

Universal torsion, L^2 -invariants, polytopes and the Thurston norm

Wolfgang Lück

Bonn

Germany

email wolfgang.lueck@him.uni-bonn.de

<http://131.220.77.52/lueck/>

Münster, December, 2015

Review of classical L^2 -invariants

- Let $G \rightarrow \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ be a G -covering of a connected finite CW-complex X .
- The cellular chain complex of \bar{X} is a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}} \bigoplus_{I_n} \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{c_n} \bigoplus_{I_{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}} \cdots$$

- The associated L^2 -chain complex

$$C_*^{(2)}(\bar{X}) := L^2(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} C_*(\bar{X})$$

has Hilbert spaces with isometric linear G -action as chain modules and bounded G -equivariant operators as differentials

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}^{(2)}} \bigoplus_{I_n} L^2(G) \xrightarrow{c_n^{(2)}} \bigoplus_{I_{n-1}} L^2(G) \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}^{(2)}} \cdots$$

Review of classical L^2 -invariants

- Let $G \rightarrow \bar{X} \rightarrow X$ be a G -covering of a connected finite CW-complex X .
- The cellular chain complex of \bar{X} is a finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}} \bigoplus_{I_n} \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{c_n} \bigoplus_{I_{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}} \dots$$

- The associated L^2 -chain complex

$$C_*^{(2)}(\bar{X}) := L^2(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} C_*(\bar{X})$$

has Hilbert spaces with isometric linear G -action as chain modules and bounded G -equivariant operators as differentials

$$\dots \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}^{(2)}} \bigoplus_{I_n} L^2(G) \xrightarrow{c_n^{(2)}} \bigoplus_{I_{n-1}} L^2(G) \xrightarrow{c_{n-1}^{(2)}} \dots$$

Definition (L^2 -homology and L^2 -Betti numbers)

Define the n -th L^2 -homology to be the Hilbert space

$$H_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) := \ker(c_n^{(2)}) / \overline{\operatorname{im}(c_{n+1}^{(2)})}.$$

Define the n -th L^2 -Betti number

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) := \dim_{\mathcal{N}(G)} (H_n^{(2)}(\bar{X})) \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}.$$

- The original notion is due to *Atiyah* and was motivated by index theory. He defined for a G -covering $\bar{M} \rightarrow M$ of a closed Riemannian manifold

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{M}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \text{tr}(e^{-t \cdot \bar{\Delta}_n(\bar{X}, \bar{X})}) d\text{vol}_{\bar{M}}.$$

- If G is finite, we have

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot b_n(\bar{X}).$$

- If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]} H_n(\bar{X}; \mathbb{C})) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- The original notion is due to *Atiyah* and was motivated by index theory. He defined for a G -covering $\bar{M} \rightarrow M$ of a closed Riemannian manifold

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{M}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \text{tr}(e^{-t \cdot \bar{\Delta}_n(\bar{X}, \bar{X})}) d\text{vol}_{\bar{M}}.$$

- If G is finite, we have

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot b_n(\bar{X}).$$

- If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]} H_n(\bar{X}; \mathbb{C})) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- The original notion is due to *Atiyah* and was motivated by index theory. He defined for a G -covering $\bar{M} \rightarrow M$ of a closed Riemannian manifold

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{M}) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \text{tr}(e^{-t \cdot \bar{\Delta}_n(\bar{X}, \bar{X})}) d\text{vol}_{\bar{M}}.$$

- If G is finite, we have

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot b_n(\bar{X}).$$

- If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)}}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]} H_n(\bar{X}; \mathbb{C})) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- In the sequel **3-manifold** means a prime connected compact orientable 3-manifold with infinite fundamental group whose boundary is empty or a union of tori and which is not $S^1 \times D^2$ or $S^1 \times S^2$.

Theorem (Lott-Lück)

For every 3-manifold M all L^2 -Betti numbers $b_n^{(2)}(\tilde{M})$ vanish.

- We are interested in the case where all L^2 -Betti numbers vanish, since then a very powerful secondary invariant comes into play, the so called **L^2 -torsion**.

- In the sequel **3-manifold** means a prime connected compact orientable 3-manifold with infinite fundamental group whose boundary is empty or a union of tori and which is not $S^1 \times D^2$ or $S^1 \times S^2$.

Theorem (Lott-Lück)

For every 3-manifold M all L^2 -Betti numbers $b_n^{(2)}(\tilde{M})$ vanish.

- We are interested in the case where all L^2 -Betti numbers vanish, since then a very powerful secondary invariant comes into play, the so called **L^2 -torsion**.

- In the sequel **3-manifold** means a prime connected compact orientable 3-manifold with infinite fundamental group whose boundary is empty or a union of tori and which is not $S^1 \times D^2$ or $S^1 \times S^2$.

Theorem (Lott-Lück)

For every 3-manifold M all L^2 -Betti numbers $b_n^{(2)}(\tilde{M})$ vanish.

- We are interested in the case where all L^2 -Betti numbers vanish, since then a very powerful secondary invariant comes into play, the so called **L^2 -torsion**.

- L^2 -torsion can be defined analytical in terms of the spectrum of the Laplace operator, generalizing **analytic Ray-Singer torsion**. It can also be defined in terms of the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex, generalizing **Reidemeister torsion**.
- The definition of L^2 -torsion is based on the notion of the **Fuglede-Kadison determinant** which is a generalization of the classical determinant to the infinite-dimensional setting. It is defined for a bounded G -equivariant operator $f: L^2(G)^m \rightarrow L^2(G)^n$ to be the non-negative real number

$$\det^{(2)}(f) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \int \ln(\lambda) d\nu_{f^*f}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

where ν_{f^*f} is the spectral measure of the positive operator f^*f .

- If G is finite and $m = n$, then $\det^{(2)}(f) = |\det(f)|^{1/|G|}$.

- L^2 -torsion can be defined analytical in terms of the spectrum of the Laplace operator, generalizing **analytic Ray-Singer torsion**. It can also be defined in terms of the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex, generalizing **Reidemeister torsion**.
- The definition of L^2 -torsion is based on the notion of the **Fuglede-Kadison determinant** which is a generalization of the classical determinant to the infinite-dimensional setting. It is defined for a bounded G -equivariant operator $f: L^2(G)^m \rightarrow L^2(G)^n$ to be the non-negative real number

$$\det^{(2)}(f) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \int \ln(\lambda) d\nu_{f^*f}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

where ν_{f^*f} is the spectral measure of the positive operator f^*f .

- If G is finite and $m = n$, then $\det^{(2)}(f) = |\det(f)|^{1/|G|}$.

- L^2 -torsion can be defined analytical in terms of the spectrum of the Laplace operator, generalizing **analytic Ray-Singer torsion**. It can also be defined in terms of the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex, generalizing **Reidemeister torsion**.
- The definition of L^2 -torsion is based on the notion of the **Fuglede-Kadison determinant** which is a generalization of the classical determinant to the infinite-dimensional setting. It is defined for a bounded G -equivariant operator $f: L^2(G)^m \rightarrow L^2(G)^n$ to be the non-negative real number

$$\det^{(2)}(f) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \int \ln(\lambda) d\nu_{f^*f}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$$

where ν_{f^*f} is the spectral measure of the positive operator f^*f .

