groups, if S^3 inherits the Lie group structure from $S^3 \subset \mathbf{H}$. The Lie group $S^3 = SU(2)$ is its own commutator group. The inclusion $\mathbf{R}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbf{H}^*$ and the norm map $\mathbf{H}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ sending $a \in \mathbf{H}$ to $\sqrt{a\bar{a}}$ induce to another inverse isomorphisms $\mathbf{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{ab}^*$ and $\mathbf{H}_{ab}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$. Now the inclusion $i : \mathbf{R}^* \longrightarrow (\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^*)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ mapping $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}^*$ to $\lambda \cdot id : V \longrightarrow V$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{2.23} \quad \begin{aligned} i : \mathbf{R}^* &\longrightarrow (\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^*)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} && \text{, if } V \text{ is of real or complex type} \\ i : \mathbf{R}^+ &\longrightarrow (\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V)_{ab}^*)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} && \text{, if } V \text{ is of quaternionic type} \end{aligned}$$

Let the isomorphisms $\gamma_1 : \mathbf{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ resp. $\gamma_1 \oplus \gamma_2 : \mathbf{R}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2$ send r to $\ln(r)$ resp. $(\ln(|r|), r/|r|)$. Denote by \hat{I} the subset of I consisting of V 's of real or complex type and by $\widehat{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ the subgroup of $\widehat{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ generated by \hat{I} . For an abelian group A we get identifications

$$\mathbf{2.24} \quad \begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{V \in I} A &\longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \\ \bigoplus_{V \in \hat{I}} A &\longrightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \end{aligned}$$

from the \mathbf{Z} -bases I resp. \hat{I} . Define

$$\mathbf{2.25} \quad \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 : K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\text{Rep}}_{\mathbf{R}}(G))$$

to be the composition $\left(\left(\bigoplus_{V \in \hat{I}} \gamma_1 \oplus \gamma_2 \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{V \in I - \hat{I}} \gamma_1 \right) \right) \circ \left(\bigoplus_{V \in I} i^{-1} \right) \circ \Phi^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$.

Proposition 2.26 *The map $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ is an isomorphism and is natural with respect to induction and restriction and the operations of $K_0(\mathbf{R}G) = \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. If we denote by $m(V) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(\text{End}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V))$ the Schur index of V , we get*

$$\Gamma_1([f : P \longrightarrow P]) = \sum_{V \in I} \frac{1}{2 \cdot m(V)} \cdot \ln \left(\left(\det(\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}G}(\text{id}_V, f) : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V, P) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}G}(V, P)) \right)^2 \right)$$

where the determinant is taken for a linear map over \mathbf{R} . The inverse of $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ maps $(\lambda \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [V], \pm[W])$ to $[\exp(\lambda) \cdot \text{id} : V \longrightarrow V] + [\pm \text{id} : W \longrightarrow W]$.

2.27 Until now we have dealt with homology and chain complexes. There is also a cohomology and cochain version. If C^* is a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert cochain complex, let $co(C^*)$ be the finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex with $co(C^*)_n := C^{-n}$ and c^{-n} as n^{th} -differential. A $\mathbf{R}G$ -cochain equivalence $f^* : C^* \longrightarrow D^*$ induces a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence $co(f^*) : co(C^*) \longrightarrow co(D^*)$. We define

$$ht(f^*) := ht(co(f^*)) \quad hr(C^*) := hr(co(C^*))$$

All the results of this section have cochain analogues.

Let C be a finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert chain complex with differential $c_n : C_n \longrightarrow C_{n-1}$. The adjoint of c_{n+1} is denoted by $\gamma_n : C_n \longrightarrow C_{n+1}$. Define a symmetric and non-negative definite $\mathbf{R}G$ -homomorphism $\Delta_n : C_n \longrightarrow C_n$ by $c_{n+1} \circ \gamma_n + \gamma_{n-1} \circ c_n$. Let $E_\lambda(\Delta_n)$ be the eigenspace for $\lambda \geq 0$. Define the holomorphic Zeta-function

$$\mathbf{2.28} \quad \zeta_n : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \quad s \mapsto \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \cdot [E_\lambda(\Delta_n)]$$

By the finite-dimensional analogue of the Hodge Decomposition Theorem 1.10 we have a canonical isomorphism $i : E_0(\Delta_n) \longrightarrow H_n(C)$. Equip $E_0(\Delta_n) \subset C_n$ and $H_n(C)$ with the induced $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures. The following result motivates the definition of analytic torsion and Hilbert-Reidemeister torsion (cf. Ray-Singer [29], Prop. 1.7)

Proposition 2.29

- a.) $\Gamma_1(hr(C)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot n \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \zeta_n \Big|_{s=0}$
 b.) $\Gamma_2(hr(C)) = 1$

Proof: First we treat the case where C is acyclic. Then Δ_n is bijective. Let $f_n : C_n \rightarrow C_n$ be $\Delta_n^n = \Delta_n \circ \Delta_n \circ \dots \circ \Delta_n$. Then the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_{odd} & \xrightarrow{c + c^* \Delta^{-1}} & C_{ev} \\
 \downarrow f_{odd} & & f_{ev} \downarrow \\
 C_{odd} & \xrightarrow{c \Delta^{-1} + c^*} & C_{ev}
 \end{array}$$

As the lower horizontal arrow in the square above is the inverse of the adjoint of the upper horizontal arrow and $c^* \circ \Delta^{-1}$ is a chain contraction for C , $hr(C) = -\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot n \cdot [\Delta_n]$ is true. One easily checks

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_1([\Delta_n]) &= \Gamma_1(\sum_{\lambda > 0} [\lambda \cdot id : E_\lambda(\Delta_n) \rightarrow E_\lambda(\Delta_n)]) = \\
 \sum_{\lambda > 0} \ln(\lambda) \cdot [E_\lambda(\Delta_n)] &= -\frac{d}{ds} \zeta_n \Big|_{s=0}
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim for acyclic C . The general case follows from the obvious exact sequence of finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex $0 \rightarrow H(C) \xrightarrow{i} C \rightarrow \text{cok}(i) \rightarrow 0$ and Proposition 2.10. We leave b.) to the reader. ■

3. PL-Torsion

Let G be a finite group. A finite G - CW -complex X is a CW -complex X together with a G -action such that for any $g \in G$ and any open cell $e \subset X$ with $e \cap l(g)(e) \neq \emptyset$ we have $l(g)(e) = e$ and $l(g)|_e = id_e$ where $l(g) : X \rightarrow X$ is left-multiplication with g . We want to define *PL-torsion* for finite G - CW -complexes with a $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure on the homology resp. cohomology groups. We prefer the name PL-torsion instead of topological torsion, as in the equivariant setting torsion invariants are not invariants under G -homeomorphisms in general (see Cappell-Shaneson (1981) [7]). We will apply this to Riemannian G -manifolds using the equivariant triangulation theorem. Having these invariants also for G - CW -complex gives some useful flexibility.

Consider a pair (X, Y) of finite G - CW -complexes. Suppose for simplicity that X is connected. The following definitions are easily extended to the non-connected case. Let $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the universal covering and $\tilde{Y} := p^{-1}(Y)$. Then (\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) is a finite $D^G(X)$ - CW -pair. The group $D^G(X)$ was introduced in 1.1. Its cellular $\mathbf{Z}[D^G(X)]$ -chain complex $C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ is free over $\mathbf{Z}[\pi_1(X)]$. Fix an orthogonal $D^G(X)$ -representation V . As we think of $\pi = \pi_1(X)$ as group of deck transformations, $D^G(X)$ and π act from the left on $C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathbf{Z}[D^G(X)] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[D^G(X)]$ be the involution sending $\sum \lambda_d \cdot d$ to $\sum \lambda_d \cdot d^{-1}$ and similar for $\mathbf{R}\pi$. There is an induced right module structure given by $u \cdot s := \bar{s} \cdot u$ for $s \in \mathbf{R}[D^G(X)]$, $u \in C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$. Define the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex

$$\mathbf{3.1} \quad C_*(X, Y; V) := C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \otimes \mathbf{R}\pi V$$

The $\mathbf{R}G$ -module structure comes from $g \cdot (u \otimes \mathbf{R}\pi v) := \tilde{g}u \otimes \mathbf{R}\pi \tilde{g}v$ for any lift $\tilde{g} \in D^G(X)$ of $g \in G$ and $u \in C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ and $v \in V$. We obtain a $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure by requiring that for one (and hence all) cellular $\mathbf{Z}\pi$ -base B of $C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ the following \mathbf{R} -isomorphism is an isometry with respect to the orthogonal structure on V

$$C_*(X, Y; V) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_B V \quad \left(\sum_{b \in B} a_b \cdot b \right) \otimes \mathbf{R}\pi v \mapsto \{\bar{a}_b \cdot v \mid b \in B\}$$

Define the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert cochain complex

$$\mathbf{3.2} \quad C^*(X, Y; V) := Hom_{\mathbf{R}\pi}(C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), V)$$

The $\mathbf{R}G$ -structure is induced from the $D^G(X)$ -action on $Hom_{\mathbf{R}}(C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}); V)$ given by $d \cdot f := l(d) \circ f \circ l(d^{-1})$ and the fact that the π -fixed point set is $Hom_{\mathbf{R}\pi}(C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}); V)$. The

$\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure is determined by the property that for one (and hence all) cellular $\mathbf{R}\pi$ -base B of $C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ the following \mathbf{R} -isomorphism is isometric

$$C^*(X, Y; V) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_B V \quad \phi \mapsto \{\phi(b) \mid b \in B\}$$

We have explained before how P^* inherits a $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure from P if P is a finitely generated $\mathbf{R}G$ -module with $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure. Let $H_*(X, Y; V)$ resp. $H^*(X, Y; V)$ be the homology resp. cohomology of $C_*(X, Y; V)$ resp. $C^*(X, Y; V)$. There is an isometric $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain isomorphism

$$\mathbf{3.3} \quad C^*(X, Y; V) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(C_*(X, Y; V^*), \mathbf{R})$$

sending $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}\pi}(C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), V)$ to $C_*(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \otimes \mathbf{R}\pi V^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $u \otimes \mathbf{R}\pi\psi \mapsto \psi \circ \phi(u)$. It induces a $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism

$$\mathbf{3.4} \quad H^*(X, Y; V) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(H_*(X, Y; V^*); \mathbf{R})$$

Definition 3.5 *Let (X, Y) be a finite G -CW-pair and V be an orthogonal $D^G(X)$ -representation. Let κ_* be a $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure on $H_*(X, Y; V)$. Define the Hilbert -PL-torsion or briefly PL-torsion*

$$\rho_{pl}^G(X, Y; V, \kappa_*) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

by $\rho_{pl}^G(X, Y; V, \kappa_*) := \text{hr}(C_*(X, Y; V), \kappa_*)$ (see 2.5). Similarly, if κ^* is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure on $H^*(X, Y; V)$, define

$$\rho_{pl}^G(X, Y; V, \kappa^*) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

by $\rho_{pl}^G(X, Y; V, \kappa^*) := \text{hr}(C^*(X, Y; V), \kappa^*)$ (see 2.27)

We derive from 2.18

Proposition 3.6 *If κ_* and κ^* are compatible with 3.4, we have*

$$\rho_{pl}^G(X, Y; V, \kappa_*) = -\rho_{pl}^G(X, Y; V, \kappa^*)$$

Remark 3.7 It is convenient to have both the homological and the cohomological definition. The first one is more convenient for computations as other related torsion invariants like Whitehead torsion are given by chain complexes and the cellular chain complex is easier to compute than the cochain complex. The second one fits better into the context of analytic torsion and deRham cohomology.

