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#### Abstract

Consider the semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}^{n} \rtimes_{\rho} \mathbb{Z} / m$. A conjecture of Adem-Ge-Pan-Petrosyan predicts that the associated Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence collapses. We prove this conjecture provided that the $\mathbb{Z} / m$ action on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is free outside the origin. We disprove the conjecture in general, namely, we give an example with $n=6$ and $m=4$, where the second differential does not vanish.


## Introduction

Throughout this paper let $G \cong \mathbb{Z} / m$ be a finite cyclic group of order $m$ and let $L \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be a finitely generated free abelian group of rank $n$. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L)$ be a group homomorphism. It puts the structure of a $\mathbb{Z} G$-module on $L$. Let $\Gamma$ be the associated semi-direct product $L \rtimes_{\rho} G$. We will make the assumption that the $G$-action of $G$ on $L$ is free outside the origin unless stated explicitly differently.

Here is a brief summary of our results. We will show that the Tate cohomology $\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; \Lambda^{j}(L)\right)$ vanishes for all $i, j$ for which $i+j$ is odd. This will be the key ingredient for computations of the topological $K$-theory of the group $C^{*}$-algebra of $\Gamma$ which will be carried out in a different paper, generalizing previous calculations of Davis-Lück in the special case where $m$ is a prime. We determine the group cohomology of $\Gamma$ in high dimensions using classifying spaces for proper actions. A conjecture due to Adem-Ge-Pan-Petrosyan says that the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the semi-direct product $L \rtimes_{\rho} G$ collapses. We will prove it under the assumption mentioned above. Without this assumption we give counterexamples.
0.1. Tate cohomology. In the sequel $\Lambda^{j}=\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^{j}$ stands for the $j$-th exterior power of a $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

Theorem 0.1 (Tate cohomology). Suppose that the G-action on $L$ is free outside the origin, i.e., if for $g \in G$ and $x \in L$ we have $g x=x$, then $g=1$ or $x=0$.

Then we get for the Tate cohomology

$$
\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; \Lambda^{j}(L)\right)=0
$$

for all $i, j$ for which $i+j$ is odd.
Theorem 0.1 will be proved in Section 1 .
0.2. Motivation. Davis-Lück 9 have computed the topological $K$-(co-)homology of $B \Gamma$ and $\underline{B} \Gamma$ and finally the topological $K$-theory of the reduced group $C^{*}$-algebra $C_{r}^{*}(\Gamma)$ in the special case that $m$ is a prime. The result is:

Theorem 0.2 ([9, Theorem 0.3]). Suppose that $m=p$ for a prime $p$. Suppose that $G$ acts freely on $L$ outside the origin. Then
(i) There exists an integer $s$ uniquely determined by $(p-1) \cdot s=n$;
(ii) If $p=2$, then

$$
K_{m}\left(C_{r}^{*}(\Gamma)\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}^{3 \cdot 2^{n-1}} & m \text { even } \\ 0 & m \text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

(iii) If $p$ is odd, then

$$
K_{m}\left(C_{r}^{*}(\Gamma)\right) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}^{d_{e v}} & \text { m even } \\ \mathbb{Z}^{d_{\text {odd }}} & \text { m odd }\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{e v} & =\frac{2^{(p-1) s}+p-1}{2 p}+\frac{(p-1) \cdot p^{s-1}}{2}+(p-1) \cdot p^{s} \\
d_{o d d} & =\frac{2^{(p-1) s}+p-1}{2 p}-\frac{(p-1) \cdot p^{s-1}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(iv) In particular $K_{m}\left(C_{r}^{*}(\Gamma)\right)$ is always a finitely generated free abelian group.

This computation is interesting in its own right but has also interesting consequences. For instance, the (unstable) Gromov-Lawson-Rosenberg Conjecture holds for $\Gamma$ in dimensions $\geq 5$ (see [9, Theorem 0.7 ]). Davis-Lück are planning to apply a version of Theorem 0.2 for the algebraic $K$ - and $L$-theory of the integral group ring of $G$ to the classification of total spaces of certain torus bundles over lens spaces. The starting point of the proof of Theorem 0.2 is Theorem 0.1 in the special case $m=p$.

Recent work of Cuntz-Li [7] and [8] on the topological $K$-theory of $C^{*}$-algebras arising from number theory triggered the question whether Theorem 0.2 can be extended to the general case, i.e., to the case where $m$ is any natural number. This question is also interesting in its own right. But it can only be attacked if Theorem 0.1 holds, and this will be proved in this paper. The situation relevant for the work of Cuntz and Li is the case where $L$ is the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$ of an algebraic number field $K, G$ is the finite cyclic group $\mu$ of roots of unity in $K^{\times}$, and $\rho: \mu \rightarrow \operatorname{aut}(\mathcal{O})$ comes from the multiplication in $\mathcal{O}$. Obviously $\mu$ acts freely on $\mathcal{O}$ outside the origin.
0.3. Group cohomology. We will compute the group cohomology of $\Gamma$ in sufficiently large dimensions by using the classifying space for proper actions, namely, we will prove in Section 2;

Theorem 0.3. Suppose that $G$ acts freely on $L$ outside the origin. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a complete system of representatives of the conjugacy classes of maximal finite subgroups of $\Gamma$. Then we obtain for $2 k>n$ an isomorphism

$$
H^{2 k}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{2 k}} \bigoplus_{(M) \in \mathcal{P}} \widetilde{H}^{2 k}(M)
$$

where $\varphi^{2 k}$ is the map induced by the various inclusions $M \rightarrow \Gamma$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}$. For $2 k+1>n$ we get

$$
H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma) \cong 0
$$

0.4. On a conjecture of Adem-Ge-Pan-Petrosyan. We will analyze the following conjecture due to Adem-Ge-Pan-Petrosyan [1, Conjecture 5.2]).

Conjecture 0.4 (Adem-Ge-Pan-Petrosyan). The Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the semi-direct product $L \rtimes_{\rho} G$ collapses in the strongest sense, i.e., all differentials in the $E_{r}$-term for $r \geq 2$ are trivial and all extension problems at the $E_{\infty}$-level are trivial. In particular we get for all $k \geq 0$

$$
H^{k}(\Gamma ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=k} H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)
$$

This conjecture is known to be true if $m$ is squarefree (see [1, Corollary 4.2]) or if there exists a so called compatible group action (see Definition 4.10 and [2, Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.3]).

We will prove a positive and a negative result concerning Conjecture 0.4
Theorem 0.5 (Free actions). Conjecture 0.4 is true, provided that the $G$-action on $L$ is free outside the origin.

The proof of Theorem 0.5 will be given in Section 5
Theorem 0.6 (Conjecture 0.4 is not true in general). Consider the special case $n=6$ and $m=4$, where $\rho$ is given by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then the second differential in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the semi-direct product $L \rtimes_{\rho} G$ is non-trivial. In particular Conjecture 0.4 is not true.

Theorem 0.6 will be proved in Section 6 based on the analysis of the cohomology classes $\left[\alpha_{s}\right]$ due to Charlap-Vasquez [6] presented in Section 4. These classes can be used to describe the second differential in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and are obstructions to the existence of a compatible group action the sense of [2, Definition 2.1] (see Definition 4.10). The next result is an easy consequence of Theorem 0.6 and will be proved also in Section 6

Corollary 0.7. (i) If $m$ is divisible by four, we can find for $G \cong \mathbb{Z} / m$ an $L$ such that the second differential in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the semi-direct product $L \rtimes_{\rho} G$ is non-trivial;
(ii) If $m$ is not divisible by four, then for all $L$ the second differential in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to the semi-direct product $L \rtimes_{\rho} G$ is trivial.

Remark 0.8 (Reformulation of Conjecture 0.4). In view of Corollary 0.7 a very optimistic guess is that Conjecture 0.4 of Adem-Ge-Pan-Petrosyan is true if and only if $m$ is not divisible by four.
0.5. Group cohomology and the equivariant Euler characteristic. In Section 7 we relate the group cohomology of $\Gamma$ to the $G$-Euler characteristic of $L \backslash \underline{E} \Gamma$, where $\underline{E} \Gamma$ is the classifying space for proper actions.

Notations and conventions. All our modules will be left modules. Some of our results hold in more general situations than considered in the introduction; in such cases we will use the letter $K$ for arbitrary finite groups, whereas $G$ is used for cyclic groups only.

Given a chain complex $P_{*}$ of modules over $\mathbb{Z} L$, we denote by $P_{*}[n]$ the shifted chain complex given by $\left(P_{*}[n]\right)_{i}=P_{n+i}$ with differential $\partial_{P[n]}=(-1)^{n} \partial_{P}$. A map of two chain complexes $f: P_{*} \rightarrow Q_{*}$ is an element of

$$
\operatorname{hom}^{*}(P, Q)=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*+i}, P_{*}\right)
$$

and for such an $f$ we write $d f=\partial_{Q} f-(-1)^{n} f \partial_{P}$. With this notation, $f$ is a chain map if and only if $d f=0$.

Suppose we are given a group homomorphism $\rho: K \rightarrow \operatorname{aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L)$; it puts the structure of a $\mathbb{Z} K$-module on $L$. For every $k \in K$, we write $\rho^{k}$ for the associated automorphism of $L$, and we define $\tau^{k}=\mathbb{Z} \rho^{k}$ to be the corresponding ring automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} L$. Whenever $P$ is a $\mathbb{Z} L$-module, we denote by $P^{k}$ the $\mathbb{Z} L$-module obtained from $P$ by restricting scalars with the ring automorphism $\tau^{k}$. This construction extends in an obvious way to chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z} L$-modules, leaving the differentials unchanged.

In the special case $K=G=\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$, we fix a generator $t$ of $G$ and write $\rho=\rho^{t}$ and $\tau=\tau^{t}$ for short.
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## 1. Proof of Theorem 0.1

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.1. Its proof needs some preparation.

Lemma 1.1. Let $p$ be a prime and let $r$ be a natural number. Put $\zeta=\exp \left(2 \pi i / p^{r}\right)$. Then the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\zeta] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]_{(1-\zeta)}$ is a discrete valuation ring.
Proof. Recall from [15] Lemma 10.1 in Chapter I on page 59] that the ideal ( $1-$ $\zeta) \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is a prime ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, and that $(1-\zeta)^{(p-1) p^{r}}=p \varepsilon$ for some unit $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is the ring of integers in the algebraic number field $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$, it is a Dedekind domain (see [15, Theorem 3.1 in Chapter I on page 17 and Proposition 10.2 in Chapter I on page 60]). Since the localization of a Dedekind ring at one of its prime ideals is a discrete valuation ring (see [4, Theorem 9.3 on page 95]), it is enough to prove the isomorphism of rings $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\zeta] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]_{(1-\zeta)}$.

Let $K$ be the set of positive integers not divisible by $p$, and observe that $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\zeta]=$ $K^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Under the unique ring map $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ mapping $\zeta$ to 1 , elements of $K$ map to non-zero elements, and elements of $(1-\zeta) \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ map to 0 ; therefore, $K \cap(1-\zeta) \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]=\emptyset$, so the injective map $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]_{(1-\zeta)}$ induces an injective map $K^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]_{(1-\zeta)}$. We want to show that this map is surjective.

Consider both sides as subrings of $\mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$, and let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ with $b \notin(1-\zeta) \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$; we want to show that $\frac{a}{b}$ is in the image of that map. Since $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$, there is some positive integer $l$ with $w:=l \cdot \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Let us write $l=k \cdot p^{i}$ with $k \in K$; then

$$
w \cdot b=k \cdot p^{i} \cdot a=k \cdot(1-\zeta)^{j} \cdot a \cdot e
$$

for some integer $j \geq 0$ and some unit $e \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Since $(1-\zeta)$ generates a prime ideal which does not contain $b$, we conclude that $w=(1-\zeta)^{j} w^{\prime}$ for some $w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ and therefore $k \cdot \frac{a}{b}=w^{\prime} e^{-1}$, which lies in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$.

Next we prove the following reduction.

Lemma 1.2. It suffices to prove Theorem 0.1 and Theorem 0.5 in the special case, where $m=p^{r}$ for some prime number $p$ and natural number $r$ and $L=\mathbb{Z}(\zeta)^{k}=$ $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}(\zeta)$ for some natural number $k$, where $\zeta=\exp \left(2 \pi i / r^{k}\right)$.