- If G is finite and $m = n$, then $\det^{(2)}(f) = |\det(f)|^{1/|G|}$.

Definition (L^2 -torsion)

Suppose that \bar{X} is L^2 -acyclic, i.e., all L^2 -Betti numbers $b_n^{(2)}(\bar{X})$ vanish.

Let $\Delta_n^{(2)} : C_n^{(2)}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow C_n^{(2)}(\bar{X})$ be the n -Laplace operator given by $c_{n+1}^{(2)} \circ (c_n^{(2)})^* + (c_{n-1}^{(2)})^* \circ c_n^{(2)}$.

Define the L^2 -torsion

$$\rho^{(2)}(\bar{X}) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot n \cdot \ln(\det^{(2)}(\Delta_n^{(2)})) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem (Lück-Schick)

Let M be a 3-manifold. Let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m be the hyperbolic pieces in its Jaco-Shalen decomposition.

Then

$$\rho^{(2)}(\tilde{M}) := -\frac{1}{6\pi} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^m \text{vol}(M_i).$$

Universal L^2 -torsion

Definition ($K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$)

Let $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be the abelian group given by:

- generators

If $f: \mathbb{Z}G^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G^m$ is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -map such that the induced bounded G -equivariant $L^2(G)^m \rightarrow L^2(G)^m$ map is a weak isomorphism, i.e., the dimensions of its kernel and cokernel are trivial, then it determines a generator $[f]$ in $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

- relations

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & * \\ 0 & f_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = [f_1] + [f_2];$$
$$[g \circ f] = [f] + [g].$$

Define $\text{Wh}^w(G) := K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)/\{\pm g \mid g \in G\}$.

Definition ($K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$)

Let $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$ be the abelian group given by:

- generators

If $f: \mathbb{Z}G^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G^m$ is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -map such that the induced bounded G -equivariant $L^2(G)^m \rightarrow L^2(G)^m$ map is a weak isomorphism, i.e., the dimensions of its kernel and cokernel are trivial, then it determines a generator $[f]$ in $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$.

- relations

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} f_1 & * \\ 0 & f_2 \end{pmatrix} \right] = [f_1] + [f_2];$$
$$[g \circ f] = [f] + [g].$$

Define $\text{Wh}^w(G) := K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) / \{\pm g \mid g \in G\}$.

Definition (Weak chain contraction)

Consider a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex C_* . A **weak chain contraction** (γ_*, u_*) for C_* consists of a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain map $u_*: C_* \rightarrow C_*$ and a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain homotopy $\gamma_*: u_* \simeq 0_*$ such that $u_*^{(2)}: C_*^{(2)} \rightarrow C_*^{(2)}$ is a weak isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_n \circ u_n = u_{n+1} \circ \gamma_n$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition (Universal L^2 -torsion)

Let C_* be a finite based free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex such that $C_*^{(2)}$ is L^2 -acyclic. Define its **universal L^2 -torsion**

$$\rho_U^{(2)}(C_*) \in \tilde{K}_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$$

by

$$\rho_U^{(2)}(C_*) = [(u_C + \gamma)_{\text{odd}}] - [u_{\text{odd}}],$$

where (γ_*, u_*) is any weak chain contraction of C_* .

Definition (Weak chain contraction)

Consider a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex C_* . A **weak chain contraction** (γ_*, u_*) for C_* consists of a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain map $u_*: C_* \rightarrow C_*$ and a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain homotopy $\gamma_*: u_* \simeq 0_*$ such that $u_*^{(2)}: C_*^{(2)} \rightarrow C_*^{(2)}$ is a weak isomorphism for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_n \circ u_n = u_{n+1} \circ \gamma_n$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition (Universal L^2 -torsion)

Let C_* be a finite based free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex such that $C_*^{(2)}$ is L^2 -acyclic. Define its **universal L^2 -torsion**

$$\rho_U^{(2)}(C_*) \in \tilde{K}_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$$

by

$$\rho_U^{(2)}(C_*) = [(u_C + \gamma)_{\text{odd}}] - [u_{\text{odd}}],$$

where (γ_*, u_*) is any weak chain contraction of C_* .

- An **additive L^2 -torsion invariant** (A, a) consists of an abelian group A and an assignment which associates to a finite based free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex C_* , for which $C_*^{(2)}$ is L^2 -acyclic, an element $a(C_*) \in A$ such that for any based exact short sequence of such $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complexes $0 \rightarrow C_* \rightarrow D_* \rightarrow E_* \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$a(D_*) = a(C_*) + a(E_*),$$

and we have $a(\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{\pm \text{id}} \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots) = 0$.

- We call an additive L^2 -torsion invariant (U, u) **universal** if for every additive L^2 -torsion invariant (A, a) there is precisely one group homomorphism $f: U \rightarrow A$ satisfying $f(u(C_*)) = a(C_*)$ for any such $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex.
- Then $(K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G), \rho_u^{(2)})$ is the universal additive L^2 -torsion invariant.

- An **additive L^2 -torsion invariant** (A, a) consists of an abelian group A and an assignment which associates to a finite based free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex C_* , for which $C_*^{(2)}$ is L^2 -acyclic, an element $a(C_*) \in A$ such that for any based exact short sequence of such $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complexes $0 \rightarrow C_* \rightarrow D_* \rightarrow E_* \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$a(D_*) = a(C_*) + a(E_*),$$

and we have $a(\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{\pm \text{id}} \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots) = 0$.

- We call an additive L^2 -torsion invariant (U, u) **universal** if for every additive L^2 -torsion invariant (A, a) there is precisely one group homomorphism $f: U \rightarrow A$ satisfying $f(u(C_*)) = a(C_*)$ for any such $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex.
- Then $(K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G), \rho_U^{(2)})$ is the universal additive L^2 -torsion invariant.

- An **additive L^2 -torsion invariant** (A, a) consists of an abelian group A and an assignment which associates to a finite based free $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex C_* , for which $C_*^{(2)}$ is L^2 -acyclic, an element $a(C_*) \in A$ such that for any based exact short sequence of such $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complexes $0 \rightarrow C_* \rightarrow D_* \rightarrow E_* \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$a(D_*) = a(C_*) + a(E_*),$$

and we have $a(\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{\pm \text{id}} \mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots) = 0$.

- We call an additive L^2 -torsion invariant (U, u) **universal** if for every additive L^2 -torsion invariant (A, a) there is precisely one group homomorphism $f: U \rightarrow A$ satisfying $f(u(C_*)) = a(C_*)$ for any such $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex.
- Then $(K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G), \rho_u^{(2)})$ is the universal additive L^2 -torsion invariant.