Let $(f, f_1) : (X, X_1) \longrightarrow (Y, Y_1)$ be a G -homotopy equivalence of pairs of finite G -CW-complexes. In Dovermann-Rothenberg (1986) [11], Illman (1974) [17] and in Lück (1989) [21] *equivariant Whitehead torsion* $\tau^G(f, f_1) \in Wh^G(Y)$ is defined. Let V be an orthogonal $D^G(Y)$ -representation. We consider $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures $\kappa_i(X)$ on $H_i(X, X_1; f^*V)$ and $\kappa_i(Y)$ on $H_i(Y, Y_1; f^*V)$. Let the element $u_i \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ be given by the composition

$$H_i(X, X_1; f^*V) \xrightarrow{H_i(f, f_1)} H_i(Y, Y_1; V) \xrightarrow{\kappa_i(Y)} H_i(Y, Y_1; V)^* \xrightarrow{H_i(f, f_1)^*} H_i(X, X_1; f^*V)^* \xrightarrow{\kappa_i(X)^{-1}} H_i(X, X_1; f^*V)$$

Proposition 3.8 *There is a natural homomorphism*

$$\omega = \omega^G(Y; V) : Wh^G(Y) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

such that

$$\rho_{pl}^G(Y, Y_1; V, \kappa_*(Y)) - \rho_{pl}^G(X, X_1; f^*V, \kappa_*(X)) = \omega(\tau^G(f, f_1)) - \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot u_i$$

Proof: We describe ω in the language developed in Lück (1989) [21]. A class $[k] \in Wh^G(Y)$ is represented by an automorphism $k : P \longrightarrow P$ of a finitely generated projective $\mathbf{Z}\Pi(G, Y)$ -module P . Let $x \in Ob(\Pi(G, Y))$ be represented by the G -map $x : G \longrightarrow Y$ with G as domain. Let $v \in K_1(\mathcal{A})$ be the class of the $\mathbf{R}G$ -automorphism $k(x) \otimes \mathbf{Z}\pi id_V$ of $P(x) \otimes \mathbf{Z}\pi V$ where $k(x)$ is given by evaluating k at x . Define $\omega([g]) := v + *v$. We get from the definitions $\omega(\tau^G(f, f_1)) = ht(C_*(f, f_1; V))$. Now apply Proposition 2.12. ■

Remark 3.9 A G -homotopy equivalence $(f, f_1) : (X, X_1) \longrightarrow (Y, Y_1)$ is *simple* if $\tau^G(f, f_1)$ vanishes. Hence ρ_{pl}^G depends only on the simple G -homotopy type, provided that $H_*(Y, Y_1; V)$ vanishes.

Consider the cellular G -push out of pairs of finite G -CW-complexes, where i_1 is an inclusion of such pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{3.10} & (X_0, A_0) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & (X_2, A_2) \\
& \downarrow i_1 & \searrow j_0 & \downarrow j_2 \\
& (X_1, A_1) & \xrightarrow{j_1} & (X, A)
\end{array}$$

If V is an orthogonal $D^G(X)$ -representation, we get an exact sequence of $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complexes

$$\begin{aligned}
\{0\} \longrightarrow C_*(X_0, A_0, j_0^*V) &\xrightarrow{i_1^* \oplus i_2^*} C_*(X_1, A_1; j_1^*V) \oplus C_*(X_2, A_2, j_2^*V) \\
&\xrightarrow{j_1^* - j_2^*} C_*(X, A; V) \longrightarrow \{0\}
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by M_* the long homology sequence of the sequence above. Suppose that we have $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures κ_i on $H_*(X_i, A_i, j_i^*V)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and κ on $H_*(X, A, V)$. Then M_* inherits the structure of an acyclic finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex. We derive from Proposition 2.10.

Proposition 3.11 (Sum formula for PL-torsion) *We have*

$$\rho_{pl}^G(X, A; V, \kappa) = \rho_{pl}^G(X_1, A_1; j_1^*V, \kappa_1) + \rho_{pl}^G(X_2, A_2; j_2^*V, \kappa_2) - \rho_{pl}^G(X_0, A_0; j_0^*V, \kappa_0) + hr(M_*)$$

Let (X, A) resp. (Y, B) be a pair of finite G - resp. H -CW-complexes and V resp. W be orthogonal $D^G(X)$ - resp. $D^H(Y)$ -representations. Equip $H_*(X, A, V)$ resp. $H_*(Y, B, W)$ with $\mathbf{R}G$ - resp. $\mathbf{R}H$ -Hilbert structures $\kappa(X)$ resp. $\kappa(Y)$. Then $(X, A) \times (Y, B)$ is a pair of finite $G \times H$ -CW-complexes and $V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W$ an orthogonal representation of $D^{G \times H}(X \times Y) = D^G(X) \times D^H(Y)$. Put on $H_*((X, A) \times (Y, B); V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)$ the $\mathbf{R}G \times H$ -Hilbert structure $\kappa(X \times Y)$ induced by the $\mathbf{R}G \times H$ -Künneth isomorphism from $H_*(X, A; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} H_*(Y, B; W)$ to $H_*((X, A) \times (Y, B); V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)$. We define the *equivariant Euler characteristic*

$$\mathbf{3.12} \quad \chi^G(X, A; V) \in K_0(\mathbf{R}G) = \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

by $\chi^G(X, A; V) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot [C_n(X, A; V)] = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot [H_n(X, A; V)]$ as we have already done for manifolds in 1.31. We derive from Proposition 2.16

Proposition 3.13 (Product Formula for PL-Torsion)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{pl}^{G \times H}(X, A) \times (Y, B); V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W, \kappa(X \times Y)) = \\ \rho_{pl}^G(X, A; V, \kappa(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(Y, B; W) + \chi^G(X, A; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{pl}^H(Y, B; W, \kappa(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.14 The PL-torsion is compatible with induction and restriction by 2.17.

Next we deal with manifolds. A Riemannian G -manifold triad $(M; M_1, M_2)$ consists of a Riemannian G -manifold M together with G -invariant codimension zero submanifolds M_1 and M_2 of the boundary ∂M satisfying $\partial M = M_1 \cup M_2$ and $\partial M_1 = M_1 \cap M_2 = \partial M_2$. We do not require in this section $M_1 \cap M_2 = \emptyset$ what we did in previous sections. Regard an equivariant triangulation $(f; f_1, f_2) : (K; K_1, K_2) \longrightarrow (M; M_1, M_2)$ (see Illman (1978) [18]). Roughly speaking, this is an ordinary triangulation together with a regular simplicial action of G on K for which f is G -equivariant. In particular (K, K_1) is a pair of finite G -CW-complexes. Let V be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation. The Riemannian metric on M gives an inner product $\langle\langle \omega, \eta \rangle\rangle = \int_M \langle \omega, \eta \rangle dM = \int_M \omega \wedge * \eta$ on $\Lambda^p(M)$. Equip the subspace of harmonic forms $H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V)$ with the induced inner product. There is a natural $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism $j : H^p(K, K_1; f^*V) \longrightarrow H_s^p(K, K_1, f^*V)$ between singular and cellular homology. Denote by κ_{harm}^* the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure on $H^*(K, K_1; f^*V)$ for which the following $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism becomes an isometry where \bar{i} is the Hodge isomorphism (see Proposition 1.10)

$$H^p(K, K_1; f^*V) \xrightarrow{j} H_s^p(K, K_1; f^*V) \xrightarrow{(f^*)^{-1}} H_s^p(M, M_1; V) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}^{-1}} H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V)$$

Let κ_*^{harm} be the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure on $H_*(K, K_1; f^*V)$ given by κ_{harm}^* and 3.4

Definition 3.15 Define the PL-torsion

$$\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

by $\rho_{pl}^G(K, K_1; f^*V, \kappa_*^{harm})$

If $(g; g_1, g_2) : (L; L_1, L_2) \longrightarrow (M; M_1, M_2)$ is a second triangulation, $g \circ f^{-1}$ is simple, i.e. $\tau^G(g^{-1} \circ f) = 0$ in $Wh^G(L)$. Now Proposition 3.8 shows that the choice of equivariant triangulation does not matter. In the sequel we will identify M with a triangulation. We emphasize that our notion on PL-torsion is based on homology (cf. Proposition 3.6)

Definition 3.16 We call the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures κ_{harm}^* and κ_*^{harm} constructed above the harmonic Hilbert structure.

In the equivariant setting there is a new invariant involved which does not occur in the non-equivariant case. For a generator $[M] \in H_m(C_*(\tilde{M}, \partial\tilde{M}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}\pi^w\mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ we obtain by Poincaré duality a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain equivalence unique up to homotopy

$$\mathbf{3.17} \quad \cap[M] : C^{n-*}(M, M_2; {}^wV) \longrightarrow C_*(M, M_1; V)$$

It induces an $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphism

$$\mathbf{3.18} \quad H(\cap[M]) : H^{n-*}(M, M_2; {}^wV) \longrightarrow H_*(M, M_1; V)$$

The construction of $\cap[M]$ as a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain map uses the existence of equivariant approximations of the diagonal G -map $M \longrightarrow M \times M$. Recall that $C_*(M, M_1; V)$ and $C^{n-*}(M, M_1; V)$ have preferred $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures coming from cellular \mathbf{R} -bases and the inner product on V (see 3.1 and 3.2).

Definition 3.19 *Define the Hilbert-Poincaré torsion or briefly Poincaré torsion*

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

to be the Hilbert torsion of $\cap[M] : C^{n-}(M, M_2; {}^wV) \longrightarrow C_*(M, M_1; V)$*

This definition is independent of the choice of $[M]$ as the Hilbert torsion of $-id$ is always zero.

Proposition 3.20 *If G acts freely, then $\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)$ vanishes.*

Proof : If G acts freely on M , then also $D^G(M)$ on \tilde{M} . The proof of Poincaré duality by the dual cell decomposition shows that the $\mathbf{Z}[D^G(M)]$ -chain equivalence of finitely generated based free $\mathbf{Z}[D^G(M)]$ -chain complexes $\cap[M] : C^{n-*}(M, M_2; {}^wV) \longrightarrow C_*(M, M_1; V)$ is base preserving and hence has vanishing Hilbert torsion. \blacksquare

Remark 3.21 For non-free actions the dual CW -complex structure obtained from an equivariant triangulation is not a G - CW -complex structure. For example, consider S^1 with the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action given by complex conjugation. The upper and lower hemispheres give obvious equivariant triangulations. The dual cell decomposition is obtained from it by rotation about 90° . It is not an equivariant cell decomposition as $\mathbf{Z}/2$ acts non-trivially on each of the 1-cells. Therefore it can happen that the Poincaré torsion is not trivial, if the group acts non-freely.

On the homology level nothing happens.

Lemma 3.22 *The \mathbf{RG} -map $H(\cap[M]) : H^{n-*}(M, M_2; {}^w V) \longrightarrow H_*(M, M_1; V)$ is isometric with respect to the harmonic \mathbf{RG} -Hilbert structures. In particular the Hilbert torsion of it is zero*

Proof : The claim follows from the commutativity of the following diagram, where A is the deRham isomorphism and \langle, \rangle denotes the Kronecker pairing resp. is given by the Hilbert structure.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{harm}^{n-p}(M, M_2; {}^w V) & \xrightarrow{A} & H^{n-p}(M, M_2; {}^w V) \\
\downarrow * & & \downarrow H(\cap[M]) \\
H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V) & & H_p(M, M_1; V) \\
\downarrow \langle, \rangle & & \downarrow \langle, \rangle \\
H_{harm}^p(M, M_1; V)^* & \xrightarrow{A^*} & H^p(M, M_1; V)^*
\end{array}$$

■

Proposition 3.23 (Poincaré Duality for Poincaré and PL-Torsion)

Let $(M; M_1, M_2)$ be a m -dimensional Riemannian G -manifold triad with orientation homomorphism $w : D^G(M) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. Let V be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation. Then we have :

- a.) $\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) + (-1)^m \cdot \rho_{pl}^G(M, M_2; {}^w V) = \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)$
- b.) $\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) = (-1)^m \cdot \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_2; {}^w V)$
- c.) $\chi^G(M, M_1; V) = (-1)^m \cdot \chi^G(M, M_2; {}^w V)$

Proof : a.) Because of Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.22 the claim follows from the Comparison formula 2.12 applied to $\cap[M] : C^{m-*}(M, M_2; V) \longrightarrow C_*(M, M_1; V)$.

b.) The \mathbf{RG} -chain maps $\cap[M]$ and $(\cap[M])^{m-*}$ from $C^{m-*}(M, M_2; V)$ to $C_*(M, M_1; V)$ are chain homotopic. Now apply Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.18.

c.) follows from 3.4. ■

Remark 3.24 If one compares the statements about Poincaré duality for analytic torsion 1.20 and for PL-torsion 3.23, it becomes obvious that the Poincaré torsion has to enter in a formula relating analytic and PL-torsion