Proof. Fix a prime $p$. Let $G_{p}$ be the $p$-Sylow subgroup of $G$. Obviously $G_{p}$ is a cyclic group of order $p^{r}$ for some natural number $r$. Let $Q$ be the quotient $(\mathbb{Z} / n) /(\mathbb{Z} / p)$. Obviously $Q$ is a cyclic group of order prime to $p$, namely of order $m / p^{r}$. Hence we obtain an isomorphism

$$
\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; \Lambda^{j}(L)\right)_{(p)}=\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G_{p} ; \Lambda^{j}(L)\right)^{Q}
$$

This is proved at least for cohomology and $i \geq 1$ in [5. Theorem 10.3 on page 84] and extends to Tate cohomology. Since an abelian group $A$ is trivial if and only if $A_{(p)}$ is trivial for all primes $p$, it suffices to prove Theorem 0.1 for $G_{p}$ for all primes $p$. In other words, we can assume without loss of generality $m=p^{r}$.

Let $t \in G$ be a generator. Let $T=1+t^{p^{r-1}}+t^{2 p^{r-1}}+\cdots+t^{(p-1) p^{r-1}} \in \mathbb{Z} G$. Then $t^{p^{r-1}}$ fixes $T \cdot x$ for each $x \in L$, so by assumption $T \cdot x=0 \in L$. Therefore, $T \cdot L=0$, and $L$ is a $\mathbb{Z} G / T \cdot \mathbb{Z} G$-module. Now the ring epimorphism pr: $\mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ sending a fixed generator $t$ of $G$ to $\zeta=\exp \left(2 \pi i / p^{r}\right)$ is surjective and contains $T$ in its kernel. Since $\mathbb{Z} G / T \cdot \mathbb{Z} G$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ are finitely generated free abelian groups of the same rank, pr induces a ring isomorphism

We have seen before that $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is a Dedekind domain. Every finitely generated torsion-free module over a Dedekind domain is a direct sum of ideals (see [14, Lemma 1.5 on page 10 and remark on page 11]), so $L \cong I_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{k}$ for some ideals $I_{j} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. Now $I_{j} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\zeta]$ which is a discrete valuation ring (see Lemma 1.1). Since a discrete valuation ring is a principal ideal domain with a unique maximal ideal (see [4, Proposition 9.2 on page 94$]$ ), $L_{(p)} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\zeta]\right)^{k}$ as modules over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[\zeta]$. This implies that $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{j}\left(L_{(p)}\right)$ and $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{j}\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]_{(p)}\right)^{k}\right)$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules. For any $\mathbb{Z} G$-module $M$, the map $M \rightarrow M_{(p)}$ induces an isomorphism $\widehat{H}^{*}(G, M)=\widehat{H}^{*}(G, M)_{(p)} \cong \widehat{H}^{*}\left(G, M_{(p)}\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\widehat{H}^{*}(G, & \left.\Lambda^{j}(L)\right) \\
& \cong \widehat{H}^{*}\left(G,\left(\Lambda^{j}(L)_{(p)}\right)\right.
\end{array}\right) \cong \widehat{H}^{*}\left(G, \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{j}\left(L_{(p)}\right)\right), \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}^{j}\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]_{(p)}\right)^{k}\right)\right) \cong \widehat{H}^{*}\left(G, \Lambda^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{k}\right)_{(p)}\right) \cong \widehat{H}^{*}\left(G, \Lambda^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Hence it suffices to prove Theorem 0.1 in the case $m=p^{r}$ and $L=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{k}$.
The argument in the proof applies also to Theorem 0.5 .
Recall that a permutation $\mathbb{Z} G$-module is a $\mathbb{Z} G$-module of the shape $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ for some finite $G$-set $S$. The main technical input in the proof of Theorem 0.1 will be:

Proposition 1.4. Suppose $m=p^{r}$ for some prime number $p$ and natural number $r$. For $j \geq 0$ there is a long exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{j} \rightarrow \Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow 0
$$

where $P$ is a permutation $\mathbb{Z} G$-module and the $F_{i}$ 's are free $\mathbb{Z} G$-module
Proof. Define the $\mathbb{Z} G$-module $A=\mathbb{Z} G /\left(1-t^{p^{r-1}}\right) \cdot \mathbb{Z} G$. Note that we obtain from (1.3) the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow 0
$$

whose underlying sequence of free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules splits. We therefore get a long exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \rightarrow \Gamma^{j} A \rightarrow \Gamma^{j-1} A \otimes \Lambda^{1} \mathbb{Z} G & \rightarrow \Gamma^{j-2} A \otimes \Lambda^{2} \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \ldots  \tag{1.5}\\
\cdots & \rightarrow \Gamma^{1} A \otimes \Lambda^{j-1} \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

(see [3, Definition V.1.6 and Corollary V.1.15]), which is in fact a sequence of $\mathbb{Z} G$ modules. Here, $\Gamma^{j} A$ denotes the $j$-th divided powers on $A$ (see, e.g., [3, I.4]). Our aim is to write this sequence as a direct sum of several sequences, each of which has one of the following properties:

- it either does not contribute to $\Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, or
- all its middle terms are free $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules.

For this we introduce a grading as follows. Define a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $A$ by taking $\mathcal{A}=$ $\left\{[1],[t],\left[t^{2}\right], \ldots,\left[t^{p^{r-1}-1}\right]\right\}$. Let $S^{\prime}$ be the additive semi-group of functions (i.e., maps of sets) $\mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of non-negative integers. There is a unique way of turning $\Gamma^{*} A$ into an $S^{\prime}$-graded ring such that the degree of $\left[t^{i}\right] \in \Gamma^{1} A$ is the function in $S^{\prime}$ sending [i] to 1 and all other elements to 0 . Explicitly, the degree of the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis element $a_{1}^{\left[e_{1}\right]} \ldots a_{m}^{\left[e_{e}\right]}$ (with $a_{i} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $i, e_{i} \geq 0$ ) is given by the function

$$
\mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1} \mathbb{Z} \ni[i] \mapsto \sum_{a_{s}=\left[t^{i}\right]} e_{s} .
$$

Similarly, there is a unique $S^{\prime}$-graded ring structure on $\Lambda^{*} \mathbb{Z} G$ such that the degree of $t^{i} \in \Lambda^{1} \mathbb{Z} G$ is the function in $S^{\prime}$ sending $\left[i \bmod p^{r-1}\right]$ to 1 and all other elements to 0 . We therefore get an induced $S^{\prime}$-grading on $\Gamma^{*} A \otimes \Lambda^{*} \mathbb{Z} G$ (by requiring $|a \otimes b|=|a|+|b|$ for homogeneous $a, b$ ), which restricts to an $S^{\prime}$-grading on $\Gamma^{j-i} A \otimes \Lambda^{i} \mathbb{Z} G$.

We claim that the differential of the exact sequence (1.5) respects this grading. To verify this, note that a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis element $a_{1}^{\left[e_{1}\right]} \ldots a_{m}^{\left[e_{m}\right]} \otimes b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{i}$ is mapped to $a_{1}^{\left[e_{1}-1\right]} \ldots a_{m}^{\left[e_{m}\right]} \otimes\left(T \cdot a_{1}\right) \wedge b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{i}$ plus other terms of similar shape. Note here that $T \cdot a_{1}$ is a well-defined element in $\mathbb{Z} G$, and its a sum of elements having the same degree in $S^{\prime}$ as $a_{1}$.

On the other hand, the $G$-action does not quite respect the grading; in fact, multiplication by $t$ corresponds to a shift of the degree function $\mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. We therefore define $S=S^{\prime} /\left(\mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, where $\mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1} \mathbb{Z}$ acts on the functions in $S^{\prime}$ by shifting. We get an induced $S$-grading on $\Gamma^{j-i} A \otimes \Lambda^{i} \mathbb{Z} G$, and now both the $G$-action and the differential of (1.5) respect this grading. Therefore the exact sequence is a direct sum of exact sequences, one for each element of $S$. For $\sigma \in S$ let us write $E_{\sigma}=(\ldots)_{\sigma}$ for the degree- $\sigma$-part of the exact sequence, i.e.,

$$
\cdots \rightarrow\left(\Gamma^{j-i} A \otimes \Lambda^{i} \mathbb{Z} G\right)_{\sigma} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow\left(\Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z} G\right)_{\sigma} \rightarrow\left(\Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]\right)_{\sigma} \rightarrow 0
$$

The proof is now completed by applying the following Lemma 1.6 because $\Gamma^{j} A$ is a permutation module.

Lemma 1.6. Let $\sigma \in S$ be represented by $f \in S^{\prime}$. If $f(w)<p$ for all $w \in \mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1} \mathbb{Z}$, then the module $\left(\Gamma^{j-i} A \otimes \Lambda^{i} \mathbb{Z} G\right)_{\sigma}$ is free as $\mathbb{Z} G$-module for $0<i \leq j$. If $f(w) \geq p$ for some $w$, then $\Lambda^{j}(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta])_{\sigma}=0$.
Proof. For the first part, it is enough to check that the action of $t^{p^{r-1}}$ on the canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-basis elements $\beta=a_{1}^{\left[e_{1}\right]} \ldots a_{m}^{\left[e_{m}\right]} \otimes b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{i}$ for $a_{r} \in \mathcal{A}, e_{r} \geq 0$ and $b_{s} \in G=\mathbb{Z} / p^{r}$ has no fixed points. Suppose that $t^{p^{r-1}} \beta=\beta$. Then for each $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}$ there exists $u(l) \in\{1,2, \ldots, i\}$ with $t^{l p^{r-1}} b_{1}=b_{u(l)}$. Obviously $b_{u(l)}=b_{u\left(l^{\prime}\right)}$ if and only if $l=l^{\prime}$ since $\beta \neq 0$ and $t^{l p^{r-1}} b_{1}=t^{l^{\prime} p^{r-1}} b_{1} \Leftrightarrow l=l^{\prime}$. We
conclude where $\overline{b_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1}$ is the reduction of $b_{1} \in G=\mathbb{Z} / p^{r}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\beta)\left(\overline{b_{1}}\right)=\sum_{r=1}^{m} f\left(a_{r}^{\left[e_{r}\right]}\right)\left(\overline{b_{1}}\right)+\sum_{s=1}^{i} f\left(b_{s}\right)\left(\overline{b_{1}}\right) \geq & \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} f\left(b_{u(l)}\right)\left(\overline{b_{1}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} f\left(t^{l p^{r-1}} b_{1}\right)\left(\overline{b_{1}}\right)=\sum_{l=0}^{p-1} 1=p .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second assertion we need to check that the map $\left(\Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z} G\right)_{\sigma} \rightarrow \Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is zero, so let us start with an element $\beta=b_{1} \wedge b_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{j}$ in $\left(\Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z} G\right)_{\sigma}$ for $b_{s} \in G$. Fix $w \in \mathbb{Z} / p^{r-1}$ with $f(w) \geq p$. Then $p \leq j$ and by possible renumbering the $b_{s}$-s, we can arrange that $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{p}$ belong to the set $\left\{t^{w}, t^{w+p^{r-1}}, \ldots, t^{w+(p-1) p^{r-1}}\right\}$. Furthermore, they are pairwise different (otherwise $\beta=0$ ), so we may assume that $b_{l}=t^{w+l p^{r-1}}$ for all $l=1,2, \ldots, p$. Recall that $T=1+t^{p^{r-1}}+t^{2 p^{r-1}}+\cdots+$ $t^{(p-1) p^{r-1}} \in \mathbb{Z} G$. Then $T \cdot b_{1}=b_{1}+b_{2}+\cdots+b_{p}$, so that $\beta=\left(T \cdot b_{1}\right) \wedge b_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{j}$, but the latter maps to zero in $\Lambda^{j} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 1.6 and hence of Proposition 1.4.

Now we can finish the proof of Theorem 0.1
Proof of of Theorem 0.1. By Lemma 1.2 we can assume without loss of generality that $m=p^{r}$ and $L=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^{k}$ for $\zeta=\exp \left(2 \pi i / p^{r}\right)$. We will show by induction over $k$ that for $k \geq 1$ and $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots j_{k} \geq 0$ there exists a long exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{k}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is a direct summand in a permutation module. Then Theorem 0.1 follows since there is the exponential law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{*}(X \oplus Y) \cong \Lambda^{*}(X) \otimes \Lambda^{*}(Y) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Shapiro's Lemma (see [5, (5.2) on page 136]) saying that for a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ we have

$$
\widehat{H}^{i}(G ; \mathbb{Z}[G / H]) \cong \widehat{H}^{i}(H ; \mathbb{Z}),
$$

the computation

$$
\widehat{H}^{i}(\mathbb{Z} / m ; \mathbb{Z})=0 \quad \text { for } i \text { odd, }
$$

the formula

$$
\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; M_{1} \oplus M_{2}\right) \cong \widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; M_{1}\right) \oplus \widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; M_{2}\right),
$$

and the isomorphism (see [5, (5.1) on page 136])

$$
\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]\right) \cong \widehat{H}^{i+j_{1}+j_{2}+\cdots+j_{k}}(G ; P)
$$

The induction beginning $k=1$ has already been taken care of in Proposition 1.4 , The induction step from $k-1$ to $k \geq 2$ is done as follows. By induction hypothesis there exists exact sequences of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{k-1}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow F_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{j_{k}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{k}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow 0
$$

where $P$ and $Q$ are permutation modules. Since $\Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ is finitely generated free as $\mathbb{Z}$-module, we obtain an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes Q \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes F_{1}^{\prime} \\
& \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes F_{j_{k}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where all the modules except the one at the beginning and the one at the end are finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules. Analogously we we obtain an exact sequence $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules
$0 \rightarrow P \otimes Q \rightarrow F_{1} \otimes Q \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{j_{1}+\cdots+j_{k}} \otimes Q \rightarrow \Lambda^{j_{1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{j_{k-1}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \otimes Q \rightarrow 0$,
where all the modules except the one at the beginning and the one at the end are finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules and $P \otimes Q$ is a permutation module. Splicing the last two long exact sequences together yields the desired long exact sequence (1.7) of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules. This finishes the proof of Theorem 0.1 .