- The universal L^2 -torsion is a **simple homotopy invariant**.
- It satisfies useful **sum formulas** and **product formulas**. There are also formulas for appropriate **fibrations** and **S^1 -actions**.
- If G is finite, we rediscover essentially the classical **Reidemeister torsion**.
- We have $\rho^{(2)}(\widetilde{S^1}) = (z - 1)$ in $\text{Wh}^w(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(z^{\pm 1})^\times / \{\pm z^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- We have $\rho^{(2)}(\widetilde{T^n}) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

- The universal L^2 -torsion is a **simple homotopy invariant**.
- It satisfies useful **sum formulas** and **product formulas**. There are also formulas for appropriate **fibrations** and **S^1 -actions**.
- If G is finite, we rediscover essentially the classical **Reidemeister torsion**.
- We have $\rho^{(2)}(\widetilde{S^1}) = (z - 1)$ in $\text{Wh}^w(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(z^{\pm 1})^\times / \{\pm z^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- We have $\rho^{(2)}(\widetilde{T^n}) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

- The universal L^2 -torsion is a **simple homotopy invariant**.
- It satisfies useful **sum formulas** and **product formulas**. There are also formulas for appropriate **fibrations** and **S^1 -actions**.
- If G is finite, we rediscover essentially the classical **Reidemeister torsion**.
- We have $\rho^{(2)}(\widetilde{S^1}) = (z - 1)$ in $\text{Wh}^w(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Q}(z^{\pm 1})^\times / \{\pm z^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- We have $\rho^{(2)}(\widetilde{T^n}) = 0$ for $n \geq 2$.

Theorem (Jaco-Shalen-Johannson decomposition)

Let M be a compact connected orientable irreducible 3-manifold with infinite fundamental group whose boundary is empty or toroidal. Let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_r be its pieces in the Jaco-Shalen-Johannson decomposition. Let $j_i: \pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ be the injection induced by the inclusion $M_i \rightarrow M$.

Then each M_i and M are L^2 -acyclic and we have

$$\rho_u^{(2)}(\tilde{M}) = \sum_{i=1}^r (j_i)_* (\rho_u^{(2)}(\tilde{M}_i)).$$

- Many other invariants come from the universal L^2 -torsion by applying a homomorphism $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow A$ of abelian groups.
- For instance, the Fuglede-Kadison determinant defines a homomorphism

$$\det^{(2)}: \text{Wh}^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which maps the universal L^2 -torsion $\rho_u^{(2)}(\bar{X})$ to the (classical) L^2 -torsion $\rho^{(2)}(\bar{X})$.

The fundamental square and the Atiyah Conjecture

- The **fundamental square** is given by the following inclusions of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}(G) \end{array}$$

- $\mathcal{U}(G)$ is the **algebra of affiliated operators**. Algebraically it is just the **Ore localization** of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset of non-zero divisors.
- $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is the **division closure** of $\mathbb{Z}G$ in $\mathcal{U}(G)$, i.e., the smallest subring of $\mathcal{U}(G)$ containing $\mathbb{Z}G$ such that every element in $\mathcal{D}(G)$, which is a unit in $\mathcal{U}(G)$, is already a unit in $\mathcal{D}(G)$ itself.

The fundamental square and the Atiyah Conjecture

- The **fundamental square** is given by the following inclusions of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}(G) \end{array}$$

- $\mathcal{U}(G)$ is the **algebra of affiliated operators**. Algebraically it is just the **Ore localization** of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset of non-zero divisors.
- $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is the **division closure** of $\mathbb{Z}G$ in $\mathcal{U}(G)$, i.e., the smallest subring of $\mathcal{U}(G)$ containing $\mathbb{Z}G$ such that every element in $\mathcal{D}(G)$, which is a unit in $\mathcal{U}(G)$, is already a unit in $\mathcal{D}(G)$ itself.

The fundamental square and the Atiyah Conjecture

- The **fundamental square** is given by the following inclusions of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}G & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(G) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}(G) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}(G) \end{array}$$

- $\mathcal{U}(G)$ is the **algebra of affiliated operators**. Algebraically it is just the **Ore localization** of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset of non-zero divisors.
- $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is the **division closure** of $\mathbb{Z}G$ in $\mathcal{U}(G)$, i.e., the smallest subring of $\mathcal{U}(G)$ containing $\mathbb{Z}G$ such that every element in $\mathcal{D}(G)$, which is a unit in $\mathcal{U}(G)$, is already a unit in $\mathcal{D}(G)$ itself.

- If G is finite, its is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
 \mathbb{Q}G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}G
 \end{array}$$

- If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, it is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}] & \longrightarrow & L^\infty(S^1) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)} & \longrightarrow & L(S^1)
 \end{array}$$

- If G is finite, its is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}G \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
 \mathbb{Q}G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}G
 \end{array}$$

- If $G = \mathbb{Z}$, it is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}] & \longrightarrow & L^\infty(S^1) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}]_{(0)} & \longrightarrow & L(S^1)
 \end{array}$$

- If G is elementary amenable torsionfree, then $\mathcal{D}(G)$ can be identified with the Ore localization of $\mathbb{Z}G$ with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset of non-zero elements.
- In general the Ore localization does not exist and in these cases $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is the right replacement.

Conjecture (Atiyah Conjecture for torsionfree groups)

Let G be a torsionfree group. It satisfies the *Atiyah Conjecture* if $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is a skew-field.

- Fix a natural number $d \geq 5$. Then a finitely generated torsionfree group G satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture if and only if for any G -covering $\bar{M} \rightarrow M$ of a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d we have $b_n^{(2)}(\bar{M}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $n \geq 0$.
- The Atiyah Conjecture implies for a torsionfree group G that the rational group ring has no non-trivial zero-divisors.
- Notice that the Farrell-Jones Conjecture implies for a torsionfree group G that the group ring over any field of characteristic zero has no non-trivial idempotents.

Conjecture (Atiyah Conjecture for torsionfree groups)

Let G be a torsionfree group. It satisfies the *Atiyah Conjecture* if $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is a skew-field.

- Fix a natural number $d \geq 5$. Then a finitely generated torsionfree group G satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture if and only if for any G -covering $\bar{M} \rightarrow M$ of a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d we have $b_n^{(2)}(\bar{M}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $n \geq 0$.
- The Atiyah Conjecture implies for a torsionfree group G that the rational group ring has no non-trivial zero-divisors.
- Notice that the Farrell-Jones Conjecture implies for a torsionfree group G that the group ring over any field of characteristic zero has no non-trivial idempotents.

Conjecture (Atiyah Conjecture for torsionfree groups)

Let G be a torsionfree group. It satisfies the *Atiyah Conjecture* if $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is a skew-field.

- Fix a natural number $d \geq 5$. Then a finitely generated torsionfree group G satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture if and only if for any G -covering $\bar{M} \rightarrow M$ of a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension d we have $b_n^{(2)}(\bar{M}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for every $n \geq 0$.
- The Atiyah Conjecture implies for a torsionfree group G that the rational group ring has no non-trivial zero-divisors.
- Notice that the Farrell-Jones Conjecture implies for a torsionfree group G that the group ring over any field of characteristic zero has no non-trivial idempotents.