Example 3.25 Let $\mathbf{Z}/2$ act on S^1 by complex conjugation. Equip S^1 with the standard metric scaled by a factor such that the volume of S^1 is the positive real number μ . We use the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -CW-structure with the upper and lower hemispheres S^1_+ and S^1_- as 1-cells and the points $-1, +1 \in \mathbf{C}$ as 0-cells. The cellular $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{Z}/2$ -chain complex $C_*(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ is

$$\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2] \xrightarrow{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)} \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}$$

where ϵ is the augmentation $a + b \cdot t \mapsto a + b$ for $t \in \mathbf{Z}/2$ the generator, S^1_+ resp. S^1_- corresponds to 1 resp. $t \in \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ and the points $-1, 1$ to $(1, 0), (0, 1) \in \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}$. The cellular $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ -Hilbert structure is given by the orthonormal \mathbf{R} -bases $\{1, t\} \subset \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ and $\{(1, 0), (0, 1)\} \subset \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}$. The 1-form $\mu^{-1/2} \cdot dvol$ for $dvol$ the volume form has norm 1 because

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle \mu^{-1/2} \cdot dvol, \mu^{-1/2} \cdot dvol \rangle\rangle &= \mu^{-1} \cdot \langle\langle dvol, dvol \rangle\rangle = \mu^{-1} \cdot \int_{S^1} dvol \wedge *dvol \\ &= \mu^{-1} \cdot \int_{S^1} dvol = \mu^{-1} \cdot \mu = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the generator $(1 - t)$ of $H_1(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ is represented by $id : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ and we have

$$\int_{S^1} \mu^{-1/2} \cdot dvol = \mu^{1/2}$$

The harmonic 0-form $S^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, z \mapsto \mu^{-1/2}$ has norm 1 and evaluating it at the generator $(1, 1)$ of $H_0(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ yields $2 \cdot \mu^{-1/2}$. Hence the following maps are $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ -isometries, if \mathbf{R}^- and \mathbf{R} carry the standard and $H_*(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ the harmonic $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ -Hilbert structures.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}^- &\longrightarrow H_1(S^1; \mathbf{R}) & 1 &\mapsto \mu^{-1/2} \cdot (1 - t) \\ \mathbf{R} &\longrightarrow H_0(S^1; \mathbf{R}) & 1 &\mapsto 1/2 \cdot (\mu^{1/2}, \mu^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

We use them as identifications of $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ -Hilbert spaces. The following $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ -chain map $i : H_*(C^*(S^1; \mathbf{R})) \rightarrow C^*(S^1, \mathbf{R})$ satisfies $H(i) = id$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{R}^- & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbf{R} \\
\downarrow \mu^{-1/2} \cdot (1-t) & & \downarrow (\frac{\mu^{1/2}}{2}, \frac{\mu^{1/2}}{2}) \\
\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2] & \xrightarrow{(-\epsilon, \epsilon)} & \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}
\end{array}$$

Its mapping cone is concentrated in dimension 0, 1, 2 and has the following differential c and chain contraction γ , where $\delta : \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-$ maps $a + bt$ to $a - b$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{R} & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ \mu^{-1/2} \cdot (1-t) & \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2] & \xleftarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \mu^{1/2}/2 & \epsilon \\ \mu^{1/2}/2 & -\epsilon \end{pmatrix}} & \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \\
& & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu^{1/2}/2 \cdot \delta \end{pmatrix}} & & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \mu^{-1/2} & \mu^{-1/2} \\ (t+1)/4 & -(t+1)/4 \end{pmatrix}}
\end{array}$$

Hence $(c + \gamma) : Cone(i)_{odd} \longrightarrow Cone(i)_{ev}$ is the $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ -isomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^{-1/2}/2 & \epsilon \\ \mu^{-1/2}/2 & -\epsilon \\ 0 & \mu^{1/2}/2 \cdot \delta \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2] \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}^-$$

We have the $\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$ -isometry

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot (t+1) & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot (t-1) \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2]$$

Hence $[\phi \circ (c + \gamma)] = [(c + \gamma) \circ \phi]$ is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mu^{1/2}/2 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ \mu^{1/2}/2 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \cdot \mu^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} : \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}^-$$

This shows

$$\mathbf{3.26} \quad \rho_{pl}^{\mathbf{RZ}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = [2\mu \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] + [\mu/2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-]$$

We get by restriction to the trivial subgroup

$$\mathbf{3.27} \quad \rho_{pl}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = \mu^2 \in \mathbf{R}^*$$

We conclude from 3.26 and Lemma 3.32

$$\mathbf{3.28} \quad \rho_{pl}^{\mathbf{RZ}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}^-) = [\mu/2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] + [2\mu \cdot id : \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-]$$

We derive from 3.26, 3.28 and Proposition 3.23

$$\mathbf{3.29} \quad \rho_{pd}^{\mathbf{RZ}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = [4 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] + [\frac{1}{4} \cdot id : \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-]$$

Example 3.30 Equip $D^1 = [0, 1]$ with the standard metric scaled by $\mu > 0$. Then D^1 has volume μ . The cellular \mathbf{R} -chain complex is

$$\mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{(1,-1)} \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}$$

The element $(\mu^{1/2}/2, \mu^{1/2}/2) \in H_0(D^1; \mathbf{R})$ has norm 1 with respect to the harmonic Hilbert structure. Now one easily checks

$$\mathbf{3.31} \quad \rho_{pl}(D^1; \mathbf{R}) = \mu \in \mathbf{R}^*$$

Recall the map $q : D^G(M) \longrightarrow G$ of 1.2 and the operation of $K_0(\mathbf{R}G) = Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ on $K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ and $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ defined in 2.14 and 1.25.

Lemma 3.32 *Let W be an orthogonal G -representation. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} q^*W) &= \rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [W] \\ \rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} q^*W) &= \rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [W] \\ \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} q^*W) &= \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [W] \end{aligned}$$

Proof : There are natural isometric $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^*(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W &\longrightarrow \Lambda^*(M; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W) && \text{and} \\ C_*(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W &\longrightarrow C_*(M, M_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} q^*W) && \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Let $(M; M_1, M_2)$ and $(N; N_1, N_2)$ be m -dimensional Riemannian G -manifold triads and $(f; f_1, f_2) : (M; M_1, M_2) \longrightarrow (N; N_1, N_2)$ be a G -homotopy equivalence of such triads. Let V be an orthogonal $D^G(N)$ -representation and $\kappa_*^{harm}(M)$ and κ_*^{harm} be the harmonic Hilbert structures on $H_*(M, M_1; f^*V)$ and $H_*(N, N_1; V)$. The following composition represents an element $u_i \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(M, M_1; f^*V) &\xrightarrow{H_i(f, f_1)} H_i(N, N_1; V) \xrightarrow{\kappa_i^{harm}(N)} H_i(N, N_1; V)^* \xrightarrow{H_i(f, f_1)^*} \\ &H_i(M, M_1; f^*V)^* \xrightarrow{\kappa_i^{harm}(M)^{-1}} H_i(M, M_1; f^*V) \end{aligned}$$

We have introduced the map $\omega^G(N; V) : Wh^G(N) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ in Proposition 3.8. We derive from Proposition 3.8, Proposition 2.12 and Proposition 2.18

Proposition 3.33 *We have*

- a.) $\rho_{pl}^G(N, N_1; V) - \rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; f^*V) = \omega^G(N; V)(\tau^G(f, f_1)) - \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot u_i$
- b.) $\rho_{pd}^G(N, N_1; V) - \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; f^*V) = \omega^G(N; V)(\tau^G(f, f_1)) + (-1)^m \cdot \omega^G(N; {}^wV)(\tau^G(f, f_2))$

Proposition 3.33 tells in particular how the PL-torsion varies under change of Riemannian metric. Notice that the Poincaré torsion depends only on the simple G -homotopy type. This is also true for the PL-torsion, if $H_i(N, N_1; V)$ vanishes.

The following pairings are special cases of 2.14

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} : K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{Z}/2) &\longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2])^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \\ \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} : Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} K_1(\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/2])^{\mathbf{Z}/2} &\longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2])^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.34 (Double Formula for Poincaré and PL-Torsion)

Let M be a Riemannian G -manifold and V be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation. Suppose that ∂M is the disjoint union $M_1 \amalg M_2$ and that the metric is a product near the boundary. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
a.) \quad & \rho_{pl}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V) = \\
& \rho_{pl}^G(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] + \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] \\
b.) \quad & \rho_{pl}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M, \partial(M \cup_{M_1} M); V \cup_{M_1} V) = \\
& \rho_{pl}^G(M, M_2; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \rho_{pl}^G(M, \partial M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] + \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] \\
c.) \quad & \rho_{pd}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V) = \\
& \rho_{pd}^G(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] + \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] - \\
& \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-]
\end{aligned}$$

Proof : Let $i : M \longrightarrow M \cup_{M_1} M$ be the inclusion onto the first summand. We obtain from this map a $\mathbf{R}[D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)]$ -chain map $C_*(i) : i_* C_*(\tilde{M}) \longrightarrow C_*(M \cup_{M_1} M)$ for i_* the induction with the homomorphism $D^G(i) : D^G(\tilde{M}) \longrightarrow D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)$. As $i^*(V \cup_{M_1} V)$ is V , there is a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain isomorphism j from $i_* C_*(\tilde{M}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}[D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)]} V \cup_{M_1} V$ to $i_* C_*(M; V)$. The composition of $C_*(i) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}[D^G(M \cup_{M_1} M)]} V \cup_{M_1} V$ and j^{-1} is denoted by

$$3.35 \quad i_* : i_* C(M; V) \longrightarrow C(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)$$

Let $\tau : M \cup_{M_1} M \longrightarrow M \cup_{M_1} M$ be the flip map. As $\tau^* V \cup_{M_1} V = V \cup_{M_1} V$ holds, we also obtain a $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain map

$$3.36 \quad \tau_* : C_*(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V) \longrightarrow C_*(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)$$

Define a $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -chain map

$$3.37 \quad f_* : (C_*(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}) \oplus (C_*(M; M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^-) \longrightarrow C_*(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)$$

by

$$f_*(a \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} 1 \oplus b \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} 1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot (i_* + \tau_* \circ i_*)(a) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \cdot (i_* - \tau_* \circ i_*)(b)$$

Counting the cellular bases we obtain isometric $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphisms for $p \in \mathbf{Z}$

$$3.38 \quad \phi : C_p(M, M_1; V) \oplus C_p(M_1; V) \longrightarrow C_p(M; V)$$

$$\Psi : C_p(M, M_1; V) \oplus C_p(M_1; V) \oplus C_p(M, M_1; V) \longrightarrow C_p(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V)$$

The map ψ is a $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -map if $\mathbf{Z}/2$ acts trivially on $C_p(M_1; V)$ and switches the two summands $C_p(M, M_1; V)$. We get from ϕ an isometric $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -isomorphism

$$(\phi \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id) \oplus id \quad : \quad (C_p(M, M_1; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R} \oplus (C_p(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}) \oplus (C_p(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^-) \\ \longrightarrow C_*(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R} \oplus C_*(M; M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^-$$

Now the composition $\psi^{-1} \circ f_p \circ ((\phi \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id) \oplus id)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot id & 0 & \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot id \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \cdot id & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot id & 0 & -\sqrt{2}/2 \cdot id \end{pmatrix} \quad : \quad C_p(M, M_1; V) \oplus C_p(M_1; V) \oplus C_p(M, M_1; V) \longrightarrow \\ (C_p(M, M_1; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R} \oplus (C_p(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}) \oplus (C_p(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^-)$$

The Hilbert torsion of this $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -isomorphism of $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -Hilbert modules is easily computed as $[C_p(M_1; V)] \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}]$. We get for the Hilbert torsion of the $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -chain map f_* of $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -Hilbert chain complexes

$$\mathbf{3.39} \quad ht^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(f_*) = \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}]$$

Next we compute on the homology level

$$\mathbf{3.40} \quad ht^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(H(f_*)) = 0$$