## 2. The cohomology of $\Gamma$

In this section we present a computation of the group cohomology of the semidirect product $\Gamma=L \rtimes_{\phi} G$ in high degrees provided that $G$ acts freely on $L$ outside the origin. It is independent of the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence but uses classifying spaces for proper actions. For a survey on classifying spaces for families and in particular for the classifying space for proper actions we refer for instance to [11.

Here we will only need the following facts. A model $\underline{E} \Gamma$ for proper $\Gamma$-actions is a $\Gamma$ - $C W$-complex whose isotropy groups are all finite and whose $H$-fixed point set is contractible for every finite subgroup $H \subseteq \Gamma$. Such a model exists and is unique up to $\Gamma$-homotopy. We will denote by $\underline{B} \Gamma$ the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \underline{E} \Gamma$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 0.3
Proof of Theorem 0.3. Since the $G$-action on $L$ is free outside the origin, every non-trivial finite subgroup $H \subseteq \Gamma$ is contained in a unique maximal finite subgroup $M$ and for every maximal finite subgroup $M \subseteq \Gamma$ we have $N_{\Gamma} M=M$ (see [12, Lemma 6.3]. We obtain from [13, Corollary 2.11] a cellular $\Gamma$-pushout

where $i_{0}$ and $i_{1}$ are inclusions of $\Gamma$ - $C W$-complexes, $\operatorname{pr}_{M}$ is the obvious $\Gamma$-equivariant projection and $\mathcal{M}$ is a complete system of representatives the set of conjugacy classes of maximal finite subgroups of $\Gamma$. Taking the quotient with respect to the $\Gamma$-action yields the cellular pushout

where $j_{0}$ and $j_{1}$ are inclusions of $C W$-complexes, $\overline{\mathrm{pr}}_{M}$ is the obvious projection. It yields the following long exact sequence for $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \rightarrow H^{2 k}(\underline{B} \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}^{*}} H^{2 k}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{2 k}} \bigoplus_{(M) \in \mathcal{M}} & \widetilde{H}^{2 k}(M)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \xrightarrow{\delta^{2 k}} H^{2 k+1}(\underline{B} \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}^{*}} H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi^{*}$ is the map induced by the various inclusions $M \subset \Gamma$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\widetilde{H}^{2 k}(M)$ is reduced cohomology, i.e., $\widetilde{H}^{2 k}(M)=H^{2 k}(M)$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\widetilde{H}^{0}(M)=0$.

One can construct a model for $\underline{E} \Gamma$ whose dimension as a $\Gamma$ - $C W$-complex is $n$ (see [11, Example 5.26]). Namely, one can take $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ with the obvious $\Gamma$ action coming from the $L$-action given by translation and the $G$-action coming from $G \xrightarrow{\rho} \operatorname{aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L) \rightarrow \operatorname{aut}_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L\right)$, where the second map comes from applying $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}-$. Now Theorem 0.3 follows.

## 3. The Relation of Conjecture 0.4 and Theorem 0.1

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $G$ acts freely outside the origin on $L$. Then Theorem 0.1 is true if and only if the differentials in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to $\Gamma=G \rtimes_{\phi} G$ vanish.

Proof. The cup-product induces isomorphisms $\Lambda^{j} H^{1}(L) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{j}(L)$, natural with respect to automorphisms of $L$, for $j \geq 0$. By the universal coefficient theorem we obtain an isomorphism $L^{\wedge}:=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{1}(L)$ which is natural with respect to automorphisms of $L$. Putting this together we obtain an isomorphism, natural with respect to automorphisms of $L$,

$$
\Lambda^{j} L^{\wedge} \quad \xlongequal{\cong} H^{j}(L) .
$$

We first show that Theorem 0.1 implies the vanishing of all the differentials. From Theorem 0.1 we conclude that $E_{i, j}^{2}=0$ for $i+j$ odd and $i \geq 1$ since the Tate cohomology in dimensions $i \geq 1$ coincides with cohomology. Hence by the checkerboard pattern of the $E^{2}$-term the only non-trivial differentials which can occur are those who start at the vertical axis or end at the horizontal axis at a point in odd position on the axis. To show that all these differentials vanish, we have to prove that all edge homomorphisms are trivial. This boils down to show of the projection pr: $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ and for the inclusion $i: L \rightarrow \Gamma$ that the map pr*: $H^{r}(G) \rightarrow$ $H^{r}(\Gamma)$ is injective and the map $i^{*}: H^{r}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{r}(L)^{G}$ is surjective for odd $r$. The map pr* is injective since pr has a section. Let $i^{!}: H^{r}(L) \rightarrow H^{r}(\Gamma)$ be the transfer map. Its composition with $i^{*}: H^{r}(\Gamma) \rightarrow H^{r}(L)^{G}$ is the map $H^{r}(L) \rightarrow H^{r}(L)^{G}$ given by multiplication with the norm element $N:=\sum_{g \in G} g$, and the cokernel of this map is isomorphic to $\widehat{H}^{0}\left(G ; H^{r}(L)\right)$ (see [5, (5.1) on page 134]). By assumption $\widehat{H}^{0}\left(G ; H^{r}(L)\right)$ vanishes for odd $r$. Hence $i^{*} \circ i^{!}$is surjective in odd dimensions and hence $i^{*}$ is surjective in odd dimensions. This finishes the proof that all differentials in the Leray-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence vanish.

Now suppose that all differentials vanish. Then Theorem 0.5 holds by the following argument. We know that $H^{2 m+1}(\Gamma)$ vanishes for $2 m+1>n$ by Theorem 0.3 , Since all differentials in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence vanish, we conclude that $\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)=H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)=0$ for $i \geq 1, i+j$ odd and $i+j>n$. Since the Tate cohomology is 2-periodic for finite cyclic groups, this implies that $\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)=0$ holds for all $i, j$ with $i+j$ odd.

Remark 3.2. Notice that Theorem 0.5 and Lemma 3.1 give another proof of Theorem 0.1 independent of the one presented in Section 1.

## 4. The cohomology classes $\left[\alpha_{s}\right]$

Next we introduce certain cohomology classes which will be used to describe the second differential in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and are obstructions to the existence of a compatible group action in the sense of [2, Definition 2.1]). We will also give a description in terms of endomorphism of free groups.
4.1. The definition of the classes $\left[\alpha_{s}\right]$. Let $\rho: L \rightarrow L$ be the automorphism of $L$ given by multiplication with a fixed generator $t$ of the cyclic group $G$ of order $m$. Denote by $\tau=\mathbb{Z} \rho: \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} G$ the ring automorphism of $\mathbb{Z} L$ induced by $\rho$. Obviously $\rho^{m}=\mathrm{id}_{L}$ and $\tau^{m}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z} L}$.

Let $\left(P_{*}, \partial\right)$ be a projective resolution over $\mathbb{Z} L$ of the trivial module $\mathbb{Z}$ with the additional property that the complex $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ has trivial differentials. As usual, let $\tau^{*}$ denote the endofunctor of the category of $\mathbb{Z} L$-modules given by pulling back scalars along $\tau$. Then $P_{*}$ and $\tau^{*} P_{*}$ both are projective $\mathbb{Z} L$-resolutions of the trivial module $\mathbb{Z}$, so there is a chain map $z: \tau^{*} P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*}$ lifting the identity of $\mathbb{Z}$. Then $H^{*}(L)=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(\tau^{*} P_{*}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ gets a $\mathbb{Z} G$-module structure via the map $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(z, \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$. Note that $H^{*}(L) \cong \Lambda^{*} L^{\wedge}$ as $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules.

Now the $m$-fold composition $z^{m}$ is a $\mathbb{Z} L$-chain map $P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*}$ lifting the identity of $\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore there is a $\mathbb{Z} L$-chain homotopy $y: P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*}[1]$ with $d y=\partial y+y \partial=$ $z^{m}-1$. This induces a map

$$
\alpha_{s}: H^{s+1}(L) \cong \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{s+1}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(y, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{s}, \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H^{s}(L)
$$

We claim that $\alpha_{s}$ is a $G$-equivariant map. To see this, consider the map $z y-$ $y z: \tau^{*} P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*}[1]$. Since

$$
d(z y-y z)=z(d y)-(d y) z=z\left(z^{m}-1\right)-\left(z^{m}-1\right) z=0
$$

it is a chain map. Therefore, it must be null-homotopic, so there is a map $x: \tau^{*} P_{*} \rightarrow$ $P_{*}[2]$ with $d x=\partial x-x \partial=z y-y z$. Since $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ has trivial differential, we get $0=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(d x, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(z y-y z, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$, proving that $\alpha_{s}$ is indeed $\mathbb{Z} G$-linear.

We can think of $\alpha_{s}$ as an element

$$
\alpha_{s} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{0}\left(H^{s+1}(L), H^{s}(L)\right)=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} G}\left(H^{s+1}(L), H^{s}(L)\right)
$$

Using the obvious pairing coming from the tensor product over $\mathbb{Z}$ (with the diagonal $G$-action)

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{i}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{j}\left(N_{1}, N_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{i+j}\left(M_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N_{1}, M_{2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} N_{2}\right)
$$

and the generator of the group $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})=H^{2}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z} / m$ given by the extension $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} G \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z} G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ for the fixed generator $t \in G$, we obtain the desired class

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\alpha_{s}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(H^{s+1}(L), H^{s}(L)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not hard to check that the classes $\left[\alpha_{s}\right]$ are independent of the choices of $P_{*}$, $z$, and $y$.
4.2. The second differential. Notice that we have for $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ a pairing

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{i}\left(\mathbb{Z}, M_{1}\right) \otimes \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{j}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{i+j}\left(\mathbb{Z}, M_{2}\right)
$$

coming from the cup product with respect to the pairing $M_{1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $M_{2}$ sending $m_{1} \otimes f$ to $f\left(m_{1}\right)$.

Lemma 4.2. The map

$$
H^{r}\left(G, H^{s+1} L\right) \xrightarrow{-\cdot\left[\alpha_{s}\right] \cdot(-1)^{r}} H^{r+2}\left(G, H^{*} L\right)
$$

given by taking products:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{r}\left(G, H^{s+1} L\right)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{r}\left(\mathbb{Z}, H^{s+1} L\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{r+2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, H^{s} L\right)=H^{r+2}\left(G, H^{s} L\right) \\
u & \mapsto u \cdot\left[\alpha_{s}\right] \cdot(-1)^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

is the $d_{2}$-differential of the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.

Let us remark here that this is shown in a slightly different setup in [16, Corollary 2]. For convenience of the reader, we give a proof here which is adapted to our situation.

To do so, we use the results of 6]. Define a $G$-system (see 6] Definition in I. 1 on page 534]) to consist of maps $A(g) \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, P_{*}^{g}\right)$ for every $g \in G$ and $U(g, h) \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, P_{*}^{g h}\right)$ for every pair $(g, h) \in G \times G$, such that the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon A(g) & =\epsilon, & & \text { where } \epsilon \text { is the augmentation of } P_{*}, \\
d A(g) & =0 & & \text { for all } g \in G, \\
d U(g, h) & =A(g h)-A(g) A(h) & & \text { for all } g, h \in G .
\end{aligned}
$$

In our case, we can define $A\left(t^{i}\right)=z^{m-i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m-1$ and $A(1)=1$, and we put

$$
U\left(t^{i}, t^{j}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i+j>m \text { or } i=0 \text { or } j=0 \\ -y z^{i+j-m} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In [6, II.2], characteristic classes are constructed as follows. By the universal coefficient theorem, $H^{n}(L, X) \cong \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n}(L), X\right)$ for all $\mathbb{Z}$-free modules $X$ with trivial $L$-action. Choose a cocycle $f^{n} \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(P_{n}, H_{n}(L)\right.$ ) (where $H_{n}(L)$ is regarded as module with trivial $L$-action) representing the identity map in $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n}(L), H_{n}(L)\right)$. For each $g \in G$, there is some $F_{g}^{n-1} \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{n-1}, H_{n}(L)\right)$ with $f^{n} \circ A_{n}(g)-f^{n}=$ $F_{g}^{n-1} \partial_{n}$. In our case, the differential on $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, X\right)$ is zero for every $\mathbb{Z}$-free module $X$ with trivial $L$-action, so we can assume that $F_{g}=0$.