Theorem (Linnell, Schick)

- 1 Let \mathcal{C} be the smallest class of groups which contains all free groups, is closed under extensions with elementary amenable groups as quotients and directed unions.
Then every torsionfree group G which belongs to \mathcal{C} satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture, actually even over \mathbb{C} .
- 2 If G is residually torsionfree elementary amenable, then it satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture.

- This theorem and results by Waldhausen show for the fundamental group π of a 3-manifold (with the exception of some graph manifolds) that it satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture and that $\text{Wh}(\pi)$ vanishes.

Theorem (Linnell, Schick)

- 1 *Let \mathcal{C} be the smallest class of groups which contains all free groups, is closed under extensions with elementary amenable groups as quotients and directed unions. Then every torsionfree group G which belongs to \mathcal{C} satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture, actually even over \mathbb{C} .*
 - 2 *If G is residually torsionfree elementary amenable, then it satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture.*
-
- This theorem and results by Waldhausen show for the fundamental group π of a 3-manifold (with the exception of some graph manifolds) that it satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture and that $\text{Wh}(\pi)$ vanishes.

Identifying $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$

Theorem (Linnell-Lück)

If G belongs to \mathcal{C} , then the natural map

$$K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

- Its proof is based on identifying $\mathcal{D}(G)$ as an appropriate Cohn localization of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and the investigating localization sequences in algebraic K -theory.
- There is a **Dieudonné determinant** which induces an isomorphism

$$\det_D: K_1(\mathcal{D}(G)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G)^\times / [\mathcal{D}(G)^\times, \mathcal{D}(G)^\times].$$

Identifying $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$

Theorem (Linnell-Lück)

If G belongs to \mathcal{C} , then the natural map

$$K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

- Its proof is based on identifying $\mathcal{D}(G)$ as an appropriate Cohn localization of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and the investigating localization sequences in algebraic K -theory.
- There is a **Dieudonné determinant** which induces an isomorphism

$$\det_D: K_1(\mathcal{D}(G)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G)^\times / [\mathcal{D}(G)^\times, \mathcal{D}(G)^\times].$$

Identifying $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$

Theorem (Linnell-Lück)

If G belongs to \mathcal{C} , then the natural map

$$K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

- Its proof is based on identifying $\mathcal{D}(G)$ as an appropriate Cohn localization of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and the investigating localization sequences in algebraic K -theory.
- There is a Dieudonné determinant which induces an isomorphism

$$\det_D: K_1(\mathcal{D}(G)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G)^\times / [\mathcal{D}(G)^\times, \mathcal{D}(G)^\times].$$

Identifying $K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$

Theorem (Linnell-Lück)

If G belongs to \mathcal{C} , then the natural map

$$K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(\mathcal{D}(G))$$

is an isomorphism.

- Its proof is based on identifying $\mathcal{D}(G)$ as an appropriate Cohn localization of $\mathbb{Z}G$ and the investigating localization sequences in algebraic K -theory.
- There is a **Dieudonné determinant** which induces an isomorphism

$$\det_D: K_1(\mathcal{D}(G)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G)^\times / [\mathcal{D}(G)^\times, \mathcal{D}(G)^\times].$$

- In particular we get for $G = \mathbb{Z}$

$$K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]) \cong \mathbb{Q}(z^{\pm 1})^\times$$

- It turns out that in the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$ the universal torsion is the same as the **Alexander polynomial** of an infinite cyclic covering, as it occurs for instance in knot theory.

Twisting L^2 -invariants

- Consider a CW-complex X with $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. Fix an element $\phi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{hom}(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$.
- For $t \in (0, \infty)$, let $\phi^* \mathbb{C}_t$ be the 1-dimensional π -representation given by

$$w \cdot \lambda := t^{\phi(w)} \cdot \lambda \quad \text{for } w \in \pi, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- One can **twist** the L^2 -chain complex of X with this representation, or, equivalently, apply the following ring homomorphism to the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex before passing to the Hilbert space completion

$$\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G, \quad \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \lambda \cdot t^{\phi(g)} \cdot g.$$

- Notice that for irrational t the relevant chain complexes do not have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}G$ anymore and the **Determinant Conjecture** does not apply. Moreover, the Fuglede-Kadison determinant is in general not continuous.

Twisting L^2 -invariants

- Consider a CW-complex X with $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. Fix an element $\phi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{hom}(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$.
- For $t \in (0, \infty)$, let $\phi^* \mathbb{C}_t$ be the 1-dimensional π -representation given by

$$w \cdot \lambda := t^{\phi(w)} \cdot \lambda \quad \text{for } w \in \pi, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- One can **twist** the L^2 -chain complex of X with this representation, or, equivalently, apply the following ring homomorphism to the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex before passing to the Hilbert space completion

$$\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G, \quad \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \lambda \cdot t^{\phi(g)} \cdot g.$$

- Notice that for irrational t the relevant chain complexes do not have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}G$ anymore and the **Determinant Conjecture** does not apply. Moreover, the Fuglede-Kadison determinant is in general not continuous.

Twisting L^2 -invariants

- Consider a CW-complex X with $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. Fix an element $\phi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{hom}(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$.
- For $t \in (0, \infty)$, let $\phi^* \mathbb{C}_t$ be the 1-dimensional π -representation given by

$$w \cdot \lambda := t^{\phi(w)} \cdot \lambda \quad \text{for } w \in \pi, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- One can **twist** the L^2 -chain complex of X with this representation, or, equivalently, apply the following ring homomorphism to the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex before passing to the Hilbert space completion

$$\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G, \quad \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \lambda \cdot t^{\phi(g)} \cdot g.$$

- Notice that for irrational t the relevant chain complexes do not have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}G$ anymore and the **Determinant Conjecture** does not apply. Moreover, the Fuglede-Kadison determinant is in general not continuous.

Twisting L^2 -invariants

- Consider a CW-complex X with $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. Fix an element $\phi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{hom}(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$.
- For $t \in (0, \infty)$, let $\phi^* \mathbb{C}_t$ be the 1-dimensional π -representation given by

$$w \cdot \lambda := t^{\phi(w)} \cdot \lambda \quad \text{for } w \in \pi, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- One can **twist** the L^2 -chain complex of X with this representation, or, equivalently, apply the following ring homomorphism to the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex before passing to the Hilbert space completion

$$\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G, \quad \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \lambda \cdot t^{\phi(g)} \cdot g.$$

- Notice that for irrational t the relevant chain complexes do not have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}G$ anymore and the **Determinant Conjecture** does not apply. Moreover, the Fuglede-Kadison determinant is in general not continuous.