The following diagram commutes where A is given by the deRham map and the isomorphism 3.4 and l is the composition of 1.29 and 1.30.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_p(M \cup_{M_1} M, V \cup_{M_1} V)^* & \xrightarrow{H(f_*)^*} & (H_p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^*)^* \oplus (H_p(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^-)^* \\
\uparrow A & & \uparrow (A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id) \oplus (A \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id) \\
E_0(M \cup_{M_1} M; V \cup_{M_1} V) & \xrightarrow{\sqrt{2} \cdot l} & (E_0(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}) \oplus (E_0(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}^-)
\end{array}$$

The lower vertical map is an isometry because of the following computation for $\omega, \eta \in E_0(M \cup_{M_1} M, V \cup_{M_1} V)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \sqrt{2} \cdot l(\omega), \sqrt{2} \cdot l(\eta) \rangle \\
&= \langle \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot i^*(\omega + \tau^*\omega), \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot i^*(\eta + \tau^*\eta) \rangle_M + \langle \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot i^*(\omega - \tau^*\omega), \sqrt{2}/2 \cdot i^*(\eta - \tau^*\eta) \rangle_M \\
&= \langle i^*\omega, i^*\eta \rangle_M + \langle i^*\tau^*\omega, i^*\tau^*\eta \rangle_M \\
&= \langle \omega, \eta \rangle_{M \cup_{M_1} M}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies 3.40. Now the claim a. follows from the Comparison formula 2.12 applied to the $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -chain isomorphism f_* defined in 3.37 if we take 3.39 and 3.40 into account. One proves b.) analogously. Then c.) follows from a.) and b.) and Poincaré duality 3.23 since the following $\mathbf{R}[G \times \mathbf{Z}/2]$ -representations are isomorphic

$$({}^{w^G(M)}V \cup_{M_1} {}^{w^G(M)}V) \otimes \mathbf{R}^- = {}^{w^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{M_1} M)}(V \cup_{M_1} V)$$

This finishes the proof of the Double Formula. ■

Remark 3.41 Notice that the double formulas for analytic torsion 1.27 and PL-torsion 3.34 differ by a Euler characteristic term depending only on the boundary. It appears in the PL-case as the cells in the boundary do contribute to the Hilbert structures. This is not true in the analytic situation where the Hilbert structures come from certain integrals and the boundary does not contribute to them as it is a zero set. These observations indicate that the Euler characteristic is the correction term in a formula relating analytic and PL-torsion for manifolds with boundary.

Example 3.42 In this example we show how the general results above can be used to compute the torsion invariants for S^1 and D^1 . We will see that we get the same answers as in examples 1.15 1.18 3.25 3.30 where we computed these invariants directly. Equip D^1 with

the standard metric scaled by $\mu > 0$. Then D^1 has volume μ . The double $D^1 \cup_{\partial D^1} D^1$ is S^1 with $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action given by complex conjugation and the S^1 -invariant Riemannian metric for which the volume of S^1 is $2 \cdot \mu$. We get from Poincaré duality 1.20 and 3.23 and Proposition 3.20

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{pl}(D^1) &= \rho_{pl}(D^1, \partial D^1) \\ \rho_{an}(D^1) &= \rho_{an}(D^1, \partial D^1)\end{aligned}$$

We derive from the double formulas 1.27 and 3.34

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{3.43} \quad \rho_{an}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) &= \rho_{an}(D^1) \cdot ([\mathbf{R}] + [\mathbf{R}^-]) \\ \rho_{pl}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) &= \rho_{pl}(D^1) \cdot ([\mathbf{R}] + [\mathbf{R}^-]) + 2 \cdot [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] \\ \rho_{pd}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) &= \rho_{pd}(D^1) \cdot ([\mathbf{R}] + [\mathbf{R}^-]) + 2 \cdot [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] - 2 \cdot [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-]\end{aligned}$$

We know $\rho_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = \ln(\rho_{pl}(S^1; \mathbf{R}))$ from Cheeger (1979) [8] and Müller (1978) [26]. Hence the restriction of 3.43 to the trivial subgroup shows

$$\mathbf{3.44} \quad \rho_{an}(D^1) = \ln(\rho_{pl}(D^1)) + \ln(2)$$

One easily checks that the generator of $H_0(D^1; \mathbf{Z})$ has norm $\mu^{-1/2}$ with respect to the harmonic Hilbert structure when considered in $H_0(D^1; \mathbf{R})$. The projection $D^1 \longrightarrow \{pt.\}$ is a simple homotopy equivalence. Hence we get from 3.8

$$\mathbf{3.45} \quad \rho_{pl}(D^1) = \mu$$

We get from 3.44

$$\mathbf{3.46} \quad \rho_{an}(D^1) = \ln(2 \cdot \mu)$$

We conclude from 3.43 if $\nu = 2 \cdot \mu$ is the volume of S^1

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{3.47} \quad \rho_{an}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) &= \ln(\nu) \cdot [\mathbf{R}] + \ln(\nu) \cdot [\mathbf{R}^-] \\ \rho_{pl}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) &= [2\nu \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] + [\nu/2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-] \\ \rho_{pd}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) &= [4 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] - [1/4 \cdot id : \mathbf{R}^- \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}^-]\end{aligned}$$

Let M resp. N be Riemannian G - resp. H -manifold with boundary. Some care is necessary to put a $G \times H$ -manifold structure on $M \times N$, one has to straighten the angle. There seems to be no canonical Riemannian metric on $M \times N$. If $f : K \rightarrow M$, $g : L \rightarrow N$ and $h : X \rightarrow M \times N$ are equivariant triangulations, $h^{-1} \circ (f \times g) : K \times L \rightarrow X$ is a simple $G \times H$ -homotopy equivalence. Hence we get from 3.8 and 3.13

Proposition 3.48 (Product Formula for Poincaré and PL-torsion)

Let $(M; M_1, M_2)$ resp. $(N; N_1, N_2)$ be a Riemannian G - resp. H -manifold triad and V resp. W be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ - resp. $D^H(N)$ -representation. Put on the $G \times H$ -manifold $(M \times N; M \times N_1 \cup M_1 \times N, M \times N_2 \cup M_2 \times N)$ an invariant Riemannian metric such that the Künneth $\mathbf{R}[G \times H]$ -isomorphism from $H_*(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} H_*(N, N_1; W)$ to $H_*(M \times N; M_1 \times N \cup M \times N_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)$ becomes an isometry with respect to the harmonic structures. Then we get

- a.) $\rho_{pl}^{G \times H}(M \times N, M_1 \times N \cup M \times N_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W) = \chi^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{pl}^H(N, N_1; W) + \rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(N, N_1; W)$
- b.) $\rho_{pd}^{G \times H}(M \times N, M_1 \times N \cup M \times N_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W) = \chi^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{pd}^H(N, N_1; W) + \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(N, N_1; W)$
- c.) $\chi^{G \times H}(M \times N, M_1 \times N \cup M \times N_1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W) = \chi^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(N, N_1; W)$

Next we examine how the Poincaré and PL-torsion behave under glueing.

Proposition 3.49 (Sum Formula for Poincaré and PL-Torsion)

Let $(M; M_1, M_2)$ and $(N; N_1, N_2)$ be G -manifold triads with invariant Riemannian metric. Let V and W be orthogonal $D^G(M)$ - and $D^G(N)$ -representations. Let $f : M_2 \rightarrow N_1$ be a G -diffeomorphism and $\bar{f} : V|_{M_2} \rightarrow f^*W|_{N_1}$ an isometric $\mathbf{R}[D^G(M_2)]$ -isomorphism. Put an invariant Riemannian metric on $M \cup_f N$. Let M_* be the acyclic finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complex given by the long Mayer-Vietoris homology sequence and the harmonic $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures. Define P_* analogously by the long homology sequence of the pair (M, M_1) . Then we have:

- a.) $\rho_{pl}^G(M \cup_f N, V \cup_{\bar{f}} W) = \rho_{pl}^G(M; V) + \rho_{pl}^G(N; W) - \rho_{pl}^G(M_2; V) - hr^G(M_*)$
- b.) $\rho_{pd}^G(M \cup_f N, V \cup_{\bar{f}} W) = \rho_{pd}^G(M; V) + \rho_{pd}^G(N; W) - \rho_{pd}^G(M_2; V)$
- c.) $\chi^G(M \cup_f N, V \cup_{\bar{f}} W) = \chi^G(M; V) + \chi^G(N; W) - \chi^G(M_2; V)$
- d.) $\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) = \rho_{pl}^G(M; V) - \rho_{pl}^G(M_1; V) - hr^G(P_*)$
- e.) $\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) = \rho_{pd}^G(M; V) - \rho_{pd}^G(M_1; V)$
- f.) $\chi^G(M, M_1; V) = \chi^G(M; V) - \chi^G(M_1; V)$

Proof : a.) and d.) follow directly from Proposition 3.11.

e.) We have exact sequences of $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complexes

$$3.50 \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_*(M_1; V) \longrightarrow C_*(M; V) \longrightarrow C_*(M, M_1; V) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$3.51 \quad 0 \longrightarrow C_*(M_1, \partial M_1; {}^w V) \longrightarrow C_*(M, M_2; {}^w V) \xrightarrow{p} C_*(M, \partial M; {}^w V) \longrightarrow 0$$

We have the canonical exact sequences if $Cyl(p)$ and $Cone(p)$ are the mapping cylinder and cone of p and P_* and Q_* are the mapping cones of $C_*(M, \partial M; {}^w V)$ and $C_*(M, M_2; {}^w V)$

$$3.52 \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow C_*(M, M_2; {}^w V) \longrightarrow Cyl(p) \longrightarrow Cone(p) \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow C_*(M, \partial M; {}^w V) \longrightarrow Cyl(p) \longrightarrow Q_* \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \Sigma C_*(M_1, \partial M_1; {}^w V) \longrightarrow Cone(p) \longrightarrow P_* \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

As P_* and Q_* are contractible, the last two sequences in 3.52 split as exact sequences of $\mathbf{R}G$ -chain complexes (see Cohen (1973) [9]). Choose such a splitting. Then we get from 3.52 by applying $C_* \mapsto C^{m-*}$ the exact sequence

$$3.53 \quad \begin{aligned} \{0\} &\longrightarrow C^{m-1-*}(M_1, \partial M_1; {}^w V) \oplus P^{m-*} \longrightarrow C^{m-*}(M, \partial M; {}^w V) \oplus Q^{m-*} \\ &\longrightarrow C^{m-*}(M, M_2; {}^w V) \longrightarrow \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

Now one constructs a commutative diagram of $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert complexes with 3.50 and 3.53 as exact rows such that f resp. g resp. h represents $\cap[M] \circ pr$ resp. $\cap[M] \circ pr$ resp. $\cap[M]$ for pr the projection.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C^{m-1-*}(M_1, \partial M_1; {}^w V) \oplus P^{m-*} & \longrightarrow & C^{m-*}(M, \partial M; {}^w V) \oplus Q^{m-*} & \longrightarrow & C^{m-*}(M, M_2; {}^w V) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ C_*(M_1; V) & \longrightarrow & C_*(M; V) & \longrightarrow & C_*(M, M_1; V) \end{array}$$

Since $pr^{m-*} : P^{m-*} \longrightarrow \{0\}$ and $pr^{m-*} : Q^{m-*} \longrightarrow \{0\}$ have vanishing Hilbert torsion, we

get from Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.11

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M_1; V) = ht^G(f)$$

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M; V) = ht^G(g)$$

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) = ht^G(h)$$

Now the claim follows from 2.9.

b.) is proven analogously starting with

$$0 \longrightarrow C_*(M_1; V) \longrightarrow C_*(M; V) \oplus C_*(N; V) \longrightarrow C_*(M \cup_f N; V \cup_{\bar{f}} W) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} \longrightarrow C_*(M_1; \partial M_1; V) &\longrightarrow C_*(M, \partial M; V) \oplus C_*(N, \partial N; V) \\ &\longrightarrow C_*(M \cup_f N, \partial(M \cup_f N; V \cup_{\bar{f}} W)) \longrightarrow \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

c.) and f.) are obvious. This finishes the proof of the Sum formula ■

4. Comparison of Analytic and PL-Torsion

Let G be a finite group. Consider a Riemannian G -manifold M whose boundary ∂M is $M_1 \amalg M_2$. We have introduced an extension $0 \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{i} D^G(p) \xrightarrow{q} G \rightarrow 0$ in 1.2 and an operation of $D^G(M)$ on the universal covering \tilde{M} of M extending the $\pi_1(M)$ -action and covering the G -action in 1.3 if $\pi_1(M)$ is identified with the group of deck transformations of the universal covering. Let V be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation. We have introduced *analytic torsion*

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

in 1.14, *PL-torsion*

$$\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

in 3.15 and *Poincaré torsion*

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V) \in K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$$

in 3.19. In 2.25 we have defined an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 : K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\text{Rep}}_{\mathbf{R}}(G))$$

We want to relate these invariants by this isomorphism. One easily checks

Proposition 4.1 $\Gamma_2(\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)) = \Gamma_2(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)) = 0$

Hence only the images of PL-torsion and Poincaré torsion under Γ_1 are interesting. We need the following technical condition. We call $D^G(M)$ -representations V and W *coherent* if for any $H \subset G, x \in M^H$ their restrictions to H by $H = D^H(\{pt.\}) \xrightarrow{D^H(j(x))} D^H(M) \xrightarrow{k} D^G(M)$ are $\mathbf{R}H$ -isomorphic, where $j(x) : \{pt.\} \rightarrow M$ has $\{x\}$ as image and k is the obvious inclusion. This is equivalent to the assumption that the G -vector bundles $M \times_{\pi_1(M)} V$ and $M \times_{\pi_1(M)} W$ are locally isometrically isomorphic (cf. proof of Lemma 4.14).