Now [6, Equation (2) in I. 2 on page 536] reduces to the definition

$$
u^{n}(g, h)=(g h)_{*}\left[f^{n} U_{n-1}\left(h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)\right] \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{n-1}, H_{n}(L)\right)
$$

for all $g, h \in G$, where $(g h)_{*}$ is the action of $g h$ on homology $H_{n}(L)$, so that $u^{n}(g, h)$ equals the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n-1}^{g h} \xrightarrow{U_{n-1}\left(h^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)} P_{n} \xrightarrow{f^{n}} H_{n}(L) \xrightarrow{g h} H_{n}(L) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u^{n}(g, h)$ is a cocycle defining a cohomology class $w^{n}(g, h) \in H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)$. By [6, Theorem 1 in II.2.1 on page 537] the collection of these cohomology classes defines a class $v^{n} \in H^{2}\left(G, H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)\right)$. We would like to compare this class with our $\left[\alpha_{n}\right]$. To do so, note that by the universal coefficient theorem, $H^{n}(L) \cong$ $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n}(L), \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and let us denote by $D$ the isomorphism

$$
D: \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H^{n}(L), H^{n-1}(L)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n-1}(L), H_{n}(L)\right)
$$

given by dualizing. Also, the universal coefficient theorem gives us an isomorphism $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n-1}(L), H_{n}(L)\right) \cong H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)$.
Lemma 4.4. When we apply $H^{2}(G,-)$ to the $G$-linear isomorphism

$$
\gamma: \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H^{n}(L), H^{n-1}(L)\right) \xrightarrow{D} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n-1}(L), H_{n}(L)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right),
$$

then under the resulting map the class $\left[\alpha_{n}\right]$ maps to the class $v^{n}$.
Proof. Let $B_{*}$ be the bar resolution, whose modules are given by $B_{s}=(\mathbb{Z} G)^{\otimes(s+1)}$ with $G$ acting on the first factor. Then $B_{s}$ is $\mathbb{Z} G$-free on generators $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right)$ with $g_{i} \in G$, and the differential is given by
$\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right) \mapsto g_{1}\left(g_{2}, \ldots, g_{s}\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{s-1}(-1)^{r}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r} g_{r+1}, \ldots, g_{s}\right)+(-1)^{s}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{s-1}\right)$.
Let $F_{*}$ be the standard free resolution

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} G \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z} G \xrightarrow{t^{m-1}+\cdots+t+1} \mathbb{Z} G \xrightarrow{1-t} \mathbb{Z} G
$$

Then $v^{n}$ is represented by $u^{n} \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} G}\left(B_{2}, H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)\right)$, and the first step will be to find a representative for $v^{n}$ in $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} G}\left(F_{2}, H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)\right)$. Note that we can construct a map of augmented chain complexes $F_{*} \rightarrow B_{*}$ as follows:


We therefore find a cocycle in $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} G}\left(F_{2}, H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)\right)$ by evaluating $u^{n}$ at $-\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}\left(t^{i}, t\right)$. From (4.3) we see that $u^{n}$ is zero at most of the terms (note the definition of $\left.U_{n}\right)$, the only remaining part is

$$
-u^{n}\left(t^{-1}, t\right)=-\left[f^{n} U_{n-1}\left(t^{m-1}, t\right)\right]=\left[f^{n} y\right]
$$

This means that the $G$-linear map $1 \mapsto\left[f^{n} y\right] \in H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)$ is a cocycle in $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} G}\left(F_{2}, H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right)\right)$ representing $v^{n}$.

Recall the isomorphism $H^{n-1}\left(L, H_{n}(L)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n-1}(L), H_{n}(L)\right)$ : Given any cocycle $c \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{n-1}, H_{n}(L)\right)$, we can form

$$
\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} c: \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} P_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} H_{n}(L) \cong H_{n}(L)
$$

Passing to the homology of the complex $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} P_{*}$ yields a map $H_{n-1}(L) \rightarrow H_{n}(L)$, the image of the class [ $c$ ] in $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n-1}(L), H_{n}(L)\right)$. We have the natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L}-\cong \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}(-, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all the modules we are interested in, so the differential of $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} P_{*}$ is zero. Therefore, the class $\left[f^{n} y\right]$ corresponds to the composition

$$
H_{n-1}(L) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y} H_{n}(L) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} f^{n}} H_{n}(L),
$$

where the second map is the identity by definition of $f^{n}$. Therefore, the $G$-linear $\operatorname{map} F_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{n-1}(L), H_{n}(L)\right), 1 \mapsto \operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y$ represents the class $v^{n}$. But by (4.5) we have $D\left(\alpha_{n}\right)=D\left(\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(y, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)\right)=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y$.

We continue with the proof of Lemma 4.2. By [6, Proposition 2 and Theorem 4 in I. 3 on page 539 and 540], the $d_{2}$-differential can be described as follows. The $G$ linear isomorphism $\vartheta: H^{q}(L) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{0}\left(L, H^{q}(L)\right)$ induces a map $\theta: H^{p}\left(G, H^{q}(L)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong}$ $H^{p}\left(G, H^{0}\left(L, H^{q}(L)\right)\right)$. On the other hand, we have the class $v^{q} \in H^{2}\left(G, H^{q-1}\left(L, H_{q}(L)\right)\right)$. The pairing $H^{q}(L) \otimes H_{q}(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ induces a product $H^{0}\left(L, H^{q}(L)\right) \otimes H^{q-1}\left(L, H_{q}(L)\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{q-1}(L)$. Then for a class $\chi \in E_{2}^{p, q}=H^{p}\left(G, H^{q}(L)\right)$ we have

$$
d_{2}(\chi)=(-1)^{p} \theta(\chi) \cup v^{q} .
$$

To finish the proof of Lemma 4.2 it is therefore enough to show that the diagram

commutes, where $\gamma$ is as in Lemma 4.4. To see this, let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules with trivial $L$-action, and let a map $Z \rightarrow Y^{\wedge}$ be given.

Then we have a commutative diagram

where the left-hand side vertical maps are given by dualizing and the natural map $Y \rightarrow\left(Y^{\wedge}\right)^{\wedge}$; the horizontal arrows are evaluation maps. We also have the natural diagram


This commutes because by naturality, it is enough to consider the case $X=Y=\mathbb{Z}$ which is a tautology. Now we put things together and obtain

where we wrote $[X, Y]$ for $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X, Y)$. The square (a) is (4.7) for $X=H^{q}(L)$, $Y=H_{q-1}(L), Z=H^{q-1}(L)$, and $Z \rightarrow Y^{\wedge}$ is the map from the universal coefficient theorem. The square (b) commutes by definition, (d) commutes by naturality of the universal coefficient theorem, and (c) is (4.8) for the case $X=H^{q}(L)$ and $Y=H^{q}(L)^{\wedge}$. A quick check asserts that the "outer square" agrees with (4.6), up to another application of the universal coefficient theorem $H^{q}(L)^{\wedge} \cong H_{q}(L)$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Remark 4.9. Note that the second differential can also be identified with the composite

$$
H^{r}\left(G, H^{s+1} L\right) \xrightarrow{H^{r}\left(G, \alpha_{s}\right)} H^{r}\left(G, H^{s} L\right) \rightarrow H^{r+2}\left(G, H^{s} L\right)
$$

where the second map is the map coming from taking the cup product with the generator of the group $H_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, which is the two-periodicity isomorphism if $r \geq 1$. Since we have first fixed a choice of generator of $G$ and then chosen the generator $H_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ accordingly, the map above is indeed independent of the choice of generator of $G$.
4.3. Obstructions for the existence of a compatible group action. These classes serve as obstructions for the existence of a compatible action in the sense of [1. Definition 2.1]. Let us recall their definition here.

Definition 4.10 (Compatible group action). Let $K$ be an arbitrary group acting $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly on the abelian group $A$. Suppose that $P_{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a free resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z} A$-module $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z} A$. Then we say that $P_{*}$ admits a compatible $K$-action if there is an augmentation-preserving chain map $t_{k}: P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*}$ for each $k \in K$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $t_{k}(p \cdot a)=a^{k} \cdot t_{k}(p)$ for all $a \in A, k \in K, p \in P_{*}$, where $a^{k}$ denotes the action of $k$ on $A$,
(2) $t_{k} t_{k^{\prime}}=t_{k k^{\prime}}$ for all $k, k^{\prime} \in K$,
(3) $t_{1}=1_{P_{*}}$.

Notice that (1) is equivalent to saying that $t_{k} \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, P_{*}^{k}\right)$.
Now let $G$ be the cyclic group of order $m$ as before. The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the definitions and one should think of a compatible action just as described below. A free resolution $P_{*}$ is called special if the differential of the complex $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(P_{*}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is zero.

Lemma 4.11. There is a compatible action of $G$ on a special free resolution $P_{*}$ if and only if the chain map $z$ can be chosen in such a way that $z^{m}=1$. If this is the case, then all the Ext-classes $\left[\alpha_{s}\right]$ for $s \geq 0$ are zero.

Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be a basis of the abelian a group $L$. We denote by $(l)$ (with $l \in L)$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis elements of $\mathbb{Z} L$; in particular, ( 0 ) is the unit of the ring $\mathbb{Z} L$. From now on, we will have a particular projective $\mathbb{Z} L$ resolution $P_{*}$ of the trivial $\mathbb{Z} L$-module $\mathbb{Z}$ in mind, namely, the Koszul complex, which is defined as follows. As $\mathbb{Z} L$-module, $P_{i}$ is free of rank $\binom{n}{i}$ with generators $\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{i}\right], 1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<$ $i_{i} \leq n$. The differential $\partial$ is given by

$$
\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{i}\right] \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j-1}\left((0)-\left(e_{j}\right)\right) \cdot\left[i_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{i_{j}}, \ldots, i_{i}\right]
$$

Lemma 4.12. Let $m=4, n=3$, and let $\rho$ be given by the integral matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$.
Then $\left[\alpha_{1}\right] \neq 0$, and so there is no compatible $G$-action in this case. Nevertheless, the associated Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence collapses.

Proof. We start by writing down the beginning of an explicit choice of chain map $z: \tau^{*} P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*}$. Let $z: \tau^{*} P_{0} \rightarrow P_{0}$ be the map $\tau^{-1}$, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
z: \tau^{*} P_{1} & \rightarrow P_{1} \\
{[1] } & \mapsto[2] \\
{[2] } & \mapsto-\left(-e_{1}\right)[1] \\
{[3] } & \mapsto[1]+\left(e_{1}\right)[3] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us determine $z^{4}: P_{1} \rightarrow P_{1}$. We have

$$
[1] \xrightarrow{z}[2] \xrightarrow{z}-\left(-e_{1}\right)[1] \xrightarrow{z}-\left(-e_{2}\right)[2] \xrightarrow{z}[1] .
$$

Notice here, for instance, that $z\left(-\left(-e_{2}\right)[2]\right)=-\left(\rho^{-1}\left(-e_{2}\right)\right) \cdot z([2])=\left(e_{1}\right)\left(-e_{1}\right)[1]=$ [1]. From the computation we get $z^{4}([1])=[1]$ and $z^{4}([2])=[2]$. Now,

$$
[3] \xrightarrow{z}[1]+\left(e_{1}\right)[3] \xrightarrow{z}[2]+\left(e_{2}\right)\left([1]+\left(e_{1}\right)[3]\right)=\left(e_{2}\right)[1]+[2]+\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)[3] .
$$

Therefore, $z^{4}$ maps [3] to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)[1]-\left(-e_{2}\right)[2]+\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\left(\left(e_{2}\right)[1]+[2]+\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)[3]\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\left(-e_{1}\right)-\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\right) \cdot[1]+\left(-\left(-e_{2}\right)+\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}\right)\right) \cdot[2]+[3]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we start choosing $y$. Let $y: P_{0} \rightarrow P_{1}$ be the zero map. Furthermore, we can put $y([1])=y([2])=0$. For $y([3])$, we have to choose a lift of $z^{4}([3])-[3]$ along $\partial$; one such lift is

$$
y([3])=\left(-e_{1}-e_{2}\right) \cdot[1,2]
$$

Now we will show that $\left[\alpha_{1}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\Lambda^{2} L^{\wedge}, L^{\wedge}\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Lambda^{2} L^{\wedge}, L^{\wedge}\right)\right)$ is non-zero. For any two $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules $U, V$, we have a natural pairing

$$
\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(U, V) \otimes\left(U \otimes V^{\wedge}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

given by $f \otimes(u \otimes v) \mapsto v(f(u))$. Consider the exterior cup product followed by that map:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(U, V)\right) \otimes \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} G}\left(\mathbb{Z}, U \otimes V^{\wedge}\right) \xrightarrow{\cup} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}
$$

Now put $U=\Lambda^{2} L^{\wedge}$ and $V=L^{\wedge}$, and denote by $e_{1}^{\wedge}, e_{2}^{\wedge}, e_{3}^{\wedge}$ the dual basis for $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$. Then

$$
a=\left(e_{1}^{\wedge} \wedge e_{2}^{\wedge}\right) \otimes\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+2 e_{3}\right)-\left(e_{1}^{\wedge} \wedge e_{3}^{\wedge}\right) \otimes e_{3}+\left(e_{2}^{\wedge} \wedge e_{3}^{\wedge}\right) \otimes\left(e_{2}+e_{3}\right)
$$

is a $G$-invariant element of $U \otimes V^{\wedge}$. Under the pairing $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(U, V) \otimes\left(U \otimes V^{\wedge}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ mentioned above we get $\alpha_{1} \otimes a=2$. This implies $\left[\alpha_{1}\right] \cup a=2 \in \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$, and hence $\left[\alpha_{1}\right] \neq 0$.