Twisting L^2 -invariants

- Consider a CW-complex X with $\pi = \pi_1(M)$. Fix an element $\phi \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{hom}(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$.
- For $t \in (0, \infty)$, let $\phi^* \mathbb{C}_t$ be the 1-dimensional π -representation given by

$$w \cdot \lambda := t^{\phi(w)} \cdot \lambda \quad \text{for } w \in \pi, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

- One can **twist** the L^2 -chain complex of X with this representation, or, equivalently, apply the following ring homomorphism to the cellular $\mathbb{Z}G$ -chain complex before passing to the Hilbert space completion

$$\mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G, \quad \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \lambda \cdot t^{\phi(g)} \cdot g.$$

- Notice that for irrational t the relevant chain complexes do not have coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}G$ anymore and the **Determinant Conjecture** does not apply. Moreover, the Fuglede-Kadison determinant is in general not continuous.

- Define ϕ -twisted L^2 -torsion function

$$\rho(\tilde{X}; \phi): (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by sending t to the \mathbb{C}_t -twisted L^2 -torsion.

- Its value at $t = 1$ is just the L^2 -torsion.
- On the analytic side this corresponds for closed Riemannian manifold M to twisting with the flat line bundle $\tilde{M} \times_{\pi} \mathbb{C}_t \rightarrow M$. It is obvious that some work is necessary to show that this is a well-defined invariant since the π -action on \mathbb{C}_t is **not** isometric.

- Define ϕ -twisted L^2 -torsion function

$$\rho(\tilde{X}; \phi): (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by sending t to the \mathbb{C}_t -twisted L^2 -torsion.

- Its value at $t = 1$ is just the L^2 -torsion.
- On the analytic side this corresponds for closed Riemannian manifold M to twisting with the flat line bundle $\tilde{M} \times_{\pi} \mathbb{C}_t \rightarrow M$. It is obvious that some work is necessary to show that this is a well-defined invariant since the π -action on \mathbb{C}_t is **not** isometric.

- Define ϕ -twisted L^2 -torsion function

$$\rho(\tilde{X}; \phi): (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by sending t to the \mathbb{C}_t -twisted L^2 -torsion.

- Its value at $t = 1$ is just the L^2 -torsion.
- On the analytic side this corresponds for closed Riemannian manifold M to twisting with the flat line bundle $\tilde{M} \times_{\pi} \mathbb{C}_t \rightarrow M$. It is obvious that some work is necessary to show that this is a well-defined invariant since the π -action on \mathbb{C}_t is **not** isometric.

Theorem (Lück)

Suppose that \tilde{X} is L^2 -acyclic.

- 1 The L^2 torsion function $\rho^{(2)} := \rho^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi): (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is well-defined.
- 2 The limits $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho^{(2)}(t)}{\ln(t)}$ and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho^{(2)}(t)}{\ln(t)}$ exist and we can define the **degree of ϕ**

$$\deg(X; \phi) \in \mathbb{R}$$

to be their difference.

- 3 There is a **ϕ -twisted Fuglede-Kadison determinant**

$$\det_{\text{tw}, \phi}^{(2)}: K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \rightarrow \text{map}((0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$$

which sends $\rho_u^{(2)}(\tilde{X})$ to $\rho^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi)$.

Definition (Thurston norm)

Let M be a 3-manifold and $\phi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be a class. Define its **Thurston norm**

$$x_M(\phi) = \min\{\chi_-(F) \mid F \text{ embedded surface in } M \text{ dual to } \phi\}$$

where

$$\chi_-(F) = \sum_{C \in \pi_0(M)} \max\{-\chi(C), 0\}.$$

- Thurston showed that this definition extends to the real vector space $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ and defines a **seminorm** on it.
- If $F \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} S^1$ is a fiber bundle with connected closed surface $F \not\cong S^2$ and $\phi = \pi_1(p)$, then

$$x_M(\phi) = -\chi(F).$$

Definition (Thurston norm)

Let M be a 3-manifold and $\phi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be a class. Define its **Thurston norm**

$$x_M(\phi) = \min\{\chi_-(F) \mid F \text{ embedded surface in } M \text{ dual to } \phi\}$$

where

$$\chi_-(F) = \sum_{C \in \pi_0(M)} \max\{-\chi(C), 0\}.$$

- **Thurston** showed that this definition extends to the real vector space $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ and defines a **seminorm** on it.
- If $F \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{p} S^1$ is a fiber bundle with connected closed surface $F \not\cong S^2$ and $\phi = \pi_1(p)$, then

$$x_M(\phi) = -\chi(F).$$

Theorem (Friedl-Lück, Liu)

Let M be a 3-manifold. Then for every $\phi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ we get the equality

$$\deg(M; \phi) = x_M(\phi).$$

- Consider a finitely generated abelian free abelian group A . Let $A_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ be the real vector space containing A as a spanning lattice;
- A **polytope** $P \subseteq A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex bounded subset which is the convex hull of a finite subset S ;
- It is called **integral**, if S is contained in A ;
- The **Minkowski sum** of two polytopes P and Q is defined by

$$P + Q = \{p + q \mid p \in P, q \in Q\};$$

- It is **cancellative**, i.e., it satisfies $P_0 + Q = P_1 + Q \implies P_0 = P_1$;

- Consider a finitely generated abelian free abelian group A . Let $A_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ be the real vector space containing A as a spanning lattice;
- A **polytope** $P \subseteq A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex bounded subset which is the convex hull of a finite subset S ;
- It is called **integral**, if S is contained in A ;
- The **Minkowski sum** of two polytopes P and Q is defined by

$$P + Q = \{p + q \mid p \in P, q \in Q\};$$

- It is **cancellative**, i.e., it satisfies $P_0 + Q = P_1 + Q \implies P_0 = P_1$;

- Consider a finitely generated abelian free abelian group A . Let $A_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ be the real vector space containing A as a spanning lattice;
- A **polytope** $P \subseteq A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex bounded subset which is the convex hull of a finite subset S ;
- It is called **integral**, if S is contained in A ;
- The **Minkowski sum** of two polytopes P and Q is defined by

$$P + Q = \{p + q \mid p \in P, q \in Q\};$$

- It is **cancellative**, i.e., it satisfies $P_0 + Q = P_1 + Q \implies P_0 = P_1$;

- Consider a finitely generated abelian free abelian group A . Let $A_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ be the real vector space containing A as a spanning lattice;
- A **polytope** $P \subseteq A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex bounded subset which is the convex hull of a finite subset S ;
- It is called **integral**, if S is contained in A ;
- The **Minkowski sum** of two polytopes P and Q is defined by

$$P + Q = \{p + q \mid p \in P, q \in Q\};$$

- It is **cancellative**, i.e., it satisfies $P_0 + Q = P_1 + Q \implies P_0 = P_1$;

- Consider a finitely generated abelian free abelian group A . Let $A_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ be the real vector space containing A as a spanning lattice;
- A **polytope** $P \subseteq A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex bounded subset which is the convex hull of a finite subset S ;
- It is called **integral**, if S is contained in A ;
- The **Minkowski sum** of two polytopes P and Q is defined by

$$P + Q = \{p + q \mid p \in P, q \in Q\};$$

- It is **cancellative**, i.e., it satisfies $P_0 + Q = P_1 + Q \implies P_0 = P_1$;