Definition 4.2 *We call a $D^G(M)$ -representation V coherent to a G -representation if V and q^*W are coherent for an appropriate G -representation W .*

Example 4.3 If M^H is non-empty and connected for all $H \subset G$, then any G -representation is coherent to a G -representation W . Namely, for a fixed element $x \in M^G$ the homomorphism $s : G = D^G(\{pt.\}) \xrightarrow{D^G(j(x))} D^G(M)$ splits $q : D^G(M) \rightarrow G$. Put $W = s^*V$. For any $y \in M^H$ the H -maps $j(x)$ and $j(y)$ are H -homotopic. Hence the composition $H \hookrightarrow G \xrightarrow{s} D^G(M)$ agrees with the composition $H = D^H(\{pt.\}) \xrightarrow{D^H(j(y))} D^H(M) \xrightarrow{k} D^G(M)$.

Example 4.4 Let $\mathbf{Z}/2$ act on S^1 by complex conjugation. For $-1, 1 \in S^1$ we get different sections $s^+, s^- : \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow D^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1)$ of q . Identify $D^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1)$ with the semi direct product $\mathbf{Z} \times_s \mathbf{Z}/2$ using s^+ . Then $s^- : \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times_s \mathbf{Z}/2$ sends \bar{m} to $((-1)^m, \bar{m})$. Consider the 1-dimensional $D^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1)$ -representation given by $\mathbf{Z} \times_s \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, $(n, \bar{m}) \mapsto (-1)^{n+m}$. It cannot be coherent to $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -representation since its restrictions with s^+ and s^- are not the same.

Our main result is :

Theorem 4.5 (Torsion Formula for Manifolds with Boundary and Symmetry)

Let M be a Riemannian G -manifold whose boundary is the disjoint union $M_1 \amalg M_2$. Let V be an equivariant coefficient system which is coherent to a G -representation. Assume that the metric is a product near the boundary. Then

$$\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) = \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi(\partial M; V)$$

Recall that wV is the w -twisted $D^G(M)$ -representation given by V and the orientation homomorphism $w : D^G(M) \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ defined in 1.4. We will give examples, computations and applications in the next section. The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.5.

We verify Theorem 4.5 under certain additional assumptions and remove these one after the other. We will use the work of Lott-Rothenberg [19] where Theorem 4.5 is proved following Müller (1978) [26], provided that ∂M is empty, M is orientable and V is the trivial $D^G(M)$ -representation \mathbf{R} . Their definitions still make sense when we remove the last condition that V is trivial. Fix an orthogonal G -representation W and an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation V . Let $\sigma : G \longrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{R}}(W)$ be the G -structure on W . We extend the basic definitions of Lott-Rothenberg [19]. One gets their definitions back if one puts $V = \mathbf{R}$. Notice that V plays the role of an equivariant coefficient system for M whereas W is a base element for the representation ring when W is irreducible.

Let $\Delta^p : \Lambda^p(M; V) \longrightarrow \Lambda^p(M; V)$ be the Laplacian and the harmonic projection onto $H_{harm}^p(M; V)$ be $pr_{harm}^p : \Lambda^p(M; V) \longrightarrow \Lambda^p(M; V)$. Let $\underline{\Delta}^p : \Lambda^p(M; V) \longrightarrow \Lambda^p(M; V)$ be the sum $\Delta^p + pr_{harm}^p$. Denote by $pr : \Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W \longrightarrow (\Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G$ the projection operator onto the fixed point set sending $(\omega \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} w$ to $\frac{1}{|G|} \cdot \sum_{g \in G} l(g^{-1})^* \omega \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \sigma(g)(w)$, where $l(g^{-1})^*$ is induced from left multiplication with g^{-1} . In Lott-Rothenberg [19] a meromorphic function $\mu_W^p(s)$, analytic in 0, is constructed which is for $s \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\text{Real}(s) > m/2$ given by

$$4.6 \quad \mu_W^p(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \cdot \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \cdot \text{trace} (pr \circ \exp(-t(\underline{\Delta}^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id_W))) dt - \\ \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \left((H_{harm}^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G \right)$$

where the trace is taken for operators $\Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W \longrightarrow \Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W$. The existence of the meromorphic extension is based on standard approximations of the heat kernel. We recall the definition of analytic torsion in Lott-Rothenberg [19]

$$4.7 \quad T_W(M; V) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \cdot p \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \mu_W^p(s) \Big|_{s=0} \in \mathbf{R}$$

The PL-torsion $\tau_W(M; V) \in \mathbf{R}^*$ in Lott-Rothenberg [19] is defined in the following way. They use on $C^p(M; V)$ and $H^p(M; V)$ the same $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures as we and equip $(C^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G$ and $H((C^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G) = (H^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G$ with the $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structure induced by restriction from the product structures. They choose orthonormal bases and define $\tau_W(M; V)$ by Milnor's definition of torsion for the finitely generated based free \mathbf{R} -cochain complex $(C^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G$ with based free cohomology. One easily checks (cf. Example 2.6)

$$4.8 \quad \tau_W(M; V)^2 = hr((C_*(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G) \in \mathbf{R}^*$$

Let I be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of irreducible G -representations. Let $m(W) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} (W \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} (Hom_{\mathbf{R}G}(W, W))$ be the Schur index of $W \in I$.

Lemma 4.9

a.) For $s \in \mathbf{C}$ with $Real(s) > m/2$ we have in $\mathbf{C} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ for $p > 0$

$$\zeta_p(M; V)(s) = 2 \cdot \sum_{W \in I} m(W)^{-1} \cdot \mu_W^p(M; V)(s) \cdot [W]$$

b.) We have in $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$

$$\rho_{an}^G(M; V) = 2 \cdot \sum_{W \in I} m(W)^{-1} \cdot T_W(M; V) \cdot [W]$$

c.) We get in $K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$

$$\rho_{pl}^G = \sum_{W \in I} m(W)^{-1} \cdot [\tau_W(M; V)^2 \cdot id : W \longrightarrow W]$$

Proof : We obtain in $Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ for any G -representation P from 2.21

$$4.10 \quad [P] = \sum_{W \in I} m(W)^{-1} \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{R}}((P \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G) \cdot [W]$$

As pr is the projection operator, we have

$$4.11 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{trace}(pr \circ \exp(-t(\underline{\Delta}^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id_W)) : \Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W \longrightarrow \Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W) = \\ & \text{trace}(\exp(-t(\underline{\Delta}^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id_W)^G) : (\Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G \longrightarrow (\Lambda^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G) \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$4.12 \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_W^p(s) &= \\ & \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \cdot \int_0^\infty t^{-s} \cdot \text{trace}(\exp(-t(\underline{\Delta}^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id_W)^G)) dt - \dim_{\mathbf{R}}((H_{harm}^p(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G) = \\ & \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(E_\lambda((\Delta^p \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} id_W)^G)) = \\ & \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s} \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{R}}((E_\lambda(\Delta^p) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} W)^G) \end{aligned}$$

Now the claim a.) follows from 4.10 and 4.12. We derive b.) from a.) and c.) is proven similiarly using 4.8 ■

The main result in Lott-Rothenberg [19] is the following Theorem

Theorem 4.13 (Lott-Rothenberg) *Let M be an odd-dimensional orientable Riemannian G -manifold. Suppose that G acts orientation preserving and ∂M is empty, If W is an orthogonal G -representation, we get*

$$T_W(M; \mathbf{R}) = \ln(\tau_W(M; \mathbf{R}))$$

Their proof is modelled upon the proof of Müller (1978) [26]. Notice that Müller allows arbitrary coefficients. Lott and Rothenberg define an equivariant version of the combinatorial torsion $\tau_W^c(M; V)$ based on Whitney's map. They show that the estimates of the sections 1 to 5 in Müller (1978) [26] still hold in the equivariant setting. Then they define an equivariant parametrix and generalize the estimates of section 8 in Müller (1978) [26]. Since Lott and Rothenberg work with trivial coefficients V , they can leave out the first step in Müller (1978) [26], where the difference between analytic and PL-torsion is shown to be independent of V . Lott and Rothenberg carry out the second step equivariantly, where the difference is examined under surgery, and thus get their result. We want to deal with Müller's first step.

Lemma 4.14 *Let M be a closed odd-dimensional G -manifold with trivial orientation behaviour $w^G(M)$. Let U and V be coherent orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representations and W an orthogonal G -representation. Then*

$$T_W(M; U) - \ln(\tau_W(M; U)) = T_W(M; V) - \ln(\tau_W(M; V))$$

Proof : For any $x \in M$ with isotropy group $H = \{g \in G \mid gx = x\}$ there is an open neighbourhood of the shape $G \times_H S$ for a H -representation S (see Bredon (1972) [6], VI.2.4 Corollary). The key observation is that the restriction of the G -vector bundles with Riemannian metric $\tilde{M} \times_\pi U$ and $\tilde{M} \times_\pi V$ to $G \times_H S$ are isometrically isomorphic since then the argument in Müller (1978) [26], section 9 goes through following the equivariant pattern of Lott and Rothenberg. The inclusion $G/H \rightarrow G \times_H S$ sending gH to $(g, 0)$ is a G -homotopy equivalence so that it suffices to regard the restrictions to G/H . We must show for the inclusion $j : x \rightarrow M$ that the restrictions with $H = D^H(x) \xrightarrow{D^H(i)} D^H(M) \xrightarrow{k} D^G(M)$ of U and V for k the obvious inclusion agree. As $\mathbf{R}H$ -isomorphic implies isometrically $\mathbf{R}H$ -isomorphic, this follows from the condition that U and V are coherent. ■

Lemma 4.15 *Theorem 4.5 is true if M is closed and $w^G(M) = 0$.*

Proof : If $\dim(M)$ is even, we get $\rho_{an}^G(M; V) = 0$ from Poincaré duality 1.20. Analogously we obtain $2 \cdot \rho_{pl}^G(M; V) = \rho_{pd}(M; V)$ from 3.23 and the claim follows. Suppose that M is odd-dimensional. We may assume $V = q^*U$ for some G -representation U by 4.14 and the assumption that V is coherent to a G -representation. Because of 3.32 we may suppose $U = \mathbf{R}$. Now apply Lemma 4.9 and Theorem 4.13. ■

Next we want to drop the condition that G acts orientation preserving.