The collapse of the spectral sequence was noted in [1, page 350].
Remark 4.13. If $n \leq 2$, then there always exists a compatible group action on the Koszul resolution by [2, Theorem 3.1]. Hence our example for a lattice without compatible group action on the Koszul resolution appearing in Theorem 4.12 has minimal rank, namely $n=3$.
4.4. An approach via free groups. Let us establish a connection to free groups. Denote by $F_{n}$ the free group in $n$ letters $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Let $\pi: F_{n} \rightarrow L$ be the surjection $x_{i} \mapsto e_{i}$, where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of $L$. Recall that for every group $X$, we have the lower central series

$$
X=\Gamma_{1} X \supset \Gamma_{2} X \supset \Gamma_{3} X \supset \cdots,
$$

where $\Gamma_{i} X$ is defined inductively by $\Gamma_{i+1} X=\left[X, \Gamma_{i} X\right]$ for $i \geq 1$. In particular $\Gamma_{2} X$ is the commutator subgroup $[X, X]$ and $\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{2} X$ is commutator subgroup of the commutator subgroup of $X$. Denote by $\Gamma_{3} / \Gamma_{2} X$ the quotient $\Gamma_{2} X / \Gamma_{3} X=$ $[X, X] /[X,[X, X]]$. Notice that $\operatorname{ker} \pi=\Gamma_{2} F_{n}$. The map $\pi$ also induces a map $F_{n} / \Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{2} F_{n} \rightarrow L$.

Theorem 4.14. Let $K$ be an arbitrary group acting on the lattice $L$. There is a compatible $K$-action on the Koszul resolution $P_{*}$ if and only if the $K$-action on $L$ can be lifted to a $K$-action on $F_{n} / \Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{2} F_{n}$.

For the proof we need some preparation. Let $\iota: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} L=P_{0}$ be the inclusion of sets given by $l \mapsto(0)-(l)$, and define the subset $M \subset \mathbb{Z} L^{n}=P_{1}$ to be $M=$ $\partial^{-1}(\iota(L))$, so that we get a commutative diagram


We define a new monoid structure on $M$ by $m_{1} \diamond m_{2}=m_{1}+m_{2}-\left(\partial m_{1}\right) \cdot m_{2}$. This element of $P_{1}$ indeed lies in the subset $M$, because if $\partial m_{i}=(0)-\left(l_{i}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial\left(m_{1}+m_{2}-\left(\partial m_{1}\right) \cdot m_{2}\right) & =\partial\left(m_{1}\right)+\partial\left(m_{2}\right)-\left((0)-\left(l_{1}\right)\right) \cdot \partial\left(m_{2}\right)  \tag{4.15}\\
& =(0)-\left(l_{1}\right)+\left(l_{1}\right)\left((0)-\left(l_{2}\right)\right)=(0)-\left(l_{1}+l_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The composition $\diamond$ is associative, and $0 \in P_{1}$ serves as unit element of $M$. Equation (4.15) shows that $a: M \rightarrow L$ is a homomorphism of monoids.
Remark 4.16 (Geometric picture). At this point, it might be helpful to have a geometric picture in mind. Let $X$ be the CW-complex with a 0 -cell for every element of $L$ and a 1-cell joining $l$ and $l+e_{i}$ for every $l$ and every $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. One should think of $X$ as the "grid" in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then the cellular chain complex is given by $\mathbb{Z} L$ in dimension 0 , and $\mathbb{Z} L^{n}$ in dimension 1 , where the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis element corresponding to $[i] l$ belongs to the 1 -cell from $l$ to $l+e_{i}$. Then the differential of the cellular chain complex is exactly the differential $\partial: \mathbb{Z} L^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} L$ of the Koszul complex. The elements of $M$ can then be thought of those 1-chains which can be written as a sum of cycles and a single path joining 0 and some $l \in L$. The function $a: M \rightarrow L$ returns the endpoint $l$, and the equation $m_{1} \diamond m_{2}=m_{1}+m_{2} \cdot\left(a\left(m_{1}\right)\right)$ shows that the product $\diamond$ simply translates $m_{2}$ in such a way that the two paths can be concatenated, the path of $m_{1} \diamond m_{2}$ being the concatenation of the two paths. This makes it clear that $a$ is a homomorphism of monoids.

Lemma 4.17. The monoid $M$ is a group generated by the $\mathbb{Z} L$-basis elements of $P_{1}=\mathbb{Z} L^{n}$.

Proof. Let $a_{i}=[i]$ be the $\mathbb{Z} L$-basis elements of $P_{1}$, and define the elements $\bar{a}_{i}=$ $-\left(-e_{i}\right) \cdot[i]$ (with $\left.i=1,2, \ldots, n\right)$. In our geometric picture $a_{i}$ and $\bar{a}_{i}$ correspond to paths from 0 to $e_{i}$ and $-e_{i}$, respectively. Note that $a_{i} \diamond \bar{a}_{i}=\bar{a}_{i} \diamond a_{i}=0$, and define $T$ to be the submonoid of $M$ generated by all these elements. We first of all prove that for every $l \in L$, there are elements $\gamma_{l}, \bar{\gamma}_{l} \in T$ with $a\left(\gamma_{l}\right)=l, \gamma_{l} \diamond \bar{\gamma}_{l}=\bar{\gamma}_{l} \diamond \gamma_{l}=0$ and $\gamma_{l}+l \cdot \bar{\gamma}_{l}=0 \in P_{1}$. This is true for $l=e_{i}$ (because we can then take $a_{i}$ and $\left.\bar{a}_{i}\right)$, and if it is true for $l, k \in L$, then $\gamma_{k+l}=\gamma_{l} \diamond \gamma_{k}$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{k+l}=\bar{\gamma}_{k} \diamond \bar{\gamma}_{l}$ do the job. Geometrically, we have shown so far that for every $l \in L$ there is a path $\gamma_{l}$ in $T$ joining 0 and $l$, and the reverse path $\bar{\gamma}_{l}$ also belongs to $T$.

Now let $s \in M$; we want to show that $s \in T$. By passing to $s \diamond \bar{\gamma}_{a(s)}$, we can assume that $a(s)=0$. Geometrically this means that we close the path of $s$ by joining the endpoint $a(s)$ with our chosen path $\gamma$; then we obtain a new element consisting of cycles only.

But restricted to $a^{-1}(0)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\partial: P_{1} \rightarrow P_{0}\right)=\partial\left(P_{2}\right), \diamond$ is just the ordinary addition in $P_{1}$, so it is enough to prove $s \in T$ for the elements

$$
s=l \cdot \partial[i, j]=l[j]-\left(e_{i}+l\right)[j]-l[i]+\left(e_{j}+l\right)[i] \quad \text { with } i<j \text { and } l \in L .
$$

But $\gamma_{l} \diamond t \diamond \bar{\gamma}_{l}=l \cdot t \in P_{1}$ for every $t \in M$ with $a(t)=0$, so if we prove that $\partial[i, j]=a_{j} \diamond a_{i} \diamond \bar{a}_{j} \diamond \bar{a}_{i}$ then we are done. In the space $X$, both sides correspond to the path running around the unit square in $e_{i} \times e_{j}$-direction, but let us give a formal proof. Using $a\left(\bar{a}_{j}\right)=-e_{j}, a\left(a_{j}\right)=e_{j}$ and $a\left(a_{i}\right)=e_{i}$ we get successively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a}_{j} \diamond \bar{a}_{i} & =\bar{a}_{j}+\left(-e_{j}\right) \cdot \bar{a}_{i} \\
a_{i} \diamond\left(\bar{a}_{j} \diamond \bar{a}_{i}\right) & =a_{i}+\left(e_{i}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{j}+\left(-e_{j}\right) \cdot \bar{a}_{i}\right) \\
a_{j} \diamond\left(a_{i} \diamond\left(\bar{a}_{j} \diamond \bar{a}_{i}\right)\right) & =a_{j}+\left(e_{j}\right)\left(a_{i}+\left(e_{i}\right)\left(\bar{a}_{j}+\left(-e_{j}\right) \cdot \bar{a}_{i}\right)\right) \\
& =a_{j}+\left(e_{j}\right) a_{i}+\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right) \bar{a}_{j}+\left(e_{i}\right) \bar{a}_{i} \\
& =[j]+\left(e_{j}\right)[i]-\left(e_{i}\right)[j]-[i] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last expression agrees with $\partial[i, j]$.

Lemma 4.18. For every element $k \in K$, the inclusions of sets $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} L^{n}$ and $L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} L$ induce a bijection of commutative diagrams


Proof. First of all, we show that restriction along the inclusions gives us a welldefined map $\Psi$ from the right to the left. Let us start with a map $f: \mathbb{Z} L^{n} \rightarrow$ $\left(\tau^{k}\right)^{*} \mathbb{Z} L^{n}$ such that $\partial f=\tau^{k} \partial$. If $m \in M$, then $\partial f(m)=\tau^{k} \partial m=\tau^{k}((0)-$ $(a(m)))=(0)-\left(\rho^{k} a(m)\right)$, and therefore $f(m) \in M$, and $a(f(m))=\rho^{k} a(m)$ so that we get a commutative square as desired. The restriction $f^{\prime}$ of $f$ is indeed a group homomorphism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}\left(m_{1}\right) \diamond f^{\prime}\left(m_{2}\right) & =f\left(m_{1}\right)+f\left(m_{2}\right)-\left(\partial f\left(m_{1}\right)\right) \cdot f\left(m_{2}\right) \\
& \left.=f\left(m_{1}\right)+f\left(m_{2}\right)-\left(\tau^{k}\left(\partial m_{1}\right)\right)\right) \cdot f\left(m_{2}\right) \\
& =f\left(m_{1}+m_{2}-\left(\partial m_{1}\right) \cdot m_{2}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(m_{1} \diamond m_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Psi$ is injective because any two different $f$ differ at some $\mathbb{Z} L$-basis element $[j]$ which belongs to $M$, so that the restriction $M \rightarrow M$ still sees the difference. $\Psi$ is surjective because given $f^{\prime}: M \rightarrow M$, we can define $f$ on basis elements by $[j] \mapsto f^{\prime}([j])$ and get a commutative diagram as needed; then $f^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $f$ because of Lemma 4.17.

We still have to identify the group $M$.
Lemma 4.19. The surjective map $F_{n} \rightarrow M$ sending the generator $x_{i}$ to the generator $a_{i}$ has kernel $\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{2} F_{n}$.