- Consider a finitely generated abelian free abelian group A . Let $A_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ be the real vector space containing A as a spanning lattice;
- A **polytope** $P \subseteq A_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex bounded subset which is the convex hull of a finite subset S ;
- It is called **integral**, if S is contained in A ;
- The **Minkowski sum** of two polytopes P and Q is defined by

$$P + Q = \{p + q \mid p \in P, q \in Q\};$$

- It is **cancellative**, i.e., it satisfies $P_0 + Q = P_1 + Q \implies P_0 = P_1$;

- The **Newton polytope**

$$N(p) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

of a polynomial

$$p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \cdot t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} \cdots t_n^{i_n}$$

in n variables t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n is defined to be the convex hull of the elements $\{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \neq 0\}$;

- One has

$$N(p \cdot q) = N(p) + N(q).$$

- The **Newton polytope**

$$N(p) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

of a polynomial

$$p(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \cdot t_1^{i_1} t_2^{i_2} \cdots t_n^{i_n}$$

in n variables t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n is defined to be the convex hull of the elements $\{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \neq 0\}$;

- One has

$$N(p \cdot q) = N(p) + N(q).$$

Definition (Polytope group)

- Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ be the Grothendieck group of the abelian monoid of integral polytopes in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{Wh}}(A)$ the quotient of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ by the canonical homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ sending a to the class of the polytope $\{a\}$.

- In $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{Wh}}(A)$ we consider polytopes up to translation with an element in A .
- Given a homomorphism of finitely generated abelian groups $f: A \rightarrow A'$, we obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(f): \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A'), \quad [P] \mapsto [\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} f(P)];$$

and analogously for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{Wh}}(A)$.

Definition (Polytope group)

- Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ be the Grothendieck group of the abelian monoid of integral polytopes in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(A)$ the quotient of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ by the canonical homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ sending a to the class of the polytope $\{a\}$.

- In $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(A)$ we consider polytopes up to translation with an element in A .
- Given a homomorphism of finitely generated abelian groups $f: A \rightarrow A'$, we obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(f): \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A'), \quad [P] \mapsto [\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} f(P)];$$

and analogously for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(A)$.

Definition (Polytope group)

- Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ be the Grothendieck group of the abelian monoid of integral polytopes in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(A)$ the quotient of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ by the canonical homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ sending a to the class of the polytope $\{a\}$.

- In $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(A)$ we consider polytopes up to translation with an element in A .
- Given a homomorphism of finitely generated abelian groups $f: A \rightarrow A'$, we obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(f): \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A'), \quad [P] \mapsto [\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} f(P)];$$

and analogously for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(A)$.

Definition (Polytope group)

- Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ be the Grothendieck group of the abelian monoid of integral polytopes in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{Wh}}(A)$ the quotient of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ by the canonical homomorphism $A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ sending a to the class of the polytope $\{a\}$.

- In $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{Wh}}(A)$ we consider polytopes up to translation with an element in A .
- Given a homomorphism of finitely generated abelian groups $f: A \rightarrow A'$, we obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(f): \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A'), \quad [P] \mapsto [\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} f(P)];$$

and analogously for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{Wh}}(A)$.

Example ($A = \mathbb{Z}$)

- An integral polytope in $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is just an interval $[m, n]$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $m \leq n$.
- The Minkowski sum becomes
 $[m_1, n_1] + [m_2, n_2] = [m_1 + m_2, n_1 + n_2]$.
- One obtains isomorphisms of abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}^2 & [[m, n]] &\mapsto (n - m, m). \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(\mathbb{Z}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}, & [[m, n]] &\mapsto n - m. \end{aligned}$$

- We obtain an injection

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{\phi \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\phi(\mathbf{x}))_{\phi}.$$

- It implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A})$ is torsionfree and not divisible.
- Conjecturally $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is always a free abelian group.
- We obtain a well-defined homomorphism of abelian groups

$$(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^n]_{(0)})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n), \quad \frac{p}{q} \mapsto [N(p)] - [N(q)].$$

We want to generalize it to the so called polytope homomorphism.

- We obtain an injection

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{\phi \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\phi(\mathbf{x}))_{\phi}.$$

- It implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A})$ is torsionfree and not divisible.
- Conjecturally $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is always a free abelian group.
- We obtain a well-defined homomorphism of abelian groups

$$(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^n]_{(0)})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n), \quad \frac{p}{q} \mapsto [N(p)] - [N(q)].$$

We want to generalize it to the so called polytope homomorphism.

- We obtain an injection

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{\phi \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\phi(\mathbf{x}))_{\phi}.$$

- It implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A})$ is torsionfree and not divisible.
- Conjecturally $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is always a free abelian group.
- We obtain a well-defined homomorphism of abelian groups

$$(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^n]_{(0)})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n), \quad \frac{p}{q} \mapsto [N(p)] - [N(q)].$$

We want to generalize it to the so called polytope homomorphism.

- We obtain an injection

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}) \rightarrow \prod_{\phi \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto (\phi(\mathbf{x}))_{\phi}.$$

- It implies that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{A})$ is torsionfree and not divisible.
- Conjecturally $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ is always a free abelian group.
- We obtain a well-defined homomorphism of abelian groups

$$(\mathbb{Q}[\mathbb{Z}^n]_{(0)})^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n), \quad \frac{p}{q} \mapsto [N(p)] - [N(q)].$$

We want to generalize it to the so called polytope homomorphism.

Polytope homomorphism

- Consider the projection

$$\text{pr}: G \rightarrow H_1(G)_f := H_1(G) / \text{tors}(H_1(G)).$$

Let K be its kernel.

- After a choice of a set-theoretic section of pr we get isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}K * H_1(G)_f &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}G; \\ S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G), \end{aligned}$$

where here and in the sequel S^{-1} denotes Ore localization with respect to the multiplicative closed set of non-trivial elements.

Polytope homomorphism

- Consider the projection

$$\text{pr}: G \rightarrow H_1(G)_f := H_1(G)/\text{tors}(H_1(G)).$$

Let K be its kernel.

- After a choice of a set-theoretic section of pr we get isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}K * H_1(G)_f &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}G; \\ S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G),\end{aligned}$$

where here and in the sequel S^{-1} denotes Ore localization with respect to the multiplicative closed set of non-trivial elements.

Polytope homomorphism

- Consider the projection

$$\text{pr}: G \rightarrow H_1(G)_f := H_1(G)/\text{tors}(H_1(G)).$$

Let K be its kernel.

- After a choice of a set-theoretic section of pr we get isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}K * H_1(G)_f &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}G; \\ S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G), \end{aligned}$$

where here and in the sequel S^{-1} denotes Ore localization with respect to the multiplicative closed set of non-trivial elements.

- Given $x = \sum_{h \in H_1(G)_f} u_h \cdot h \in \mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f$, define its **support**
 $\text{supp}(x) := \{h \in H_1(G)_f \mid u_h \neq 0\}$.