Lemma 4.16 *Theorem 4.5 is true if M is closed and M is orientable*

Proof : As M is orientable $w^G(M) : D^G(M) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ factorizes over $q : D^G(M) \rightarrow G$ into $\bar{w} : G \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$. Let K be the kernel of G . Since K operates orientation preserving, Lemma 4.15 applies to $res_K^G M$. It suffices to treat the case $K \neq G$. Because the maps $ind_K^G \circ res_G^K$ on $K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ and on $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ are given by $?\otimes_{\mathbf{R}} ([\mathbf{R}] + [\bar{w}\mathbf{R}])$ and Γ_1 is compatible with restriction, we obtain

$$4.17 \quad \left(\rho_{an}^G(M; V) - \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} ([\mathbf{R}] + [\bar{w}\mathbf{R}]) = 0$$

Let $\mathbf{Z}/2$ act on S^1 by complex conjugation. We derive from the product formulas 1.32 and 3.13

$$\begin{aligned}
4.18 \quad & \rho_{an}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \times S^1, V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}) - \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \times S^1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R})) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \times S^1; V \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R})) = \\
& \chi^G(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\rho_{an}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) - \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; V)) \right) + \\
& \left(\rho_{an}^G(M; V) - \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R})
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude from 1.16 , 3.26 and 3.29

$$4.19 \quad \rho_{an}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) - \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; V)) = 0$$

Obviously we have

$$4.20 \quad \chi^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) = [\mathbf{R}] - [\mathbf{R}^-]$$

If we restrict the $G \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ on $M \times S^1$ to G by $id \times \bar{w} : G \longrightarrow G \times \mathbf{Z}/2$, we obtain an orientation preserving action. Hence we can apply Lemma 4.15 and obtain from 4.18, 4.19 and 4.20

$$4.21 \quad \left(\rho_{an}^G(M; V) - \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} ([\mathbf{R}] - [\bar{w}\mathbf{R}]) = 0$$

Now add 4.17 and 4.21, divide by 2 and the claim follows. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.22 *Theorem 4.5 is true if M is closed.*

Proof : Assume that M is not orientable. Let $p : \hat{M} \longrightarrow M$ be the orientation covering. There is a group extension $0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \hat{G} \xrightarrow{\hat{q}} G \longrightarrow 0$ and a \hat{G} -operation on \hat{M} extending the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -action on \hat{M} and covering the G -action on M . Since \hat{M} is orientable, the claim for the \hat{G} -manifold \hat{M} follows from Lemma 4.16. Applying induction with \hat{q} gives the assertion also for M . \blacksquare

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4.5. Assume that $M_1 = \emptyset$. Let the orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation V be coherent to the G -representation W . Then $V \cup_{\partial M} V$ is coherent to $W \cup_{M_1} W$ as a $G \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ -representation. Because of Lemma 4.22 we get for the closed $G \times \mathbf{Z}/2$ -manifold $M \cup_{\partial M} M$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4.23} \quad & \rho_{an}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{\partial M} M, V \cup_{\partial M} V) = \\ & \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{\partial M} M; V \cup_{\partial M} V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(M \cup_{\partial M} M; V \cup_{\partial M} V)) \end{aligned}$$

Put the double formulas 1.27 and 3.34 into 4.23

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4.24} \quad & \rho_{an}^G(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \rho_{an}^G(M, \partial M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] = \\ & \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M, \partial M; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] + \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(\partial M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] - \\ & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M, \partial M; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] - \\ & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(\partial M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(\partial M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] = \\ & \left(\Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M; V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(\partial M; V) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \\ & \left(\Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M, \partial M; V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M, \partial M; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(\partial M; V) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] \end{aligned}$$

Now the claim in the case $M_1 = \emptyset$ follows from comparing the coefficients of $[\mathbf{R}]$ in 4.24. In the general case we repeat this argument, but now we glue along M_1 instead of ∂M . Then the equation corresponding to 4.24 looks like

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{4.25} \quad & \rho_{an}^G(M; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] = \\ & \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] + \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] - \\ & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] - \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(M_1; V) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi^{G \times \mathbf{Z}/2}(\partial(M \cup_{M_1} M), V \cup_{M_1} V) = \\ & \left(\Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M; V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(\partial M; V) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}] + \\ & \left(\Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(\partial M; V) \right) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} [\mathbf{R}^-] \end{aligned}$$

Now Theorem 4.5 follows from comparing the coefficients of \mathbf{R}^- . ■

We end this section by indicating the proof of Lemma 1.13 about the meromorphic extension of the equivariant Zeta-function. As $\mu_W^p(s)$ defined in 4.6 has a meromorphic extension to the complex plane, analytic in 0, the same is true for $\zeta(M; V)(s)$ by Lemma 4.9, provided that M is closed and $w^G(M) = 0$. Since the product formula 1.32 and double formula 1.27 give explicit identities of Zeta-functions for $Real(s) > m/2$, the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4.5 can also be used to verify Lemma 1.13 ■

5. Some Computations

In this section we treat some special cases as an illustration. Firstly, suppose that G is trivial. Then $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 : K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus_{\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\text{Rep}}_{\mathbf{R}}(G))$ reduces to $\mathbf{R}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $r \mapsto \ln(r/|r|)$ and $\rho_{pl}^G(M, M_1; V)$ is just a positive real number. Moreover, $\chi^G(\partial M; V)$ is just $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V) \cdot \chi(\partial M)$, where $\chi(\partial M)$ is the ordinary Euler characteristic. By Lemma 3.20 $\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)$ vanishes. We get from Theorem 4.5 :

Corollary 5.1 *Let M be a Riemannian manifold whose boundary is the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2 . Suppose that the metric is a product near the boundary. Let V be an orthogonal $\pi_1(M)$ -representation. Then :*

$$\rho_{an}(M, M_1; V) = \ln(\rho_{pl}(M, M_1; V)) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi(\partial M) \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V)$$

Notice that our definition of analytic torsion differs from those in Ray-Singer [29] by a factor of 2 and our PL-torsion is the square of theirs. Corollary 5.1 for closed manifolds was independently proved by Cheeger [8] and Müller [26].

For trivial G and V the PL-torsion can be computed as follows. Fix a dimension $p \geq 0$. Choose an orthonormal bases $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{\beta_p}\}$ for the space of harmonic p -forms $H_{har}^p(M, M_1; V)$. Let $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{\beta_p}\}$ be a set of cocycles in the singular (or cellular) chain complex with integral coefficients of (M, M_1) such that the set of their classes in $H(M, M_1; \mathbf{Z})/Tors(H(M, M_1; \mathbf{Z}))$ is an integral bases. Let r_p be the determinant of the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \int_{\sigma_1} \omega_1 & \int_{\sigma_1} \omega_2 & \dots & \int_{\sigma_1} \omega_{\beta_p} \\ \int_{\sigma_2} \omega_1 & \int_{\sigma_2} \omega_2 & \dots & \int_{\sigma_2} \omega_{\beta_p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \int_{\sigma_{\beta_p}} \omega_1 & \int_{\sigma_{\beta_p}} \omega_2 & \dots & \int_{\sigma_{\beta_p}} \omega_{\beta_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

Define

$$\mathbf{5.2} \quad r(M, M_1) = \prod_{p=0}^m r_p^{2 \cdot (-1)^{p+1}}$$

Define the *multiplicative Euler characteristic* to be

$$\mathbf{5.3} \quad m\chi(M, M_1) = \prod_{p=0}^m |Tors(H_i(M, M_1; \mathbf{Z}))|^{(-1)^p}$$

The following result was proved in Cheeger [8] (0.1) and (1.4)

Proposition 5.4 *Let (M, M_1, M_2) be a triad of Riemannian manifolds. Then*

$$\rho_{pl}(M, M_1; V) = m\chi(M, M_1)^2 \cdot r(M, M_1)$$

Proof : Let κ_i^{int} be the Hilbert structure on $H_i(M, M_1; \mathbf{R})$ for which the bases given by $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{\beta_p}\}$ is an orthonormal bases. We derive from Proposition 3.8

$$\rho_{pl}(M, M_1; \mathbf{R}) = \rho_{pl}(M, M_1, \kappa_{harm}) = \rho_{pl}(M, M_1, \kappa_*^{int}) \cdot r(M, M_1).$$

Hence it suffices to show

$$\rho_{pl}(M, M_1, \kappa_{int}) = m\chi(M, M_1)$$

Its elementary proof can be found for example in Cheeger [8] (1.4) and in Lück [21] Lemma 18.34 ■

Corollary 5.5 *Let M be a m -dimensional Riemannian manifold.*

a.) *If M is a rational homology sphere, i.e. $H_*(M; \mathbf{Q}) = H_*(S^m; \mathbf{Q})$, then :*

$$\rho_{an}(M) = \ln(\rho_{pl}(M)) = (1 + (-1)^{m+1}) \cdot \ln(\text{Vol}(M)) + 2 \cdot \sum_{p=1}^{m-1} (-1)^p \cdot \ln(| H_p(M, \mathbf{Z}) |)$$

b.) *If M is a rational homology point, i.e. $H_*(M; \mathbf{Q}) = H_*(\{\text{point}\}; \mathbf{Q})$, then :*

$$\rho_{an}(M; \mathbf{R}) = \ln(\text{Vol}(M)) + (1 + (-1)^{m+1}) \cdot \frac{\ln(2)}{2} + 2 \cdot \sum_{p=1}^{m-1} (-1)^p \cdot \ln(| H_p(M, \mathbf{Z}) |)$$

Next we treat the case where M is orientable and G acts orientation preserving on M , or equivalently, where $w^G(M)$ is trivial. We derive from Theorem 4.5 and Poincaré duality 1.20 and 3.23 :

Corollary 5.6 *Let M be a Riemannian G -manifold with invariant Riemannian metric which is a product near the boundary. Suppose M is closed and $w^G(M) = 0$. Let V be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation coherent to a G -representation.*

a.) *If $\dim(M)$ is odd , we have :*

$$\rho_{an}^G(M; V) = \Gamma_1(\rho_{pl}(M; V))$$

$$\rho_{pd}(M; V) = 0$$

b.) *If $\dim(M)$ is even, we get :*

$$\rho_{an}(M; V) = 0$$

$$\rho_{pi}^G(M; V) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_{pd}^G(M; V)$$

Remark 5.7 The assumption $w^G(M) = 0$ is necessary in Corollary 5.6. We have already shown $\rho_{pd}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^1; \mathbf{R}) \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{Z}/2$ acting by complex conjugation in 3.29. Let $\mathbf{Z}/2$ act on S^2 by sending (x, y, z) to $(-x, y, z)$. Then S^2 is the double of D^2 for appropriate Riemannian metrics on S^2 . We derive from the Double formula 1.27, Poincaré duality 1.20, Proposition 3.8 and Corollary 5.1 that

$$\rho_{an}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^2; \mathbf{R}) = \rho_{an}(D^2; \mathbf{R}) \cdot ([\mathbf{R}] - [\mathbf{R}^-]) = \ln(\text{Vol}(S^2)/2) \cdot ([\mathbf{R}] - [\mathbf{R}^-])$$

Hence $\rho_{an}^{\mathbf{Z}/2}(S^2)$ is not necessarily zero. Let \mathbf{RP}^n be the n -dimensional real projective space. It is rationally a point if n is even. We get from Corollary 5.5

$$\rho_{an}(\mathbf{RP}^{2n}; \mathbf{R}) = \ln(\text{Vol}(\mathbf{RP}^{2n})) - 2n \cdot \ln(2)$$

Again this may be non-zero.

Next we analyse how the analytic torsion changes under variation of the metric and under G -homotopy equivalence. We have just to combine Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 3.33. Suppose that $(f; f_1, f_2) : (M; M_1, M_2) \longrightarrow (N; N_1, N_2)$ and V and W satisfy the same hypothesis as in Proposition 3.33 and assume additionally, that $M_1 \cap M_2$ and $N_1 \cap N_2$ are empty. We get using the same notation as in Proposition 3.33

Proposition 5.8 $\rho_{an}^G(N, N_1; V) - \rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; f^*V) =$
 $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \omega^G(N; V)(\tau^G(f, f_1)) + (-1)^{m-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \omega^G(N; {}^wV)(\tau^G(f, f_2)) - \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \cdot u_i$

Consider an isometric G -diffeomorphism $f : M_2 \longrightarrow N_1$ and an isometric $\mathbf{R}D^G(M_2)$ -isomorphism $\bar{f} : V|_{M_2} \longrightarrow W|_{N_1}$. Denote by $D^*(M \cup_f N, M, N; V, W)$ resp. $D^*(M, M_1; V)$ the acyclic finite $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert chain complexes given by the long Mayer-Vietoris sequence resp. the long homology sequence of the pair and the harmonic $\mathbf{R}G$ -Hilbert structures. We derive from the Sum Formula 3.49 and Theorem 4.5 :

Theorem 5.9 (Sum Formula for Analytic Torsion)

a.) $\rho_{an}^G(M \cup_f N; V \cup_{\bar{f}} W) =$
 $\rho_{an}^G(M; V) + \rho_{an}^G(N; W) - \rho_{an}^G(M_2; V) - \Gamma_1(\text{hr}(D^*(M \cup_f N, M, N; V, W))) - \ln(2) \cdot \chi^G(M_2; V)$
b.) $\rho_{an}^G(M, M_1; V) = \rho_{an}^G(M; V) - \rho_{an}^G(M_1; V) - \Gamma_1(\text{hr}(D^*(M, M_1; V)))$

Remark 5.10 The sum formula is very useful for computations. One may chop a manifold into elementary peaces, compute the analytic torsion for each piece and use the Sum Formula to get the analytic torsion for the manifold itself. The existence of such a formula is

remarkable from the analytic point of view since it is very hard to get information about the spectrum of the Laplace operator on $M \cup_f N$ from the spectrum of the Laplace operator on M , N and M_1 . We do not have a direct analytic proof of the sum formula, but such a proof may be hidden in the paper of Müller [26] section 10. If one has a proof for the Sum Formula not using Theorem 4.5 one can prove Theorem 4.5 by using induction over the number of handles.