Proof. Denote the kernel in question by $N$, and let $U$ be the kernel of the surjective $\operatorname{map} M \xrightarrow{a} L$; this is the same as the kernel of $\partial: \mathbb{Z} L^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} L$. We get a commutative diagram


We need to find the kernel of the map $\Gamma_{2} F_{n} \rightarrow U$. Let $X$ be the CW-complex from Remark 4.16. In the cellular chain complex $\mathbb{Z} L^{n} \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathbb{Z} L, U$ agrees with the 1-cycles, and since there are no 2-cells, we get $U=H_{1}(X)$. Furthermore, taking $0 \in L \subset X$ as basepoint, we have $\pi_{1}(X)=\Gamma_{2} F_{n}$, and the map $\pi_{1}(X)=\Gamma_{2} F_{n} \rightarrow U=H_{1}(X)$ is the Hurewicz map. Therefore, $N=\Gamma_{2} \pi_{1}(X)=\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{2} F_{n}$.
Proof of Theorem 4.14. If there is a compatible action of $K$ on the Koszul complex, we in particular get maps $f_{k}: P_{1} \rightarrow\left(\tau^{k}\right)^{*} P_{1}$ for every $k \in K$, satisfying $f_{k l}=f_{k} f_{l}$ for all $k, l \in K$. Then Lemma 4.18 tells us that the $K$-action on $L$ lifts to a $K$ action on $M$. Conversely, given a $K$-action on $M$, the same Lemma provides us with compatible maps $f_{k}$, and we get a compatible action by [1, Theorem 3.1].
Corollary 4.20. If the map $\rho: L \rightarrow L$ given by multiplication with a generator of $G \cong \mathbb{Z} / m$ can be lifted to a map $f: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n}$ such that $f^{m}=1$, then there is a compatible $G$-action on $P_{*}$.

Example 4.21 (Permutation modules). Suppose that $L$ is a permutation module, so there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ and $\rho$ acts as $e_{i} \mapsto e_{\sigma(i)}$ for some permutation $\sigma$. Then $\rho$ can be lifted by defining $f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{\sigma(i)}$. This yields the compatible action described in [1, Theorem 3.2].
Example 4.22 (Syzygies of permutation modules). Let $d$ be a divisor of $m$, and put $L=\mathbb{Z} G /\left(1+t^{d}+t^{2 d}+\cdots+t^{m-d}\right)$. Then $L$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m-d-1}$, and $\rho$ acts as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{i} & \mapsto e_{i+1} & \text { for } i=0, \ldots, m-d-2 \\
e_{m-d-1} & \mapsto-e_{0}-e_{d}-e_{2 d}-\cdots-e_{m-2 d} &
\end{aligned}
$$

We can lift this action to $F_{n}$ by defining $f\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i+1}$ for $i=0,1, \ldots m-d-2$, and $f\left(x_{m-d-1}\right)=x_{0}^{-1} x_{d}^{-1} \ldots x_{m-2 d}^{-1}$. In order to check that $f^{m}=1$, note that
$\left.f^{d}\left(x_{m-d-1}\right)\right)=f^{d-1}\left(f\left(x_{m-d-1}\right)=f^{d-1}\left(x_{0}^{-1} x_{d}^{-1} \ldots x_{m-2 d}^{-1}\right)=x_{d-1}^{-1} x_{2 d-1}^{-1} \ldots x_{m-d-1}^{-1}\right.$, and so $f^{d+1}\left(x_{m-d-1}\right)=x_{d}^{-1} x_{2 d}^{-1} \ldots x_{m-2 d}^{-1} f\left(x_{m-d-1}^{-1}\right)=x_{0}$, which implies $f^{m}\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $x_{0}$.

For $d=1$ we get the augmentation ideal $L=I \subset \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z} G)$, which was dealt with in [1, Proposition 3.3].

We record the for us main important example in
Lemma 4.23. In the case $L=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ there exists a compatible group action on the Koszul resolution.
Proof. This follows from Example 4.22 and (1.3).
The approach via free groups also provides us with a technique for computing the cohomology class $\left[\alpha_{1}\right]$.

Lemma 4.24. Let $G=\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ be a cyclic group acting on the lattice $L$. If the homomorphism of groups $f: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n}$ is a lift of $\rho^{-1}: L \rightarrow L$, then the map of sets $\varphi: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n}, x \mapsto f^{m}(x) x^{-1}$ induces a commutative diagram of group homomorphisms

and the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual of the connecting homomorphism of the snake lemma $L \rightarrow \Gamma_{2} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n} \cong$ $\Lambda^{2} L$ yields the cohomology class $-\left[\alpha_{1}\right]$.
Proof. As a map $\varphi: F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n}$ we have for $x, y \in F_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x y) & =f^{m}(x) f^{m}(y) y^{-1} x^{-1} \\
& =f^{m}(x) x^{-1}\left[x, f^{m}(y) y^{-1}\right] f^{m}(y) y^{-1} \\
& \in f^{m}(x) x^{-1} f^{m}(y) y^{-1} \cdot \Gamma_{3} F_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\varphi$ is a group homomorphism $F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n}$. Furthermore, if $y$ is in $\Gamma_{3} F_{n}$ then so is $f^{m}(y) y^{-1}$, so $\varphi$ induces a homomorphism $F_{n} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n} \rightarrow F_{n} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n}$. The map $\Gamma_{2} / \Gamma_{3} f: \Gamma_{2} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n}=\Lambda^{2} L \rightarrow \Gamma_{2} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n}=\Lambda^{2} L$ is $\Lambda^{2} \rho^{-1}$, so that we indeed get a diagram as claimed.

The map $f$ induces a map $M \rightarrow M$ which in turn gives us a map $\tau^{*} \mathbb{Z} L^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} L^{n}$ by Lemma 4.18. The latter can be extended to a map of chain complexes $z: \tau^{*} P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*}$, and we can find a map $y: P_{*} \rightarrow P_{*+1}$ with $y_{0}=0$ and $d y=z^{m}-1$. We claim that $-\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y_{1}$ is the map $L \rightarrow \Lambda^{2} L$ of the statement; then we are done because of $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y_{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}\left(y_{1}, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=\alpha_{1}$.

Recall from the proof of Lemma 4.19 that the kernel $U$ of $\partial: P_{1} \rightarrow P_{0}$ is the kernel of the map $a: M \rightarrow L$, and we have a map $p: \Gamma_{2} F_{n} \rightarrow U$. The map $P_{2}=$ $\mathbb{Z} L \otimes \Lambda^{2} L \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}} \Lambda^{2} L$ factors as $P_{2} \xrightarrow{\partial} U \xrightarrow{v} \Lambda^{2} L$ for some map $v$. When we view $U$ as the cycles of the space $X$ (as in the proof of Lemma4.19), then $v$ maps every cycle to its "area". The map $v$ is $\mathbb{Z} L$-linear when we equip $\Lambda^{2} L$ with the trivial $\mathbb{Z} L$-module structure. Now we claim that the composition $\Gamma_{2} F_{n} \xrightarrow{p} U \xrightarrow{v} \Lambda^{2} L=\Gamma_{2} / \Gamma_{3} F_{n}$ is the projection map multiplied by $(-1)$. To see this, let us start with $\gamma u \gamma^{-1}$ with $\gamma \in F_{n}$ and $u \in \Gamma_{2} F_{n}$. Then $p\left(\gamma u \gamma^{-1}\right)=\gamma \diamond p(u) \diamond \gamma^{-1}$, and the latter is easily verified to be $p(u) \cdot(a(\gamma)) \in P_{1}$; since $v$ is $\mathbb{Z} L$-linear, $v\left(p\left(\gamma u \gamma^{-1}\right)\right)=v(p(u))$ and $v(p([\gamma, u]))=0$. We have therefore shown that $v p$ maps $\Gamma_{3} F_{n}$ to 0 , and now it is enough to verify that $\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]$ maps to $-e_{i} \wedge e_{j}$. But $p\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]\right)=a_{i} \diamond a_{j} \diamond a_{i}^{-1} \diamond a_{j}^{-1}=-\partial[i, j]$, so we have proved the claim.

Finally we show that $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y_{1}$ is the desired map. Start with a generator $[i] \in$ $P_{1}$; in fact, $[i] \in M$ and $x_{i}$ maps to $[i]$ under the map $\pi: F_{n} \rightarrow M$. By construction of $z$, the element $f^{m}\left(x_{i}\right) \in F_{n}$ maps to $z_{1}^{m}([i])$ under $\pi$, and $\pi\left(f^{m}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}^{-1}\right)=$ $z_{1}^{m}([i])-[i]$. Furthermore, $f^{m}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}^{-1} \in \Gamma_{2} F_{n}$ and $p\left(f^{m}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}^{-1}\right)=z_{1}^{m}([i])-[i] \in U$. Now the composite

$$
P_{1} \xrightarrow{y_{1}} P_{2}=\mathbb{Z} L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{2} L \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id}} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{2} L=\Lambda^{2} L
$$

applied to $[i]$ is the same as

$$
v \partial y_{1}([i])=v\left(z_{1}^{m}[i]-[i]\right)=v p\left(f^{m}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}^{-1}\right)
$$

and we are done.
Example 4.25. The lemma makes it even easier to compute $\alpha_{1}$ in Lemma 4.12, The map $\rho^{-1}$ is given by the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, so we can lift this to the free group as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f: F_{3} & \rightarrow F_{3} \\
x_{1} & \mapsto x_{2} \\
x_{2} & \mapsto x_{1}^{-1} \\
x_{3} & \mapsto x_{1} x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $f^{4}$ maps $x_{1}$ to $x_{1}, x_{2}$ to $x_{2}$, and

$$
x_{3} \mapsto x_{1} x_{3} \mapsto x_{2} x_{1} x_{3} \mapsto x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} x_{1} x_{3} \mapsto x_{2}^{-1} x_{1}^{-1} x_{2} x_{1} x_{3}=\left[x_{2}^{-1}, x_{1}^{-1}\right] x_{3}
$$

Therefore, the map $L \rightarrow \Lambda^{2} L$ sends $e_{1}, e_{2}$ to 0 and $e_{3}$ to $-e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$, which is indeed $-\alpha_{1}$ of what we already computed in Lemma 4.12.

## 5. Proof of Theorem 0.5

Proof of Theorem 0.5. Because of Lemma 1.2 it suffices treat the special case, where $m=p^{r}$ for some prime number $p$ and natural number $r$ and $L=\mathbb{Z}(\zeta)^{k}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}(\zeta)$ for some natural number $k$. By Lemma 4.23 there exists a compatible group action. Now we can apply [2, Theorem 2.3]).

## 6. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

In this section we prove the existence of a counterexample, namely, Theorem 0.6, Some preparations are needed.

The next lemma shows that the maps $\alpha_{s}$ can be assumed to be of a special form.

Lemma 6.1. Let $z_{0}=\tau^{-1}$ and $y_{0}=0$. Suppose that $z_{1}: \tau^{*} P_{1} \rightarrow P_{1}$ and $y_{1}: P_{1} \rightarrow$ $P_{2}$ are given such that $\partial z_{1}=z_{0} \partial$ and $\partial y_{1}=z_{1}^{m}-1$. Then one can extend $z$ and $y$ in such a way that $\partial y+y \partial=z^{m}-1$ and the map $\alpha_{s}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual of the composition

$$
\Lambda^{s} L \rightarrow L \otimes \Lambda^{s-1} L \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1} \otimes 1} \Lambda^{2} L \otimes \Lambda^{s-1} L \xrightarrow{\mu} \Lambda^{s+1} L .
$$

Here, the first and the last map are the comultiplication and the multiplication of $\Lambda^{*} L$, respectively (see, e.g., [3, I.2]).