- The convex hull of $\text{supp}(x)$ defines a **polytope**

$$P(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f = H_1(M; \mathbb{R}).$$

- We have $P(x \cdot y) = P(x) + P(y)$ for $x, y \in (\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f)$.
- Hence we can define a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$P': \left(S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) \right)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(G)_f),$$

by sending $x \cdot y^{-1}$ to $[P(x)] - [P(y)]$.

- Given $x = \sum_{h \in H_1(G)_f} u_h \cdot h \in \mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f$, define its **support**

$$\text{supp}(x) := \{h \in H_1(G)_f \mid u_h \neq 0\}.$$

- The convex hull of $\text{supp}(x)$ defines a **polytope**

$$P(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f = H_1(M; \mathbb{R}).$$

- We have $P(x \cdot y) = P(x) + P(y)$ for $x, y \in (\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f)$.
- Hence we can define a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$P': \left(S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) \right)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(G)_f),$$

by sending $x \cdot y^{-1}$ to $[P(x)] - [P(y)]$.

- Given $x = \sum_{h \in H_1(G)_f} u_h \cdot h \in \mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f$, define its **support**

$$\text{supp}(x) := \{h \in H_1(G)_f \mid h \in H_1(G)_f, u_h \neq 0\}.$$

- The convex hull of $\text{supp}(x)$ defines a **polytope**

$$P(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f = H_1(M; \mathbb{R}).$$

- We have $P(x \cdot y) = P(x) + P(y)$ for $x, y \in (\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f)$.
- Hence we can define a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$P': \left(S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) \right)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(G)_f),$$

by sending $x \cdot y^{-1}$ to $[P(x)] - [P(y)]$.

- Given $x = \sum_{h \in H_1(G)_f} u_h \cdot h \in \mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f$, define its **support**

$$\text{supp}(x) := \{h \in H_1(G)_f \mid u_h \neq 0\}.$$

- The convex hull of $\text{supp}(x)$ defines a **polytope**

$$P(x) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f = H_1(M; \mathbb{R}).$$

- We have $P(x \cdot y) = P(x) + P(y)$ for $x, y \in (\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f)$.
- Hence we can define a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$P': \left(S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) \right)^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(G)_f),$$

by sending $x \cdot y^{-1}$ to $[P(x)] - [P(y)]$.

- The composite

$$K_1^w(\mathbb{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(\mathcal{D}(G)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{D}(G)^\times \xrightarrow{\cong} \left(S^{-1}(\mathcal{D}(K) * H_1(G)_f) \right)^\times \\ \xrightarrow{P'} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(G)_f)$$

factories to the **polytope homomorphism**

$$P: \text{Wh}^w(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(H_1(G)_f).$$

Definition (Dual Thurston polytope)

Let M be a 3-manifold. Define the **dual Thurston polytope** to be subset of $H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$

$$T(M) := \{v \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \phi(v) \leq x_M(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})\}.$$

- Thurston has shown that the dual Thurston polytope is always an integral polytope.
- The Thurston seminorm x_M obviously determines the dual Thurston polytope.
- The converse is also true, namely, we have

$$x_M(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sup\{\phi(x_0) - \phi(x_1) \mid x_0, x_1 \in T(M)\}.$$

Definition (Dual Thurston polytope)

Let M be a 3-manifold. Define the **dual Thurston polytope** to be subset of $H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$

$$T(M) := \{v \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \phi(v) \leq x_M(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})\}.$$

- **Thurston** has shown that the dual Thurston polytope is always an integral polytope.
- The Thurston seminorm x_M obviously determines the dual Thurston polytope.
- The converse is also true, namely, we have

$$x_M(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sup\{\phi(x_0) - \phi(x_1) \mid x_0, x_1 \in T(M)\}.$$

Definition (Dual Thurston polytope)

Let M be a 3-manifold. Define the **dual Thurston polytope** to be subset of $H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$

$$T(M) := \{v \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \phi(v) \leq x_M(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})\}.$$

- **Thurston** has shown that the dual Thurston polytope is always an integral polytope.
- The Thurston seminorm x_M obviously determines the dual Thurston polytope.
- The converse is also true, namely, we have

$$x_M(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sup\{\phi(x_0) - \phi(x_1) \mid x_0, x_1 \in T(M)\}.$$

Definition (Dual Thurston polytope)

Let M be a 3-manifold. Define the **dual Thurston polytope** to be subset of $H_1(M; \mathbb{R})$

$$T(M) := \{v \in H_1(M; \mathbb{R}) \mid \phi(v) \leq x_M(\phi) \text{ for all } \phi \in H^1(M; \mathbb{R})\}.$$

- **Thurston** has shown that the dual Thurston polytope is always an integral polytope.
- The Thurston seminorm x_M obviously determines the dual Thurston polytope.
- The converse is also true, namely, we have

$$x_M(\phi) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sup\{\phi(x_0) - \phi(x_1) \mid x_0, x_1 \in T(M)\}.$$

Theorem (Friedl-Lück)

Let M be a 3-manifold. Then the image of the universal L^2 -torsion $\rho_u^{(2)}(\tilde{M})$ under the polytope homomorphism

$$P: \text{Wh}^w(\pi_1(M)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(H_1(\pi_1(M))_f)$$

is represented by the dual of the Thurston polytope.

Higher order Alexander polynomials

- Higher order Alexander polynomials were introduced for a covering $G \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow M$ of a 3-manifold by Harvey and Cochran, provided that G occurs in the rational derived series of $\pi_1(M)$.
- At least the degree of these polynomials is a well-defined invariant of M and G .
- We can extend this notion of degree also to the universal covering of M and can prove the conjecture that the degree coincides with the Thurston norm.

Higher order Alexander polynomials

- Higher order Alexander polynomials were introduced for a covering $G \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow M$ of a 3-manifold by Harvey and Cochran, provided that G occurs in the rational derived series of $\pi_1(M)$.
- At least the degree of these polynomials is a well-defined invariant of M and G .
- We can extend this notion of degree also to the universal covering of M and can prove the conjecture that the degree coincides with the Thurston norm.

Group automorphisms

Theorem (Lück)

Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a self homotopy equivalence of a finite connected CW-complex. Let T_f be its mapping torus.

Then all L^2 -Betti numbers $b_n^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f)$ vanish.

Definition (Universal torsion for group automorphisms)

Let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be a group automorphism of the group G . Suppose that there is a finite model for BG , the Whitehead group $\text{Wh}(G)$ vanishes, and G satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture. Then we can define the **universal L^2 -torsion** of f by

$$\rho_u^{(2)}(f) := \rho^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f; \mathcal{N}(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})) \in \text{Wh}^w(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})$$

Theorem (Lück)

Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a self homotopy equivalence of a finite connected CW-complex. Let T_f be its mapping torus.

Then all L^2 -Betti numbers $b_n^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f)$ vanish.