Next we compute the various torsion invariants for G -representations (cf. Ray [28]). Define for a Riemannian G -manifold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{5.11} \quad \hat{\rho}_{an}^G(M) &:= \rho_{an}^G(M) + \ln(\text{Vol}(M)) \cdot (\chi^G(M) - 2 \cdot [\mathbf{R}]) \\ \hat{\rho}_{pl}^G(M) &:= \rho_{pl}^G(M) + \ln(\text{Vol}(M)) \cdot (\chi^G(M) - 2 \cdot [\mathbf{R}]) \end{aligned}$$

Let V be a G -representation. Choose any orthogonal structure and any invariant Riemannian metric on DV which is a product near the boundary SV . Then $\hat{\rho}_{an}^G$ and $\hat{\rho}_{pl}^G$ are defined for SV and DV and depend only on the G -diffeomorphism type, but not on the other choices by Proposition 5.8 since the equivariant Whitehead torsion of a G -diffeomorphism is zero.

Lemma 5.12

- a.) $\rho_{pd}^G(D(V \oplus W)) = \rho_{pd}^G(DV) + \rho_{pd}^G(DW)$
- b.) $\hat{\rho}_{pl}^G(DV) = 0$
- c.) $\hat{\rho}_{an}^G(DV) = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(DV)) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \chi^G(SV)$
- d.) $\rho_{pd}^G(SV) = \chi^G(SV) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{pd}^G(DV)$
- e.) $\hat{\rho}_{pl}^G(SV) = \rho_{pd}^G(DV) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} (\chi^G(SV) - [\mathbf{R}])$
- f.) $\hat{\rho}_{an}^G(SV) = \Gamma_1(\rho_{pd}^G(DV)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} (\frac{1}{2} \cdot \chi^G(SV) - [\mathbf{R}])$

Proof : a.) is a consequence of the product formula for Poincaré torsion 3.48.

b.) As the projection from DV to a point is a simple G -homotopy equivalence, the claim follows from Proposition 3.8.

c.) follows from Theorem 4.5 and b.)

d.) and e.) follow from Poincaré duality 3.23 and the Sum Formula 3.49

f.) is a consequence of Theorem 4.5 and d.) and e.) ■

Because of the Lemma 5.12 above we obtain a homomorphism

$$5.13 \text{ Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \quad [V] \mapsto \rho_{pd}^G(DV)$$

Hence it suffices to compute the torsion invariants for irreducible G -representations in order to know it for all G -representations. Elements in $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ and hence by Proposition 2.26 also elements in $K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$ are detected by restriction to cyclic subgroups so that it is enough to compute the torsion elements of irreducible G -representations for cyclic G .

Let G be the cyclic group \mathbf{Z}/n . Let $d > 0$ be a divisor of n . If $d \geq 3$, let $V(j, d)$ for $1 \leq j < d/2$ be the (real) 2-dimensional G -representation given by multiplication with primitive d^{th} -root of unity $\exp(2\pi i \frac{j}{d})$ on \mathbf{C} . Let $V(d/2, d)$ be the unique 1-dimensional non-trivial G -representation, if d is even, and \mathbf{R} the trivial G -representation. Denote by $I(d)$ the set $\{j \in \mathbf{Z} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d/2, (d, j) = (1)\}$. Then the set

$$\{V(j, d) \mid d \geq 2, d \mid n, 1 \leq j \leq d/2, (j, d) = (1)\} \cup \{\mathbf{R}\}$$

is a complete set of representatives for the irreducible G -representations. One easily computes using Lemma 5.12 in the first case and the Double Formula 3.34 in the second case

$$5.14 \quad \rho_{pd}^G(DV(j, d)) = -\rho_{pl}^G(SV(j, d)) = \\ [d^2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d/2} [|\exp(2\pi i \frac{jk}{d}) - 1|^2 \cdot id : V(k, d) \longrightarrow V(k, d)] \\ \text{for } d \mid n, d \geq 3, j \in I(d) \\ \rho_{pd}^G(DV(1, 2)) = [2 \cdot id : \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}] - [2 \cdot id : V(1, 2) \longrightarrow V(1, 2)] \\ \rho_{pd}^G(D(\mathbf{R})) = 0$$

Now one can describe the homomorphism 5.13 above by characters

Lemma 5.15 (Character Formula) *Let G be a finite group and V a G -representation with character ch_V . Then $\rho_{pd}^G(DV) \in \mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ can be viewed as a class function on G with values in \mathbf{R} . Let $g \in G$ be an element. Let n be the order of the cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ generated by g . Then the value of $\rho_{pd}^G(DV)$ at g is 0, if $n = 1$ and otherwise :*

$$\rho_{pd}^G(DV)(g) = \\ \sum_{d \mid n, d \geq 2} \sum_{j \in I(d)} \langle ch_{V|_{\langle g \rangle}}, ch_{V(j, d)} \rangle \cdot \langle ch_{V(j, d)}, ch_{V(j, d)} \rangle^{-1} \cdot \rho_{pd}^G(DV(j, d))(g) = \\ \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{n} \cdot ch_V(g^l) \cdot (-1)^l \cdot \ln(4) + \\ \sum_{d \mid n, d \geq 3} \sum_{j \in I(d)} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n} \frac{1}{n} ch_V(g^l) \cdot \cos(2\pi \frac{jl}{d}) \cdot \\ (\ln(d^2) - \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d/2} \ln((\sin(2\pi \frac{kj}{d})^2 + (\cos(2\pi \frac{kj}{d}) - 1)^2) \cdot 2 \cdot \cos(2\pi \frac{k}{d}))$$

Theorem 5.16 *Let G be a finite group. There is an injective ring homomorphism*
 $\rho_{\mathbf{R}}^G : Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \oplus \left(\oplus_{(H)} K_1(\mathbf{R}[WH])^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \right) \quad [V] \mapsto dim_{\mathbf{R}}(V) \oplus \left(\rho_{pd}^{WH}(DV^H) \right)_{(H)}$

Proof : This homomorphism is compatible with restriction to subgroups of G for an appropriate restriction homomorphism on the right side, we do not explain here (see Lück [21]). Hence it suffices to consider the case where G is cyclic.

Let $Rep_{\mathbf{R}}^{free}(G)$ be the subgroup of $Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ generated by all free G -representations. Let $res_{G/H}^G : Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G/H) \longrightarrow Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ be restriction with the projection $G \longrightarrow G/H$ for a subgroup $H \subset G$. Then we obtain an isomorphism, provided that G is cyclic

$$5.17 \quad \oplus_{H \subset G} res_{G/H}^G : \oplus_{H \subset G} Rep_{\mathbf{R}}^{free}(G/H) \longrightarrow Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$$

With respect to this splitting of the representation ring $\rho_{\mathbf{R}}^G$ is given by a upper triangle matrix. Hence it suffices to show injectivity for the diagonal entrees, i.e. the injectivity of

$$Rep_{\mathbf{R}}^{free}(G) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2} \quad [V] \mapsto \rho_{pd}^G(DV)$$

Consider the ring homomorphism

$$\psi_k : \mathbf{R}[\mathbf{Z}/n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$$

sending the generator to the root of unity $exp(2\pi i \frac{k}{n})$. The set $\{V(j) \mid j \in I(n)\}$ is a bases for $Rep_{\mathbf{R}}^{free}(G)$. The image of $[V(j)]$ under $\psi_k \circ \rho_{pd}^G$ is $|exp(2\pi i \frac{jk}{n} - 1)|^{-2}$ for $d \geq 3, 1 \leq k < d/2$ and $1/2$ for $d = 2$. Now an application of Franz' Lemma finishes the proof (see Franz [13], Cohen [9]) ■

We get as immediate conclusion of Theorem 5.16 the celebrated result of deRham [30] that two orthogonal G -representations V and W are isometrically $\mathbf{R}G$ -isomorphic if and only if their unit spheres are G -diffeomorphic. Similar proofs using PL-torsion resp. analytic torsion can be found in Rothenberg [31] and Lott-Rothenberg [19]. The result is an extension of the classification of Lens spaces which is carried out for example in Cohen [9] and Milnor [25].

The result of deRham does not hold in the topological category. Namely, there are non-linearly isomorphic G -representations V and W whose unit spheres are G -homeomorphic (see Cappell-Shaneson [7]). However, if G has odd order, G -homeomorphic implies G -diffeomorphic for unit spheres in G -representations as shown by Hsiang-Pardon [16] and Madsen-Rothenberg [24].

The Sum formula 3.11 implies a local formula for Poincaré torsion. For this purpose we have to recall a different notion of equivariant Euler characteristic as defined in Lück [20]. Given a G -space X , denote by $\{G/? \rightarrow X\}$ the set of all G -maps $G/H \rightarrow X$ for all subgroups H of G . Call $x : G/H \rightarrow X$ and $y : G/K \rightarrow X$ equivalent if there is a G -isomorphism $\sigma : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ such that $y \circ \sigma$ and x are G -homotopic. Let $\{G/? \rightarrow X\}/\sim$ be the set of equivalence classes under this equivalence relation on $\{G/? \rightarrow X\}$. Given a G -map $x : G/H \rightarrow X$, define $X^H(x)$ to be the component of X^H containing the point $x(eH)$. There is a bijection

$$5.18 \quad \{G/? \rightarrow X\}/\sim \longrightarrow \coprod_{(H)} \pi_0(X^H)/WH \quad [x : G/H \rightarrow X] \mapsto [X^H(x)]$$

where the coproduct runs over the set of conjugacy classes (H) of subgroups of G . Let $U^G(X)$ be the free abelian group generated by $\{G/? \rightarrow X\}/\sim$. Let $X^{>H}$ be the subset of those points in X , whose isotropy group G_x satisfies $G_x \supset H, G_x \neq H$, and $WH(x)$ is the isotropy group of $[X^H(x)] \in \pi_0(X^H)$ under the WH -action. Given a pair (X, Y) of finite G -CW-complexes, define its *universal equivariant Euler characteristic* in the sense of Lück [20]

$$5.19 \quad \chi_{univ}^G(X) \in U^G(X)$$

by assigning to $x : G/H \rightarrow X$ the integer which is given by the ordinary Euler characteristic $\chi(X^H(x)/WH(x), (X^H(x) \cap (X^{>H} \cup Y))/WH(x))$. It is connected to the equivariant Euler characteristic, we have defined in 3.12, by the following map

$$5.20 \quad \theta^G(X) : U^G(X) \longrightarrow Rep_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \quad [x : G/H \rightarrow X] \mapsto [\mathbf{R}[G/H]]$$

We derive from the universal property of χ_{univ}^G and the Sum Formula 3.49 for χ^G (see Lück [20]) :

$$\mathbf{Lemma} \ 5.21 \quad \theta^G(X)(\chi_{univ}^G(X, Y)) = \chi^G(X, Y)$$

Let (M, M_1, M_2) be a G -manifold triad and V be an orthogonal $D^G(M)$ -representation. Given $x : G/H \rightarrow X$, we define the H -representation x^*V by restricting V to H by $H = E^H(\{point\}) \xrightarrow{D^H(x|_{eH})} D^H(res_H^G(X)) \hookrightarrow D^G(X)$. Let the homomorphism

5.22 $\Phi^G(M; V) : U^G(M) \longrightarrow K_1(\mathbf{R}G)^{\mathbf{Z}/2}$

send $[x : G/H \longrightarrow X]$ to $ind_H^G \left(\rho_{pd}^H(D(TM_x)) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} x^*V \right)$, where TM_x is the tangent space of M at the point $x(eH)$ and $\otimes_{\mathbf{R}}$ the pairing defined in 2.14. Now we obtain a local formula for the Poincaré torsion in terms of the various tangent representations of the components of the fixed point sets.