Proof. This follows readily from [6, Theorem 6 on in II. 1 page 543] and its proof, but for convenience we give an adapted version of the proof here. Notice that $P_{i}=$ $\mathbb{Z} L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{i} L$, and we therefore get a multiplication $\bullet$ on $P_{*}$ by tensoring the algebras $\mathbb{Z} L$ and $\Lambda^{*} L$. This turns $\left(P_{*}, \partial\right)$ and $\left(\tau^{*} P_{*}, \partial\right)$ into graded commutative differential graded algebras, and there is a unique way of extending $z_{1}$ multiplicatively and $\mathbb{Z} L$-linearly. Explicitly, it is given by the formula

$$
l \cdot\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{i}\right] \mapsto \rho^{-1}(l) \cdot z_{1}\left(\left[i_{1}\right]\right) \bullet \cdots \bullet z_{1}\left(\left[i_{i}\right]\right)
$$

One easily checks that $z$ is a chain map. Since this map is multiplicative, we get that $z^{m}$ is given by

$$
z^{m}: l \cdot\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{i}\right] \mapsto l \cdot z_{1}^{m}\left(\left[i_{1}\right]\right) \bullet \cdots \bullet z_{1}^{m}\left(\left[i_{i}\right]\right)
$$

Now we define $y$ to be the $\mathbb{Z} L$-linear map given by
$y:\left[i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{i}\right] \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j-1} z_{1}^{m}\left(\left[i_{1}\right]\right) \bullet \cdots \bullet z_{1}^{m}\left(\left[i_{j-1}\right]\right) \bullet y_{1}\left(\left[i_{j}\right]\right) \bullet\left[i_{j+1}\right] \bullet \cdots \bullet\left[i_{i}\right]$.
Next we show that $\partial y+y \partial=z^{m}-1$ as maps $P_{s} \rightarrow P_{s}$ for $s=0,1,2 \ldots$ We proceed by induction over $s$. The induction beginning $s=0,1$ follows from the definitions and assumptions, the induction step from $s-1$ to $s \geq 2$ is done as follows. Consider $\alpha=\left[i_{1}, \ldots, i_{a}\right]$ with $a \geq 1$ and $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{a}$ and $\beta=\left[j_{1}, \ldots, j_{b}\right]$ with $b \geq 1$ and $j_{1}<\cdots<j_{b}$ such that $a+b=s$ and $i_{a}<j_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\alpha \bullet \beta)=y(\alpha) \bullet \beta+(-1)^{|\alpha|} z^{m}(\alpha) \bullet y(\beta) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

by definition. This observation generalizes as follows: let us call a pair $\alpha, \beta \in P_{s}$ admissible if we can write $\alpha=\sum_{r} x_{r}\left[i_{1}^{r}, \ldots, i_{a}^{r}\right]$ and $\beta=\sum_{s} y_{s}\left[j_{1}^{s}, \ldots, j_{b}^{s}\right]$ with $i_{1}^{r}<\cdots<i_{a}^{r}<j_{1}^{s}<\cdots<j_{b}^{s}$ and $x_{r}, y_{s} \in \mathbb{Z} L$ for all $r, s$. Then (6.3) holds, and every element in $P_{s}$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $\alpha \bullet \beta$ with $(\alpha, \beta)$ admissible, so it is enough to prove $(\partial y+y \partial)(\alpha \bullet \beta)=\left(z^{m}-1\right)(\alpha \bullet \beta)$ in that case.

We directly deduce from (6.3) that

$$
\partial y(\alpha \bullet \beta)=\partial y(\alpha) \bullet \beta-(-1)^{|\alpha|} y(\alpha) \bullet \partial \beta+(-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial z^{m}(\alpha) \bullet y(\beta)+z^{m}(\alpha) \bullet \partial y(\beta)
$$

On the other hand, $\partial(\alpha \bullet \beta)=\partial \alpha \bullet \beta+(-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \bullet \partial \beta$, and since the pairs $(\partial \alpha, \beta)$ and $(\alpha, \partial \beta)$ are admissible as well, we can use (6.3) again and get
$y(\partial(\alpha \bullet \beta))=y(\partial \alpha) \bullet \beta-(-1)^{|\alpha|} z^{m}(\partial \alpha) \bullet y(\beta)+(-1)^{|\alpha|} y(\alpha) \bullet \partial \beta+z^{m}(\alpha) \bullet y(\partial \beta)$.
Adding these equations using the induction hypothesis $\partial y+y \partial(\alpha)=\left(z^{m}-1\right)(\alpha)$ and $\partial y+y \partial(\beta)=\left(z^{m}-1\right)(\beta)$, we get

$$
(\partial y+y \partial)(\alpha \bullet \beta)=\left(z^{m}-1\right)(\alpha) \bullet \beta+z^{m}(\alpha) \bullet\left(z^{m}-1\right)(\beta)=\left(z^{m}-1\right)(\alpha \bullet \beta)
$$

Having defined $y$ and $z$ and shown $\partial y+y \partial=z^{m}-1$, we are now ready to finish the proof of Lemma 6.1. Notice that there is a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z} L}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong$ $\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} X, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for $\mathbb{Z} L$-modules $X$, so it remains to compute $\bar{y}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y$. Using
the fact that $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} z^{m}$ is the identity, we get from (6.2) that $\bar{y}_{i}: \Lambda^{i} L \rightarrow \Lambda^{i+1} L$ is given by

$$
e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{i}} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j-1} e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{y}_{1}\left(e_{i_{j}}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{i}}
$$

This agrees with the composition given in the statement of the lemma.
Theorem 6.4. Suppose that $L=X \oplus \Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}$ for some $\mathbb{Z} G$-module $X$ whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is free of finite rank. If in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence the $d_{2}$-differential

$$
H^{*}\left(G, H^{3}(L)\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(G, H^{2}(L)\right)
$$

is zero, then the class $\left[\alpha_{1}^{X}\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}, X^{\wedge}\right)$ vanishes.
Proof. Let $L=X \oplus Y$ for $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules $X$ and $Y$. We know that $X \otimes Y$ is a direct summand of $\Lambda^{2} L$ as $\mathbb{Z} G$-module from the exponential law (1.8), and similarly $\Lambda^{2} X \otimes Y$ is a direct summand of $\Lambda^{3} L$. In the the sequel we denote by $\iota$ the inclusions of and by $\pi$ obvious projections onto direct summands.

Next we prove that the diagram

commutes if we choose the maps $z, y$ carefully. To do so, let $P_{*}^{X}, P_{*}^{Y}$ be the Koszul complexes associated with $X$ and $Y$, respectively, and choose maps $z_{1}^{X}: \mathbb{Z} X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$ $X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X, y_{1}^{X}: \mathbb{Z} X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} X \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{2} X, z_{1}^{Y}: \mathbb{Z} Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y$, and $y_{1}^{Y}: \mathbb{Z} Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} Y \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{2} Y$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{1}^{L} & =\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z} L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} X} z_{1}^{X}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z} L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} Y} z_{1}^{Y}\right): \tau^{*}(\mathbb{Z} L \otimes L) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \\
y_{1}^{L} & =\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z} L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \iota\right) \circ\left(\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z} L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} X} y_{1}^{X}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z} L} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} Y} y_{1}^{Y}\right)\right): \mathbb{Z} L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda^{2} L .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition, the diagram

commutes (and similarly for $Y$ ). Now we use Lemma 6.1 to get maps $z^{L}$ and $y^{L}$,.
It remains to show that $X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\bar{y}^{X} \otimes \mathrm{id}} \Lambda^{2} X \otimes Y$ equals the composition

$$
X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\iota} \Lambda^{2} L \xrightarrow{\nabla} L \otimes L \xrightarrow{\bar{y}^{L} \otimes \mathrm{id}} \Lambda^{2} L \otimes L \xrightarrow{\mu} \Lambda^{3} L \xrightarrow{\pi} \Lambda^{2} X \otimes Y
$$

where $\bar{y}^{L}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z} L} y, \nabla$ is the comultiplication and $\mu$ the multiplication of $\Lambda^{*} L$. So let us start with $a \otimes b \in X \otimes Y$; then $\bar{y}^{L} \otimes 1 \circ \nabla$ maps it to $\bar{y}(a) \otimes b-\bar{y}(b) \otimes a \in$ $\Lambda^{2} X \otimes Y \oplus \Lambda^{2} Y \otimes X \subset \Lambda^{2} L \otimes L$. But $\pi \mu$ is the identity on the first summand and zero on the second one. We have therefore shown that (6.5) commutes.

Dualizing the diagram (6.5) yields


Now put $Y=\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}$. Then the bottom row maps id $\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}$ to $\alpha_{1}^{X} \in \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}, X^{\wedge}\right)$. This implies that the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2} & \left(\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\operatorname{id}_{\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}},\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left., \alpha_{1}^{X}\right)\right): \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}, \Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}, X^{\wedge}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}, X^{\wedge}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

contains the class $\left[\alpha_{1}^{X}\right]$ in its image. The second differential $d_{2}$ is by assumption zero and agrees by Lemma 4.2 with the composite

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \alpha_{2}^{L}\right): \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda^{3} L^{\wedge}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda^{2} L^{\wedge}\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{4}\left(\mathbb{Z}, \Lambda^{2} L^{\wedge}\right),
$$

where the last map is the periodicity isomorphism. We conclude from the diagram above that the map $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z} G}^{2}\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\operatorname{id}_{\Lambda^{2} X^{\wedge}}, \alpha_{1}^{X}\right)\right)$ is trivial and hence $\left[\alpha_{1}^{X}\right]$ vanishes.

Proof of Theorem 0.6. This follows directly from Theorem 6.4 and Lemma 4.12 ,

In order prove Corollary 0.7 we need:
Lemma 6.6. Let $G^{\prime} \rightarrow G$ be a surjection of finite cyclic groups, and let us regard any $\mathbb{Z} G$-module as $\mathbb{Z} G^{\prime}$-module via this map.
(i) For every $\mathbb{Z} G$-module $X$ whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is free, the induced map $H^{2}(G, X) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G^{\prime}, X\right)$ is injective;
(ii) Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{Z} G$-module as above; then the class $\left[\alpha_{1}^{G}\right]$ maps to the class $\left[\alpha_{1}^{G^{\prime}}\right]$ under the map $H^{2}\left(G, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Lambda^{2} L, L\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G^{\prime}, \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\Lambda^{2} L, L\right)\right)$.
Proof. (i) The spectral sequence for the extension $\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / d m \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}$ yields an exact sequence

$$
\cdots \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbb{Z} / m, H^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}, X)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathbb{Z} / m \mathbb{Z}, X) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathbb{Z} / d m \mathbb{Z}, X) \rightarrow \cdots
$$

Since $X$ is torsion-free and $\mathbb{Z} / d$ acts trivially on it, $H^{1}(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}, X)$ and hence also the first group are trivial, and therefore the second map is injective.
(ii) This follows from [6, I.2, Theorem 3 in I. 2 on page 538].

Proof of Corollary 0.7. (i) This follows from Theorem 0.6 and Lemma 6.6, (ii) This follows from [6, Corollary in II. 1 on page 543], Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 .

## 7. Group cohomology and the equivariant Euler characteristic

In this section we relate the cohomology of $\Gamma$ to the equivariant Euler characteristic of the finite $G$ - $C W$-complex $L \backslash \underline{E} \Gamma$.

Let $\operatorname{Sw}(G)$ be Swan's group, i.e., generators are isomorphism classes $[M]$ of $\mathbb{Z} G$ modules $M$ which are finitely generated as abelian groups, and every short exact
sequence $0 \rightarrow M_{0} \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2} \rightarrow 0$ of such modules yields the relation [ $M_{0}$ ] $\left[M_{1}\right]+\left[M_{2}\right]=0$. Next we define a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{h}: \operatorname{Sw}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{>0} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the multiplicative group of positive rational numbers. It sends the class of a $\mathbb{Z} G$ module $M$ which is finitely generated as abelian group to $\frac{\left|\widehat{H}^{0}(G ; M)\right|}{\left|\widehat{H}^{1}(G ; M)\right|}$. Notice that $\widehat{H}^{i}(G ; M)$ is a finite group for such $M$. In order to show that this is well-defined, we have to check for an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_{0} \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2} \rightarrow 0$ of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules which are finitely generated as abelian groups

$$
\frac{\left|\widehat{H}^{0}\left(G ; M_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|\widehat{H}^{1}\left(G ; M_{1}\right)\right|}=\frac{\left|\widehat{H}^{0}\left(G ; M_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|\widehat{H}^{1}\left(G ; M_{0}\right)\right|} \cdot \frac{\left|\widehat{H}^{0}\left(G ; M_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|\widehat{H}^{1}\left(G ; M_{2}\right)\right|} .
$$

This follows from the induced long exact sequence (see [5, (5.1) in VI. 5 on page 136])

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cdots \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; M_{0}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; M_{2}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i+1}\left(G ; M_{0}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i+1}\left(G ; M_{1}\right) \rightarrow \widehat{H}^{i+1}\left(G ; M_{2}\right) \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

which is compatible with the cup-product (see [5] (5.6) in VI. 5 on page 136]), and from the 2-periodicity of the Tate cohomology $\widehat{H}^{i}(G ; M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{H}^{i+2}(G ; M)$ coming from the cup-product with a generator of $\widehat{H}^{0}(G ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} / m$ (see [5]. Theorem 9.1 in VI. 9 on page 154]).

Given a $\mathbb{Z} G$-module $M$ which is finitely generated as abelian group, define its homological Euler characteristic by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{h}^{G}(M):=\sum_{i \geq 0}(-1)^{i} \cdot\left[H^{i}(M)\right] \in \operatorname{Sw}(G) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7.3. We get for all integers $k$ with $2 k>n$

$$
\widehat{h}\left(\chi_{h}^{G}(L)\right)=\frac{\left|H^{2 k}(\Gamma)\right|}{\left|H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma)\right|}
$$

Proof. Let $E_{r}^{*, *}$ be the $E_{r}$-term in the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated to $\Gamma=L \rtimes_{\phi} G$. Notice for the sequel that $E_{2}^{i, j}=H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)$ vanishes for $j>n$ and is finite for $i>0$ and hence the same statement holds for $E_{r}^{i, j}$ for $r=3,4 \ldots$ and $r=\infty$.