Definition (Universal torsion for group automorphisms)

Let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be a group automorphism of the group G . Suppose that there is a finite model for BG , the Whitehead group $\text{Wh}(G)$ vanishes, and G satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture. Then we can define the **universal L^2 -torsion** of f by

$$\rho_u^{(2)}(f) := \rho^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f; \mathcal{N}(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})) \in \text{Wh}^w(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})$$

Theorem (Lück)

Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a self homotopy equivalence of a finite connected CW-complex. Let T_f be its mapping torus.

Then all L^2 -Betti numbers $b_n^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f)$ vanish.

Definition (Universal torsion for group automorphisms)

Let $f: G \rightarrow G$ be a group automorphism of the group G . Suppose that there is a finite model for BG , the Whitehead group $\text{Wh}(G)$ vanishes, and G satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture. Then we can define the **universal L^2 -torsion** of f by

$$\rho_u^{(2)}(f) := \rho^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f; \mathcal{N}(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})) \in \text{Wh}^w(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})$$

- This seems to be a very powerful invariant which needs to be investigated further.
- It has nice properties, e.g., it depends only on the conjugacy class of f , satisfies a **sum formula** and a formula for **exact sequences**.
- If G is amenable, it vanishes.
- If G is the fundamental group of a compact surface F and f comes from an automorphism $a: F \rightarrow F$, then T_f is a 3-manifold and a lot of the material above applies.
- For instance, if a is irreducible, $\rho_U^{(2)}(f)$ detects whether a is **pseudo-Anosov** since we can read off the sum of the volumes of the hyperbolic pieces in the Jaco-Shalen decomposition of T_f .

- Suppose that $H_1(f) = \text{id}$. Then there is an obvious projection

$$\text{pr}: H_1(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})_f = H_1(G)_f \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_1(G)_f.$$

Let

$$P(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f)$$

be the image of $\rho_u^{(2)}(f)$ under the composite

$$\text{Wh}^w(G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{pr})} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f)$$

- What are the main properties of this polytope? In which situations can it be explicitly computed? The case, where F is a finitely generated free group, is of particular interest.

- Suppose that $H_1(f) = \text{id}$. Then there is an obvious projection

$$\text{pr}: H_1(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})_f = H_1(G)_f \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_1(G)_f.$$

Let

$$P(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f)$$

be the image of $\rho_u^{(2)}(f)$ under the composite

$$\text{Wh}^w(G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G \rtimes_f \mathbb{Z})) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{pr})} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{Wh}}(\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(G)_f)$$

- What are the main properties of this polytope? In which situations can it be explicitly computed? The case, where F is a finitely generated free group, is of particular interest.

L^2 -Euler characteristic

Definition (L^2 -Euler characteristic)

Let Y be a G -space. Suppose that

$$h^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) := \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) < \infty.$$

Then we define its L^2 -Euler characteristic

$$\chi^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot b_n^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition (L^2 -Euler characteristic)

Let Y be a G -space. Suppose that

$$h^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) := \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) < \infty.$$

Then we define its L^2 -Euler characteristic

$$\chi^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) := \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n \cdot b_n^{(2)}(Y; \mathcal{N}(G)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition (ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic)

Let X be a connected CW -complex. Suppose that \tilde{X} is L^2 -acyclic. Consider an epimorphism $\phi: \pi = \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let K be its kernel. Suppose that G is torsionfree and satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture.

Define the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic

$$\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi) := \chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Notice that \tilde{X}/K is not a finite CW -complex. Hence it is not obvious but true that $h^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) < \infty$ and $\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi)$ is a well-defined real number.
- The ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic has a bunch of good properties, it satisfies for instance a **sum formula**, **product formula** and is **multiplicative** under finite coverings.
- It turns out that the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic is always an integer.

Definition (ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic)

Let X be a connected CW -complex. Suppose that \tilde{X} is L^2 -acyclic. Consider an epimorphism $\phi: \pi = \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let K be its kernel. Suppose that G is torsionfree and satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture.

Define the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic

$$\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi) := \chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Notice that \tilde{X}/K is not a finite CW -complex. Hence it is not obvious but true that $h^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) < \infty$ and $\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi)$ is a well-defined real number.
- The ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic has a bunch of good properties, it satisfies for instance a **sum formula**, **product formula** and is **multiplicative** under finite coverings.
- It turns out that the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic is always an integer.

Definition (ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic)

Let X be a connected CW -complex. Suppose that \tilde{X} is L^2 -acyclic. Consider an epimorphism $\phi: \pi = \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let K be its kernel. Suppose that G is torsionfree and satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture.

Define the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic

$$\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi) := \chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Notice that \tilde{X}/K is not a finite CW -complex. Hence it is not obvious but true that $h^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) < \infty$ and $\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi)$ is a well-defined real number.
- The ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic has a bunch of good properties, it satisfies for instance a **sum formula**, **product formula** and is **multiplicative** under finite coverings.
- It turns out that the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic is always an integer.

Definition (ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic)

Let X be a connected CW -complex. Suppose that \tilde{X} is L^2 -acyclic. Consider an epimorphism $\phi: \pi = \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let K be its kernel. Suppose that G is torsionfree and satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture.

Define the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic

$$\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi) := \chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- Notice that \tilde{X}/K is not a finite CW -complex. Hence it is not obvious but true that $h^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \mathcal{N}(K)) < \infty$ and $\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi)$ is a well-defined real number.
- The ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic has a bunch of good properties, it satisfies for instance a **sum formula**, **product formula** and is **multiplicative** under finite coverings.
- It turns out that the ϕ - L^2 -Euler characteristic is always an integer.

- Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a selfhomotopy equivalence of a connected finite CW-complex. Let T_f be its mapping torus. The projection $T_f \rightarrow S^1$ induces an epimorphism $\phi: \pi_1(T_f) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(S^1)$.

Then \tilde{T}_f is L^2 -acyclic and we get

$$\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f; \phi) = \chi(X).$$

Theorem (Friedl-Lück)

Let M be a 3-manifold and $\phi: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be an epimorphism. Then

$$-\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{M}; \phi) = \chi_M(\phi).$$

- Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a selfhomotopy equivalence of a connected finite CW-complex. Let T_f be its mapping torus. The projection $T_f \rightarrow S^1$ induces an epimorphism $\phi: \pi_1(T_f) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1(S^1)$.

Then \tilde{T}_f is L^2 -acyclic and we get

$$\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{T}_f; \phi) = \chi(X).$$

Theorem (Friedl-Lück)

Let M be a 3-manifold and $\phi: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be an epimorphism. Then

$$-\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{M}; \phi) = x_M(\phi).$$

- Suppose that G is torsionfree and satisfies the Atiyah Conjecture. Consider $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Then there is a homomorphism

$$\chi_\phi^{(2)}: \text{Wh}^w(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

which sends the universal L^2 -torsion $\rho_u^{(2)}(\tilde{X})$ to $\chi^{(2)}(\tilde{X}; \phi)$.