Proposition 5.23 (Local Formula for Poincaré Torsion)

$$\Phi^G(M; V) \left(\chi_{univ}^G(M, M_1) \right) = \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; V)$$

Proof: In the sequel we have not to worry about corners and straightening the angle because ρ_{pd}^G depends only on the simple homotopy type by Proposition 3.33. Moreover, we assume $V = \mathbf{R}$ for simplicity, the general case is done similiarly. Let N_1 and N_2 be G -manifolds and $N_0 \subset \partial N_1$ and $N'_0 \subset \partial N_2$ be submanifolds of codimension 0. Let $f : N_0 \longrightarrow N'_0$ be a G -diffeomorphism and put $N := N_1 \cup_f N_2$. Then we get from the Sum Formula 3.49

5.24 $\rho_{pd}^G(N) = \rho_{pd}^G(N_1) + \rho_{pd}^G(N_2) - \rho_{pd}^G(N_0)$

Since the equivariant Euler characteristic is additive in the sense of Lück [20], we have

5.25 $\Phi^G(N) \left(\chi_{univ}^G(N) \right) =$
 $\Phi^G(N_1) \left(\chi_{univ}^G(N_1) \right) + \Phi^G(N_2) \left(\chi_{univ}^G(N_2) \right) - \Phi^G(N_0) \left(\chi_{univ}^G(N_0) \right)$

We first verify the claim for empty M_1 . We begin with the special case $M = D\xi$ where $\xi \downarrow B$ is a G -vector bundle over a G -manifold X having precisely one orbit type, say G/H . Then X/G is a manifold and we use induction over the number of handles. If X/G is empty the claim is trivial. Suppose that X is obtained from Y by attaching an equivariant handle :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/H \times S^{k-1} \times D^{d-k} & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G/H \times D^k \times D^{d-k} & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

We may suppose that X is connected, otherwise treat each component separately. Let H -representation W be the typical fibre of $\xi \downarrow X$. Then we obtain a G -push out :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times_H (S^{k-1} \times D^{d-k} \times DW) & \longrightarrow & D\xi \downarrow Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \times_H (D^k \times D^{d-k} \times DW) & \longrightarrow & D\xi \downarrow X \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis the claim is true for $D\xi \downarrow Y$. Because of 5.24 and 5.25 it suffices to verify the claim for G -manifolds of the shape $G \times_H (Z \times DW)$ for Z a manifold with trivial H -action. One easily computes

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{pd}^G(G \times_H (Z \times DW)) &= \text{ind}_H^G(\rho_{pd}^H(Z \times DW)) = \\ \text{ind}_H^G(\rho_{pd}^H(Z) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \chi^H(DW) + \chi^H(Z) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{pd}^H(DW)) &= \chi(Z) \cdot \text{ind}_H^G(\rho_{pd}^H(DW)) = \\ \Phi^G(G \times_H (Z \times DW))(\chi^G(G \times_H (Z \times DW))) & \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof in the case $M = D\xi$, $M_1 = \emptyset$. Now the claim for $M_1 = \emptyset$ follows by induction over the orbit types of M . Namely, choose $H \in \text{Iso}(M)$ such that $H \subset K$, $K \in \text{Iso}(M)$ implies $H = K$. Let ν be the normal bundle of $M^{(H)} = G \cdot M^H$ in M . Define $\bar{M} := M - \text{int}(D\nu)$. The induction hypothesis applies to \bar{M} and $S\nu$ and the considerations above to $D\nu$. Since M is $\bar{M} \cup_{S\nu} D\nu$, the assertion follows from 5.24 and 5.25, provided that $M_1 = \emptyset$.

The claim in general follows from the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1) &= \rho_{pd}^G(M) - \rho_{pd}^G(M_1) \\ \Phi^G(M)(\chi^G(M, M_1)) &= \Phi^G(M)(\chi^G(M)) - \Phi^G(M_1)(\chi^G(M_1)) \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.26 Suppose that the G -manifold M is modelled upon the G -representation V , i. e. there is a G -representation V such that for any $x \in M$ the G_x -representations $\text{res}V$ and TM_x are linearly G_x -isomorphic. This is true for example if all fixed point sets of M are connected and non-empty. Then the Local Formula for Poincaré torsion 5.23 reduces to

$$\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; \mathbf{R}) = \chi^G(M, M_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \rho_{pd}^G(DV)$$

Let $\text{Lef}(l(g))$ be the Lefschetz index of the map $l(g) : (M, M_1) \longrightarrow (M, M_1)$ given by multiplication with $g \in G$. Then we obtain in terms of class functions on G with values in \mathbf{R}

:

$$\Gamma_1 \left(\rho_{pd}^G(M, M_1; \mathbf{R}) \right) (g) = \text{Lef}(l(g)) \cdot \Gamma_1 \left(\rho_{pd}^G(DV) \right) (g)$$

Remark 5.27 In Connolly-Lück [10] a duality formula is established for a G -homotopy equivalence $(f, \partial f) : (M, \partial M) \longrightarrow (N, \partial N)$. A correction term appears which depends on the universal Euler characteristic and the G_x -representations TM_x for all $x \in M$. It is closely related to, firstly, the Local Formula for Poincaré torsion 5.23, and, secondly, to Proposition 3.33 which says that the difference of the Poincaré torsion is an obstruction for a duality formula for equivariant Whitehead torsion. The duality formula is important for the proof of the equivariant π - π -theorem in the simple category (see Dovermann-Rothenberg [12], Lück-Madsen [22] and [23])

Remark 5.28 The Euler characteristic term in our main Theorem 4.5 may also be interpreted as the index of the deRham complex. This leads to the following question.

Let P^* be an elliptic complex of partial differential operators. Denote by $\Delta(P)^*$ the associated Laplacian. It is an elliptic non-negative self-adjoint partial differential operator in each dimension. Hence its analytic torsion $\rho_{an}(\Delta(P)^*)$ can be defined as done before for the ordinary Laplace operator. Suppose that the complex restricts on the boundary of M to an elliptic complex ∂P^* in an appropriate sense. Can one find a more or less topological invariant $\rho_{top}(P^*)$ such that the following equation holds

$$\rho_{an}(P^*) = \rho_{top}(P^*) + \frac{\ln(2)}{2} \cdot \text{index}(\partial P^*)$$

If we take P^* to be the deRham complex and put ρ_{top} to be ρ_{pl} , the equation above becomes just Corollary 5.1.

References

- [1] **Atiyah, M., Patodi, V.K. and Singer, I.M.** : “*Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I*”, Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. 77, 43-69 (1975)
- [2] **Atiyah, M., Patodi, V.K. and Singer, I.M.** : “*Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry II*”, Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. 78, 405-432 (1975)
- [3] **Atiyah, M., Patodi, V.K. and Singer, I.M.** : “*Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry III*”, Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. 79, 71-99 (1975)
- [4] **Bismut, J.M. and Freed, D.S.** : *The analysis of elliptic families : metrics and connections on determinant bundle*“, Comm. Math. Phys. 106, 159-176 (1986)
- [5] **Bismut, J.M. and Freed, D.S.** : *The analysis of elliptic families : Dirac operators, eta invariants and the holonomy theorem of Witten*“, Comm. Math. Phys. 107, 103-163 (1986)
- [6] **Bredon, G.** : “*Introduction to compact transformation groups*”, Academic Press
- [7] **Cappell, S. and Shaneson, J.L.** “*Non-linear similarity*”, Ann. of Math. 113, 315-355 (1981)
- [8] **Cheeger, J.** : “*Analytic torsion and the heat equation*”, Ann. of Math. 109, 259-322 (1979)
- [9] **Cohen, M.M.** : “*A course in simple homotopy theory*”, grad. texts in math. 10, Springer (1973)
- [10] **Connolly, F. and Lück, W.** : “*The involution on the equivariant Whitehead group*”, Journal of *K*-theory 3, 123 -140 (1990)
- [11] **Dovermann, K.H. and Rothenberg, M.** : “*An algebraic approach to the generalized Whitehead group*”, in ”Transformation groups”, Proceedings, Poznań 1985, lecture notes in mathematics vol 1217, 92-114, Springer (1986)
- [12] **Dovermann, K.H. and Rothenberg, M.** : “*An equivariant surgery sequence and equivariant diffeomorphism and homeomorphism classification*”, Mem. of AMS vol.70 no. 389 (1988)
- [13] **Franz, W.** “*Über die Torsion einer Überdeckung*”, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 173, 245 - 254 (1935)
- [14] **Fried, D.** : “*Analytic torsion and closed geodesics on hyperbolic manifolds*”, Inventiones Math. 91, 31-51 (1986)

- [15] **Gilkey, P.B.** : “*Invariance theory, the heat equation and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem*”, Publish or Perish (1984)
- [16] **Hsiang, W.C. and Pardon, W.** : “*When are topologically equivalent orthogonal representations linearly equivalent*”, *Invent.* 38, 275-317 (1982)
- [17] **Illman, S.** : “*Whitehead torsion and group actions*”, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. ser. AI* 588, 1-44
- [18] **Illman, S.** : “*Smooth equivariant triangulations of G -manifolds for G a finite group*”, *Math. Ann.* 233, 199-220 (1978)
- [19] **Lott, J. and Rothenberg, M.** : “*Analytic torsion for group actions*”, preprint (1989), to appear in *Journal of Differential Geometry*
- [20] **Lück, W.** : “*The geometric finiteness obstruction*”, *Proc of the LMS* 54, 367-384 (1987)
- [21] **Lück, W.** : “*Transformation groups and algebraic K -theory*”, Springer lecture note in mathematics vol. 1408 (1989)
- [22] **Lück, W. and Madsen, I.** : “*Equivariant L -theory I*”, *Math. Zeitschrift* 203, 503 - 526 (1990)
- [23] **Lück, W. and Madsen, I.** : “*Equivariant L -theory II*”, *Math. Zeitschrift* 204, 253 - 268 (1990)
- [24] **Madsen, I. and Rothenberg, M.** : “*On the classification of G -spheres I : Equivariant transversality*”, *Acta Math.* 160, 65-104 (1988)
- [25] **Milnor, J.** : “*Whitehead torsion*”, *Bull of AMS* 72, 358-426 (1966)
- [26] **Müller, W.** : “*Analytic torsion and R -torsion of Riemannian manifolds*”, *Adv. in Math.* 28, 233-305 (1978)
- [27] **Quillen, D.** : “*Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators over a Riemann surface*”, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 19, 31-35 (1986)
- [28] **Ray, D.B.** : “*Reidemeister torsion and the Laplacian on lens spaces*”, *Advanced in math.* 4 , 109-126 (1970)
- [29] **Ray, D.B. and Singer, I.** : “ *R -torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*”, *Adv. in Math.* 7, 145-210 (1971)
- [30] **deRham, G.** : “*Reidemeister’s torsion invariant and rotations of S^n* ”, *Differential Analysis* (published for the Tata Institute of Federal Research, Bombay), Oxford University Press, London, MR 32 ‡ 8355, 27-36 (1964)

- [31] **Rothenberg, M** : “*Torsion invariants and finite transformation groups*”, in ” Algebraic and geometric topology”, part 1, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. vol 33, AMS, 267-311 (1978)
- [32] **Schwarz, A.** : “*The partition function of degenerate quadratic functional and Ray-Singer invariants*”, Lett. Math. Phys. 2, 247 (1978)
- [33] **Silvester, J.R.** : “*Introduction to algebraic K-theory*”Chapman and Hall (1981)
- [34] **Titchmarsh, E.C.** : “*The theory of the Riemannian zeta-function*”, Oxford University Press (1951)
- [35] **Witten, E.** : “*Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*”, preprint (1988)

Address
Wolfgang Lück*
Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik
Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster
Einsteinstr. 62
48149 Münster
Germany
email: lueck@math.uni-muenster.de
Fax: 0251 838370

* Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS - 9103327