We first show for $r \geq 2$ the following equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i, j, i+j \in\{2 k, 2 k+1\}}\left|E_{r}^{i, j}\right|^{(-1)^{i+j}}=\prod_{i, j, i+j \in\{2 k, 2 k+1\}}\left|E_{r+1}^{i, j}\right|^{(-1)^{i+j}} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $E_{r}^{i, j}$ vanishes if $i$ or $j$ is negative. The differentials in the $E_{r}$-term yield for non-negative integers $a$ and $b \mathbb{Z} G$-chain complexes $C_{*}^{(a, b)}$ of $\mathbb{Z} G$-modules which are finitely generated as abelian groups if we put

$$
C_{*}=E_{r}^{a+r \cdot *, b-(r-1) \cdot *}
$$

If $a+b>n$, then $C_{*}^{(a, b)}$ is a finite-dimensional chain complex of finite abelian groups and hence we get

$$
\prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|C_{l}^{(a, b)}\right|^{(-1)^{l}}=\prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|H_{l}\left(C_{*}^{(a, b)}\right)\right|^{(-1)^{l}}
$$

Since $H_{l}\left(C_{*}^{(a, b)}\right)=E_{r+1}^{a+r \cdot l, b-(r-1) \cdot l}$, we conclude provided that $a+b>n$ holds

$$
\prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|E_{r}^{a+r \cdot l, b-(r-1) \cdot l}\right|^{(-1)^{l}}=\prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|E_{r+1}^{a+r \cdot l, b-(r-1) \cdot l}\right|^{(-1)^{l}}
$$

If we let $a$ run through $\{0,1, \ldots,(r-1)\}$ and $b$ through $\{2 k+j \mid j=0,1\}$ and take the product of the equalities above raised to the $(-1)^{a+j}$-th power for these values, we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{j=0}^{1} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid E_{r}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k+j-(r-1) \cdot l \mid(-1)^{a+j+l}} \\
&=\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{j=0}^{1} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|E_{r+1}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k+j-(r-1) \cdot l}\right|^{(-1)^{a+j+l}}
\end{aligned}
$$

One easily checks

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a+j+l \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 2 k-(a+r \cdot l) \equiv 2 k+j-(r-1) \cdot l \quad \bmod 2 \\
& a+j+l \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 2 k+1-(a+r \cdot l) \equiv 2 k+j-(r-1) \cdot l \quad \bmod 2
\end{aligned}
$$

In the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence the cup product with a generator $\mu \in E_{2}^{2,0}=H^{2}\left(G ; H^{0}(L)\right)=H^{2}(G) \cong \mathbb{Z} / m$ induces isomorphisms $E_{2}^{i, j}=$ $H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_{2}^{i+2, j}=H^{i+2}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)$ for $i>0$ and $j \geq 0$. All differentials starting or ending at $E_{r}^{2,0}$ are zero since the edge homomorphism $H^{2}(G) \rightarrow H^{2}(\Gamma)$ is injective. Hence $E_{2}^{2,0}=E_{r}^{2,0}=E_{\infty}^{2,0}$ and the cup product with $\mu$ induces isomorphisms $E_{r}^{i, j} \cong E_{r}^{i+2, j}$ for $i+j>n$ since an isomorphism of chain complexes induces an isomorphism on homology. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|E_{r}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k+j-(r-1) \cdot l}\right|^{(-1)^{a+j+l}}=\left|E_{r}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k-(a+r \cdot l)}\right|^{(-1)^{2 k}} \\
& \left|E_{r}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k+j-(r-1) \cdot l}\right|^{(-1)^{a+j+l}}=\left|E_{r}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k+1-(a+r \cdot l)}\right|^{(-1)^{2 k+1}} \quad \text { if } a+j+l \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2 \\
& \mid=1 \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

and analogously for $r$ replaced by $(r+1)$. Hence we obtain

$$
\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{c=0}^{1} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid E_{r}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k+c-\left.(a+r \cdot l)\right|^{(-1)^{c}}=\prod_{a=0}^{r-1} \prod_{c=0}^{1} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|E_{r+1}^{a+r \cdot l, 2 k+c-(a+r \cdot l)}\right|^{(-1)^{c}} . . . . .}
$$

But this is the same as the desired equality (17.4) since there is the bijection

$$
\{(a, c, l) \mid a \in\{0,1, \ldots, r-1\}, c \in\{0,1\}, l \in \mathbb{Z}\} \rightarrow\{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, i+j \in\{2 k, 2 k+1\}\}
$$

given by $(a, c, l) \mapsto(a+r \cdot l, 2 k+c-(a+r \cdot l))$. This finishes the proof of (7.4).
We conclude from (7.4) by induction over $r \geq 2$.

$$
\prod_{i, j, i+j \in\{2 k, 2 k+1\}}\left|E_{2}^{i, j}\right|^{(-1)^{i+j}}=\prod_{i, j, i+j \in\{2 k, 2 k+1\}}\left|E_{\infty}^{i, j}\right|^{(-1)^{i+j}}
$$

Since the terms $E_{\infty}^{i, j}$ are quotients of a filtration of $H^{i+j}(\Gamma)$ and $E_{2}^{i, j}=H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)$, this implies

$$
\prod_{i, j, i+j \in\{2 k, 2 k+1\}}\left|H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)\right|^{(-1)^{i+j}}=\frac{\left|H^{2 k}(\Gamma)\right|}{\left|H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma)\right|}
$$

Since $\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G, H^{j}(L)\right) \cong H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right) \cong \widehat{H}^{i+2}\left(G, H^{j}(L)\right) \cong H^{i+2}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)$ holds for $i>0$, we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{h}\left(\chi_{h}^{G}(L)\right) & =\widehat{h}\left(\sum_{j \geq 0}(-1)^{j} \cdot H^{j}(L)\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=0}^{1} \prod_{j \geq 0}\left|\widehat{H}^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)\right|^{(-1)^{i+j}} \\
& =\prod_{i, j, i+j \in\{2 k, 2 k+1\}}\left|H^{i}\left(G ; H^{j}(L)\right)\right|^{(-1)^{i+j}} \\
& =\frac{\left|H^{2 k}(\Gamma)\right|}{\left|H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma)\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $A(G)$ be the Burnside ring of $G$, i.e., the Grothendieck construction applied to the semi-ring of isomorphisms classes of finite $G$-sets under disjoint union and cartesian product. Given a finite $G$ - $C W$-complex $X$, define its $G$-Euler characteristic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{G}(X) \in A(G) \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the sum $\sum_{c}(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}(c)} \cdot[t(c)]$, where $c$ runs though the equivariant cells of $X$, $\operatorname{dim}(c)$ is the dimension of $c$ and $t(c)$ is given by the orbit though one point in the interior of $c$. If $c$ is obtained by attaching $G / H \times D^{k}$, then $\operatorname{dim}(c)=k$ and $t(c)=G / H$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r: A(G) \quad \rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Sw}(G) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the map sending the class of a finite $G$-set $S$ to the associated $\mathbb{Z} G$-permutation module $\mathbb{Z}[S]$ with $S$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-basis.
Lemma 7.7. Let $X$ be a finite $G$ - $C W$-complex. Then

$$
r\left(\chi^{G}(X)\right)=\chi_{h}^{G}(X)
$$

Proof. This follows from the following computation in $\operatorname{Sw}(G)$ based on the fact that $C_{k}(X) \cong_{\mathbb{Z} G} \bigoplus_{c, \operatorname{dim}(c)=k} \mathbb{Z}[t(c)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(\chi^{G}(X)\right) & =r\left(\sum_{c}(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}(c)} \cdot[t(c)]\right) \\
& =\sum_{c}(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}(c)} \cdot \mathbb{Z}[t(c)] \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k} \cdot\left[C_{k}(X)\right] \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 0}(-1)^{k} \cdot\left[H_{k}(X)\right] \\
& =\chi_{h}^{G}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 7.8 (Group cohomology and the equivariant Eulercharacteristic). Let $k$ be an integer such that $2 k>n$. Then $H^{2 k}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma)$ are finite and

$$
\frac{\left|H^{2 k}(\Gamma)\right|}{\left|H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma)\right|}=\widehat{h} \circ r\left(\chi^{G}(L \backslash \underline{E} \Gamma)\right)
$$

where the homomorphism of abelian groups given by the composite $\widehat{h} \circ r: A(G) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Q}^{>0}$ sends $[G / H]$ to $|H|$.

Proof. We compute

$$
\widehat{h} \circ r([G / H])=\frac{\widehat{H}^{0}(G ; \mathbb{Z}[G / H])}{\widehat{H}^{1}(G ; \mathbb{Z}[G / H])}=\frac{\widehat{H}^{0}(H ; \mathbb{Z})}{\widehat{H}^{1}(H ; \mathbb{Z})}=\frac{|H|}{1}=|H| .
$$

Now apply Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 7.7
Remark 7.9 ( $L^{2}$-Euler characteristic). In [10, Definition 6.83 and Definition 6.84 on page 281] a Burnside group $A(\Gamma)$ and a $\Gamma$ - $C W$-Euler characteristic

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\Gamma}(\underline{E} \Gamma) \in A(\Gamma) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined. There is a homomorphism of groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
q: A(\Gamma) \rightarrow A(G) \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which sends the class $[S]$ of a proper cocompact $\Gamma$-set $S$ to the class $[L \backslash S]$ of the finite $G$-set $L \backslash S$. One easily checks

$$
q\left(\chi^{\Gamma}(\underline{E} \Gamma)\right)=\chi^{G}(L \backslash \underline{E} \Gamma)
$$

There is an injective homomorphism called global $L^{2}$-character map (see 10, Definition 6.86 on page 282])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}^{\Gamma}: A(\Gamma) \rightarrow \prod_{(K)} \mathbb{Q} \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(K)$ runs through the conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of $\Gamma$. It is rationally an isomorphism. Since $\Gamma$ is amenable, we conclude from [10, Lemma 6.93 on page 284])

$$
\operatorname{ch}^{\Gamma}\left(\chi^{\Gamma}(\underline{E} \Gamma)\right)_{(K)}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }\left|W_{\Gamma} K\right|=\infty  \tag{7.13}\\ \frac{1}{\left|W_{\Gamma} K\right|} & \text { if }\left|W_{\Gamma} K\right|<\infty\end{cases}
$$

where $W_{\Gamma} K:=N_{\Gamma} K / K$.
Example 7.14 ( $G$-action has non-trivial fixed point). Suppose that $L^{G} \neq 0$. Then $\left|W_{\Gamma} K\right|=0$ for all finite subgroups $K \subseteq \Gamma$, and we conclude from Theorem 7.8 and Remark 7.9 for $2 k>n$

$$
\left|H^{2 k}(\Gamma)=\left|H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma)\right| .\right.
$$

Example 7.15. Suppose that $m=p$ for a prime $p$. If $G$ acts not free outside the origin on $L$, we conclude from Example 7.14

$$
\left|H^{2 k}(\Gamma)\right|=H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma) \mid
$$

Suppose that $G$ acts free outside the origin on $L$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a complete set of representatives of the conjugacy classes $(P)$ of finite non-trivial subgroups $P \subseteq \Gamma$. Notice that for each $P$ the projection $\Gamma \rightarrow G$ induces an isomorphism $P \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} G \cong \mathbb{Z} / p$ and we have $W_{\Gamma} P=\{1\}$. We conclude from Remark7.9 by inspecting the definition of the global character map $\mathrm{ch}^{\Gamma}$ (see [10, Example 6.94 on page 184])

$$
\chi^{\Gamma}(\underline{E} \Gamma)=\frac{-|\mathcal{P}|}{p} \cdot[\Gamma]+\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}}[\Gamma / P] \quad \in A(\Gamma)
$$

and hence

$$
\chi^{G}(L \backslash \underline{E} G)=-\frac{|\mathcal{P}|}{p} \cdot[G]+|\mathcal{P}| \cdot[G / G] \quad \in A(G)
$$

We mention that

$$
|\mathcal{P}|=\left|H^{1}(G ; L)\right|=\left|(L \backslash \underline{E} \Gamma)^{G}\right|=p^{s}
$$

by [9, Lemma 1.9], where $s$ is the integer uniquely determined by $N=(p-1) \cdot s$. Theorem 7.8 implies for $2 k>n$

$$
\frac{\left|H^{2 k}(\Gamma)\right|}{\left|H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma)\right|}=p^{s}
$$

All this is consistent with the computation in Theorem 0.3 for $2 k>n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{2 k}(\Gamma) & =\prod_{(P) \in \mathcal{P}} H^{2 k}(M) \cong \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z} / p \\
H^{2 k+1}(\Gamma) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$
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